



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary: Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



[There is no reportable action as a result of the 1 Board of Supervisors' closed session held today.] 2 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: GOOD MORNING, I WOULD ASK EVERYONE TO 6 7 PLEASE RISE. WE WILL BEGIN OUR MEETING THIS MORNING. WE WILL 8 BE LED IN OUR INVOCATION BY PASTOR KEN GODDARD, CITY OF HOPE FOURSQUARE CHURCH, VALLEY VILLAGE, FOLLOWED BY THE PLEDGE OF 9 ALLEGIANCE BY MR. ELLIS WILSON, CHAIRMAN YOUTH ACTIVITIES, 10 V.F.W. OF THE UNITED STATES. AND MOST IMPORTANTLY, A VETERAN 11 OF WORLD WAR II. PASTOR? 12 13 PASTOR KEN M. GODDARD: FATHER, WE STAND BEFORE YOU UNITED HERE 14 THIS MORNING. I ASK THAT YOU WOULD EMPOWER THOSE THAT ARE 15 16 GATHERED HERE AS THEY PLAN AND MAKE DECISIONS THAT WOULD MOLD THIS COUNTY. MAY THE PLANS BE IN LINE WITH YOUR PURPOSE. MAY 17 18 BE IT FRUITFUL AND MOVE FORWARD WITH THE EMPOWERMENT AND THE 19 BLESSING. MAKE THIS AND EVERY TIME THESE MEN AND WOMEN MEET TOGETHER BE A TIME THAT YOU SUPPLY WISDOM, STRENGTH AND 20 21 ABILITY TO DO WHAT'S BEST FOR US, TO MOLD OUR COMMUNITY, OUR 22 FAMILIES, OUR COUNTY. BLESS THEM. OPEN THEIR HEARTS AND THEIR 23 EYES TO SEE EXACTLY YOUR PURPOSE AND YOUR PLAN. GIVE THEM VISION. YOUR WORD SAYS THAT "WITHOUT VISION, WE PERISH." SO 24 GIVE THEM VISION AND PURPOSE. AMEN. 25

1

12

19

21

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



ELLIS E. WILSON: I WILL REPEAT THE PLEDGE. YOU PLACE YOUR 2 3 RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR HEART. [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED.] 4 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MR. CHAIRMAN, WE WERE LED IN THE INVOCATION 7 8 THIS MORNING BY PASTOR KEN GODDARD, WHO CURRENTLY SERVES AS A BI-VOCATIONAL ASSISTANT PASTOR OF L.A. INTERNATIONAL CHRISTIAN 10 CENTER, A MULTI-CULTURAL AND DIVERSE CONGREGATION. PASTOR 11 GODDARD ENJOYS REACHING HIS COMMUNITY THROUGH EVANGELISM. HE

- 13 EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND IN BIBLICAL STUDIES AND HONED HIS
- 14 COMMUNICATIONS SKILLS BY DEVELOPING A SPECIAL EXPERTISE IN

WAS RAISED IN TUJUNGA, CALIFORNIA, AND ESTABLISHED A STRONG

- 15 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY. HE CURRENTLY RESIDES IN NORTH
- 16 HOLLYWOOD WITH HIS WIFE, KELLY AND HIS SON, MATTHEW AND WE
- 17 THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR LEADING US IN THE INVOCATION THIS
- 18 MORNING, PASTOR. [APPLAUSE.]

20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS?

- 22 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: WE THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
- 23 COLLEAGUES. WE ARE HONORED TO HAVE WITH US ELLIS E. WILSON, A
- 24 51-YEAR RESIDENT OF THE SECOND DISTRICT. HE SERVED AS A



- 1 SERGEANT IN THE U.S. ARMY IN THE 1312 ENGINEER REGIMENT UNIT
- 2 FROM 1943 UNTIL 1946.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WOW.

5

- 6 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: HE HAS RECEIVED A NUMBER OF DECORATIONS
- 7 THAT INCLUDE A GOOD CONDUCT MEDAL, THE ASIATIC PACIFIC
- 8 CAMPAIGN MEDAL, AND A WORLD WAR II VICTORY MEDAL. I THINK THAT
- 9 DESERVES A ROUND OF APPLAUSE ALL BY ITSELF. [APPLAUSE.] MR.
- 10 WILSON IS CURRENTLY THE CHAIRMAN OF YOUTH ACTIVITIES FOR THE
- 11 FIFTH DISTRICT OF THE VETERANS OF FOREIGN WARS OF THE UNITED
- 12 STATES OF AMERICA. HIS FINAL CAREER POST BEFORE RETIRING WAS
- 13 WITH THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT. HE IS A
- 14 GRADUATE OF THE BOOKER T. WASHINGTON HIGH SCHOOL IN TULSA,
- 15 OKLAHOMA, AND WILSHIRE SCHOOL OF PHOTOGRAPHY. AND SO, MR.
- 16 ELLIS, IT IS WITH A GREAT DEGREE OF APPRECIATION THAT WE
- 17 PRESENT YOU THIS CERTIFICATE ON BEHALF OF THE ENTIRETY OF THE
- 18 LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 19 SERVICE TO THE NATION AND FOR YOUR CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE
- 20 COMMUNITY AT LARGE. [APPLAUSE.]

21

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MADAME EXECUTIVE OFFICER?

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE
- 25 BOARD. WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 3, PUBLIC HEARINGS



- 1 ITEMS 1 THROUGH 7. ON ITEM NO. 2, AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED
- 2 AGENDA, SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE
- 3 CONTINUED WITHOUT DISCUSSION TO MAY 25TH, 2010. THAT WAS ITEM
- 4 NO. 2.

5

6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO. 6, I WANT TO HOLD THAT.

7

8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM NO. 2 IS BEING CONTINUED.

9

10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT.

11

- 12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THE REMAINING ITEMS UNDER THE PUBLIC
- 13 HEARING WILL BE HELD FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING. WE'RE NOW ON PAGE
- 14 6, ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 8
- 15 THROUGH 13. ON ITEM NO. 11, SUPERVISOR KNABE REQUESTS THAT
- 16 THIS ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO HIS OFFICE. ON ITEM NO. 12,
- 17 THERE'S A REQUEST FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS
- 18 ITEM. THE REMAINING ITEMS UNDER BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ARE
- 19 BEFORE YOU.

20

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ON THE REMAINDER, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 22 RIDLEY-THOMAS, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA; WITHOUT
- 23 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WE'RE NOW ON PAGE 8, CONSENT CALENDAR,
- 2 ITEMS 14 THROUGH 20. ON ITEM NO. 14, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 3 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

6

- 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NO. 15, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A
- 8 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NO. 16, THIS
- 9 INCLUDES THE REVISION AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA,
- 10 AND ALSO THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD
- 11 THIS ITEM.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

14

- 15 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON ITEM NO. 17, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
- 16 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO DECEMBER 1ST,
- 17 2009.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: DID I -- IS THAT GOING INTO CLOSED
- 20 SESSION, AS WELL? YES? IT'S ALREADY? ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.
- 21 ITEM 17 IS GOING TO BE CONTINUED FOR A WEEK, BUT A RELATED
- 22 ITEM IS CS-2.

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CS-1.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE ARE DOING CLOSED SESSION TODAY ON
- 2 THAT.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NO. 19, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 5 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE ACTING COUNTY COUNSEL REQUESTS THAT
- 6 THIS ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO HIS DEPARTMENT.

7

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

9

- 10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NO. 20, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 11 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE ACTING COUNTY COUNSEL REQUESTS THAT
- 12 THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO DECEMBER 1ST, 2009.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUCH A FLURRY OF ACTIVITY FROM THE
- 15 ACTING COUNTY COUNSEL. [LAUGHTER.]

16

- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THE REMAINING ITEMS UNDER THE CONSENT
- 18 CALENDAR ARE BEFORE YOU.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ON THE REMAINDER, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 21 ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY; WITHOUT
- 22 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ORDINANCE FOR INTRODUCTION. ON ITEM NO. 21,
- 25 AND I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS AN



- 1 ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 6, SALARIES OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 2 CODE RELATING TO THE ADDITION, DELETION, AND/OR CHANGING OF
- 3 CERTAIN CLASSIFICATIONS AND NUMBER OF ORDINANCE POSITIONS IN
- 4 VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS TO IMPLEMENT THE FINDINGS OF
- 5 CLASSIFICATION STUDIES AND TO MAKE A TECHNICAL CORRECTION. AND
- 6 ON THIS ITEM, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE
- 7 HELD.

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. RELATES TO 14. OKAY.

10

- 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PAGE 11, DISCUSSION ITEM, ITEM NO. 22 WE
- 12 WILL HOLD FOR A DISCUSSION.

13

14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

- 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: FISCAL YEAR 2009-10 SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET
- 17 RESOLUTION AGENDA ITEMS 23 AND 24. ON ITEM NO. 23, WE WILL
- 18 HOLD FOR A DISCUSSION. ON ITEM NO. 24, AS INDICATED ON THE
- 19 POSTED AGENDA, THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER REQUESTS THAT THIS
- 20 ITEM BE CONTINUED TO DECEMBER 15TH, 2009. MISCELLANEOUS
- 21 ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN -- ON ITEM
- 22 NO. 22, WE WILL HOLD FOR A DISCUSSION. MISCELLANEOUS ADDITIONS
- 23 TO THE AGENDA WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72 HOURS IN ADVANCE
- 24 OF THE MEETING, AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, ITEM
- 25 1-D.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA. THE CHAIR
- 3 WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

4

- 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NO. 25-A, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A
- 6 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM.

7

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED.

9

- 10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NO. 25-B, THERE'S ALSO A REQUEST
- 11 FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

14

15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 25-C IS BEFORE YOU.

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: LET ME SEE HERE. 25-C, MOVED BY
- 18 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. THE CHAIR WILL SECOND WITHOUT
- 19 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 21 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM 25-D, I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE IN
- 22 FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO.
- 23 7062 AS AMENDED RELATED TO THE ELECTRICAL TRANSMISSION AND
- 24 DISTRIBUTION FRANCHISE GRANTED TO SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
- 25 COMPANY, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION. THIS ITEM IS BEFORE YOU.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIR WILL MOVE IT. SECONDED BY
- 3 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

4

- 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON 25-E, I'LL ALSO READ THE SHORT TITLE IN
- 6 FOR THE RECORD. THIS IS AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO.
- 7 6765 AS AMENDED RELATING TO THE GAS PIPELINE FRANCHISE GRANTED
- 8 TO SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY, A CALIFORNIA
- 9 CORPORATION. AND THIS ITEM IS BEFORE YOU.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIR WILL MOVE IT. SECONDED BY
- 12 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE
- 15 AGENDA. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH
- 16 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT NO. 1.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: DO YOU HAVE ANY PRESENTATIONS?
- 19 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS? SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? OKAY.

- 21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I WOULD LIKE TO INVITE OUR PUBLIC
- 22 DEFENDER, MR. MICHAEL JUDGE, TO JOIN ME UP HERE. TODAY WE ARE
- 23 COMMENDING THE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE FOR RECEIVING TWO 2009
- 24 ACHIEVEMENT AWARDS FROM N.A.C.O., WHICH IS THE NATIONAL
- 25 ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES. EACH YEAR, N.A.C.O. HONORS THE COUNTY



- 1 DEPARTMENTS AND PROJECT MANAGERS THROUGHOUT THE UNITED STATES
- 2 WHOSE PROGRAMS ARE MODELS OF INNOVATION AND CREATIVITY. AND
- 3 THIS DEPARTMENT, MICHAEL'S DEPARTMENT, WON TWO OF THOSE AWARDS
- 4 THIS YEAR. FIRST WE RECOGNIZE THE DEPARTMENT'S SECOND CHANCE
- 5 WOMEN'S REENTRY COURT PROGRAM. AND THE PROJECT TEAM, INCLUDING
- 6 JOANNE ROTHSTEIN, PUBLIC DEFENDER, HEAD DEPUTY ALONG WITH
- 7 NANCY CHAD, KIMBERLY WONG, CHRISTY ROLLINS, KIM HASSET, DR.
- 8 NINA MESSINA, JUDGE MICHAEL TYNAN, AND MARK DELGADO FROM OUR
- 9 C.C.J.C.C. AND THAT'S THE FIRST ONE. [APPLAUSE.]

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THE NEXT ONE IS THE ASSISTANT TO
- 12 PRISONERS WITH CHILD SUPPORT ORDERS PROGRAM. AND THE PROJECT
- 13 TEAM WAS STANLEY SHIMOTZU, DIVISION CHIEF, ALONG WITH ROBERT
- 14 MENDOZA AND ANN MARIE BRACO. [APPLAUSE.] ALL RIGHT.

- 16 MICHAEL JUDGE: I'D LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE PEOPLE THAT ARE
- 17 HERE WHO ACTUALLY MAKE THE PROGRAM WORK. AND WE'LL START WITH
- 18 THE WOMEN'S REENTRY COURT, WHICH IS, I THINK, A MODEL FOR THE
- 19 STATE. THIS DEALS WITH A VERY SERIOUS PROBLEM THAT WE HAVE
- 20 EXPERIENCED IN WHICH PAROLEES FAIL AT AN ENORMOUS RATE, OVER
- 21 70 PERCENT OF THEM FAIL. AND THAT INCLUDES WOMEN, ESPECIALLY
- 22 WOMEN COMING OUT WHO HAVE CHILDREN TO CARE FOR AND THEY'RE
- 23 UNDER SOME ENORMOUS PRESSURES. AND THAT'S WHAT WE DEALT WITH
- 24 IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE. IT'S A COLLABORATIVE INVOLVING
- 25 PROBATION, THE COURTS, THE STATE DEPARTMENT OF PAROLE, THE



- 1 DISTRICT ATTORNEY, THE PUBLIC DEFENDER, THERE ARE LAW
- 2 ENFORCEMENT FOLKS INVOLVED. AND THE PEOPLE THAT ARE HERE NOT
- 3 ONLY HELPED TO DESIGN THE SPECIFICS OF THE PROGRAM BUT TO
- 4 MONITOR IT, TO INSURE THAT THERE WAS SUCCESS, THAT THERE WAS
- 5 FUNDING FOR IT. AND THAT CAME INTO JEOPARDY SEVERAL TIMES, BUT
- 6 THEY SUCCEEDED IN PRESERVING THE FUNDING. AND THE NORMAL
- 7 FAILURE RATE IS OVER 70 PERCENT FOR PAROLEES COMING BACK INTO
- 8 OUR COMMUNITY. IN THIS PARTICULAR PROGRAM, SINCE SEPTEMBER OF
- 9 2007, THE SUCCESS RATE IS 90 PERCENT. THIS DEMONSTRATES WHAT
- 10 CAN HAPPEN WHEN WE HAVE PEOPLE COMING OUT OF PRISON IF THEY
- 11 GET THE PROPER ASSESSMENTS AND GET ALL THE SUPPORTIVE SERVICES
- 12 AND TRAINING AND CARE THAT THEY NEED. AND THIS IS PROBABLY THE
- 13 MOST DIFFICULT OF THE POPULATIONS, IN MANY WAYS, BECAUSE THESE
- 14 WOMEN HAVE TO CARE FOR THE CHILDREN SUCCESSFULLY AT THE SAME
- 15 TIME THEY'RE DEALING WITH ALL THE REENTRY ISSUES. THE OTHER
- 16 PROGRAM HAS TO DO WITH CHILD SUPPORT SITUATIONS. AND THE
- 17 RESULT THAT HAS BEEN OBTAINED IS THAT THERE'S A MUCH HIGHER
- 18 COMPLIANCE RATE WHEN PEOPLE GET OUT OF JAIL OR PRISON WHO WERE
- 19 UNDER CHILD SUPPORT ORDERS, AND THEY'RE MUCH MORE LIKELY TO
- 20 GET EMPLOYED. AND THE REASON IS THAT, AGAIN, IN A PARTNERSHIP,
- 21 THE PEOPLE GOING IN HAVE THE ORDERS HELD IN ABEYANCE WHILE
- 22 THEY'RE IN WHEN THEY OBVIOUSLY CAN'T PROVIDE ANY SUPPORT. WHEN
- 23 THEY COME OUT, THEN, THEY'RE MORE LIKELY TO ENGAGE WITH THE
- 24 FAMILY, MUCH MORE LIKELY TO GET EMPLOYED BECAUSE THEY HAVE A
- 25 REASONABLE CHILD SUPPORT OBLIGATION FACING THEM. OTHERWISE,



- 1 THEY WILL FAIL IMMEDIATELY, THEIR DRIVER'S LICENSES WILL BE
- 2 TAKEN AWAY AND THEY JUST SIMPLY WON'T BE ABLE TO GET
- 3 EMPLOYMENT. AND THEY DISENGAGE FROM THE FAMILIES AND JUST END
- 4 UP BACK IN JAIL. WE HAVE THE PEOPLE HERE THAT HAVE MADE THAT
- 5 WORK. AND, YOU KNOW, I'M PLEASED THAT THEY'RE ALL NAMED,
- 6 BECAUSE THEY'RE THE ONES WHO REALLY DESERVE THE CREDIT. SO
- 7 THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. SUPERVISOR.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, MR. JUDGE. [APPLAUSE.] YOU
- 10 DID ALL THAT WITHOUT KALUNIAN, TOO, HUH?

11

- 12 MICHAEL JUDGE: THAT'S A SORE POINT. YOU SAID 60 DAYS. NOW IT'S
- 13 ABOUT 10 MONTHS. I'M GETTING REAL TIRED. [LAUGHTER.]

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: TRUST ME, IT WON'T BE MUCH LONGER. MAYBE
- 16 ANOTHER YEAR OR TWO. [LAUGHTER.] THANK YOU ALL.
- 17 CONGRATULATIONS. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS, IT'S A REAL
- 20 PLEASURE TO INTRODUCE A WONDERFUL COUPLE WHO HAVE BEEN MARRIED
- 21 63 YEARS, AND THAT'S MOLLY AND MILLARD MURPHY. THEY'RE FROM
- 22 PASADENA. THEY WERE BOTH BORN IN EAST LOS ANGELES. AND MOLLY
- 23 AND MILLARD MARRIED EACH OTHER ON AUGUST 28TH, 1946 WHERE THEY
- 24 TOOK UP THEIR RESIDENCE IN PASADENA, AND THEN IN 1958, WITH
- 25 THEIR FIVE CHILDREN. WITHIN A FEW MORE YEARS, THEY JOINED



- I THEIR UNION FOR A GRAND TOTAL OF 11 CHILDREN. SO THEY NEED
- 2 MORE THAN A SCROLL FOR THAT. BEGINNING AS A DRIVER WITH THE
- 3 LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, MILLARD ADVANCED TO
- 4 SCHOOL BUS DRIVER, LATER SERVING AS THE SUPERVISOR INSTRUCTOR
- 5 OF NEWLY EMPLOYED DRIVERS. HE THEN TAUGHT DEFENSIVE DRIVING TO
- 6 THE OFFICERS OF THE CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL, RETIRING AFTER
- 7 35 YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE TO OUR UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT.
- 8 UPON GRADUATION FROM U.C.L.A., MOLLY BECAME A SPANISH, FRENCH
- 9 AND ENGLISH INSTRUCTOR, A TEACHER FIRST SERVING THE L.A.
- 10 UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT AND LATER WITH PASADENA CITY COLLEGE
- 11 AND EL CAMINO COLLEGE. AFTER RETIRING FROM P.C.C. AND
- 12 L.A.U.S.D., MOLLY TAUGHT OCCUPATIONAL SPANISH TO OFFICERS OF
- 13 THE PASADENA POLICE DEPARTMENT. AND MOLLY OFFERS TUTORING TO
- 14 STUDENTS. WHETHER BY CAR, TRAIN OR BUS OR AIRPLANE, THEY ARE
- 15 WORLD TRAVELERS AND THEY VISIT DESTINATIONS THROUGHOUT THE
- 16 ENTIRE UNITED STATES AND EVERY CONTINENT OF THE WORLD EXCEPT
- 17 ANTARCTICA. THROUGH HARD FAITH, HARD WORK AND DEDICATION, THEY
- 18 HAVE RAISED 11 SUCCESSFUL CHILDREN DURING THEIR 63 YEARS OF
- 19 MARRIAGE. ALONG WITH THEIR FAMILY THEY HAVE 36 GRANDCHILDREN
- 20 AND 10 GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. SO GOD BLESS YOU BOTH AND THANK
- 21 YOU FOR BEING GREAT ROLE MODELS FOR OUR COMMUNITY. AND WE'RE
- 22 VERY PLEASED TO HAVE YOU IN THE FIFTH SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT.
- 23 [APPLAUSE.] ONE MORE CAMERA.



- 1 MILLARD MURPHY: HOW DO I DO IT? WELL, AT THE AGE OF 17, I MET
- 2 THIS LADY. AND I DECIDED THAT THIS WAS THE ONE I WANTED TO
- 3 SPEND THE REST OF MY LIFE WITH. AND THIS IS THE RESULT. IT'S
- 4 BEEN A WONDERFUL TRIP WITH HER. SHE'S BEEN VERY SUPPORTIVE AND
- 5 JUST A WONDERFUL WIFE AND MOTHER. AND THANK YOU. [APPLAUSE.]

6

- 7 MOLLY MURPHY: I'M NOT ONE FOR SPEAKING. IT WAS A LOT OF HARD
- 8 WORK, BUT IT WAS REALLY WONDERFUL. [LAUGHTER.]

9

- 10 SHIRLEY SMITH: WE'RE FROM BOYLE HEIGHTS. AND THE MURPHYS WERE
- 11 LIKE THE STANDARD FOR WHAT WE NEEDED TO DO WITH OUR CHILDREN.
- 12 AND WE HAVE FOUND THAT CHILDREN RAISED IN BOYLE HEIGHTS HAVE
- 13 AN ETHIC THAT WE OFTEN DON'T SEE EVERYWHERE. AND THE MURPHYS
- 14 ARE VERY RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT. THEY RAISED 11 SUCCESSFUL
- 15 CHILDREN. AND FOR THOSE OF US WHO CAME UP LATER, WE WOULD SAY
- 16 HOW DID THE MURPHYS DO IT? AND WHEN YOU GOT THAT ANSWER BACK,
- 17 THAT WAS OUR PATTERN AS TO HOW WE WERE GOING TO DO IT. SO NOT
- 18 ONLY ARE THEY RESPONSIBLE FOR THEIR WONDERFUL CHILDREN, THEY
- 19 ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR WONDERFUL CHILDREN WHO ARE THROUGHOUT L.A.
- 20 COUNTY. [APPLAUSE.]

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WOW, THAT WAS SPECIAL. WHAT A GREAT
- 23 COMPLIMENT.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THEN WE HAVE A LITTLE SIMON, WHO IS A LITTLE
- 2 CHIHUAHUA MIX, A LITTLE BOY. I THINK THERE WAS A DACHSIE IN
- 3 THAT CHIHUAHUA BATCH THAT WE HAD LAST WEEK. SAY HELLO. HE'S
- 4 TWO YEARS OLD, AND SIMON'S LOOKING FOR A HOME. SO ANYBODY WHO
- 5 WOULD LIKE TO ADOPT SIMON, YOU CAN CALL 562-728-4644. OR IF
- 6 THE MURPHYS WOULD LIKE TO ADOPT SIMON, HE WOULD MAKE A
- 7 BEAUTIFUL ADDITION. OR ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE. DO YOU SEE
- 8 ANYBODY, SIMON? OKAY. LET'S START AGAIN WITH THE PUBLIC
- 9 HEARINGS, PLEASE.

10

- 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ALL THOSE WHO PLAN TO TESTIFY BEFORE THE
- 12 BOARD ON ITEMS 1 THROUGH 7, PLEASE STAND AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT
- 13 HAND TO BE SWORN IN.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S ITEMS 1 THROUGH 7. IF YOU'RE HERE
- 16 TO TESTIFY ON 1 THROUGH 7, PLEASE STAND AND WE'LL SWEAR YOU
- 17 IN. 1 THROUGH 7.

- 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IN THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE BEFORE THIS
- 20 BOARD, DO YOU SOLEMNLY AFFIRM TO TELL THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE
- 21 TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH SO HELP YOU GOD? THANK YOU.
- 22 YOU MAY BE SEATED. ON ITEM NO. 1, THIS IS THE HEARING ON
- 23 ANNEXATION TO COUNTY LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 1687 AND
- 24 COUNTY LIGHTING DISTRICT LLA-1, UNINCORPORATED ZONE, IN THE
- 25 LEVYING OF ASSESSMENTS WITHIN ANNEXED TERRITORIES FOR STREET



- I LIGHTING PURPOSES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010-2011. I BELIEVE THERE
- 2 IS A DEPARTMENT STATEMENT ON THIS MATTER.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SIR, PLEASE IDENTIFY YOURSELF FOR
- 5 THE RECORD.

6

- 7 DAVID STRINGER: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN AND HONORABLE
- 8 SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS DAVID STRINGER AND I'M A SENIOR CIVIL
- 9 ENGINEER FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. I'M FAMILIAR WITH
- 10 THESE PROCEEDINGS FOR THE ANNEXATION TO COUNTY LIGHTING
- 11 MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 1687 AND COUNTY LIGHTING DISTRICT LLA-1,
- 12 UNINCORPORATED ZONE, AND THE LEVYING AND COLLECTION OF
- 13 ASSESSMENTS FOR THE TERRITORIES IDENTIFIED IN THE BOARD LETTER
- 14 WHICH ARE LOCATED IN THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES OF ROWLAND
- 15 HEIGHTS, VALINDA, NEWHALL, LOS NIETOS AND SOUTH WHITTIER. IN
- 16 MY OPINION, THESE TERRITORIES WILL BE BENEFITED BY THE
- 17 ANNEXATION AND THE SERVICE TO BE PROVIDED AND THE PROPOSED
- 18 ASSESSMENTS HAVE BEEN SPREAD IN PROPORTION TO BENEFIT. WE ARE
- 19 ALSO RECOMMENDING THAT YOUR BOARD ACCEPT THE NEGOTIATED
- 20 EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES FOR THE NONEXEMPT TAXING
- 21 AGENCIES.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ANY COMMENT FROM COUNTY COUNSEL?



- 1 RICHARD WEISS, COUNSEL: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE
- 2 TO CLOSE THE HEARING IN TABULATION OF THE BALLOTS.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: DO WE HAVE ANYONE SIGNED UP? OKAY. SO WE
- 5 WILL CLOSE THE HEARING. SO ORDERED. AND THEN WE WILL TABULATE
- 6 BALLOTS. WE WILL TABLE THE ITEM, THEN, UNTIL WE TABULATE THE
- 7 BALLOTS.

8

- 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THANK YOU. ON ITEM NO. 3, THIS IS THE DE
- 10 NOVO HEARING ON PROJECT NO. R2006-03795-(2), CONDITIONAL USE
- 11 PERMIT CASE NO. 200-60-0329-(2), AND CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION,
- 12 LOCATED AT 21603 BERENDO AVENUE, IN THE COMMUNITY OF WEST
- 13 CARSON, WITHIN THE CARSON ZONED DISTRICT, APPLIED FOR BY SALUD
- 14 F. RIVERA. THERE IS A DEPARTMENT STATEMENT ON THIS MATTER.

- 16 TYLER MONTGOMERY: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISOR. MY NAME IS TYLER
- 17 MONTGOMERY, I'M WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING. THIS
- 18 IS AN APPEAL BY MS. CHRIS TABELLARIO OF THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 19 COMMISSION'S APPROVAL OF CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 200600329
- 20 ON MAY 20, 2009. THE APPLICANT SEEKS TO AUTHORIZE THE
- 21 CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF A DUPLEX IN A C-3 UNLIMITED
- 22 COMMERCIAL ZONE. A TWO-FAMILY RESIDENCE IS PERMITTED WITHIN C-
- 23 3 ZONE SUBJECT TO THE ISSUANCE OF A C.U.P. THE PROJECT SITE IS
- 24 LOCATED AT 21603 BERENDO AVENUE IN THE CARSON ZONED DISTRICT
- 25 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. A DULY NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING WAS HELD



- 1 BEFORE A HEARING OFFICER ON JANUARY 20, 2009. THE APPLICANT
- 2 AND HER REPRESENTATIVE, MISS CONSUELO CHANECO, WERE SWORN IN
- 3 AND TESTIFIED IN FAVOR OF THE PROJECT. TWO AREA RESIDENTS, MS.
- 4 CHRIS TABELLARIO AND MR. DONALD HIBBARD WERE SWORN IN AND
- 5 TESTIFIED IN OPPOSITION TO THE PROJECT STATING THAT THE
- 6 PROJECT WAS OUT OF CHARACTER WITH THE SURROUNDING
- 7 NEIGHBORHOOD. THE HEARING OFFICER GINA NATOLI SUBSEQUENTLY
- 8 CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARING AND DENIED THE PROJECT FOR THE
- 9 FOLLOWING REASONS: THE PROPERTY WOULD NOT BE APPROPRIATELY
- 10 UTILIZED AS THE PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL USE RATHER THAN AN
- 11 ALLOWED COMMERCIAL USE. THE PROPOSED THREE-STORY DUPLEX WAS
- 12 NOT OF SIMILAR CHARACTER TO SURROUNDING RESIDENTIAL USES. IT
- 13 WAS LIKELY THAT THE PROPOSED HEIGHT, DENSITY AND USAGE OF THE
- 14 PROJECT AT THE LOCATION WOULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE HEALTH,
- 15 PEACE, COMFORT OR WELFARE OF PERSONS RESIDING AND WORKING IN
- 16 THE SURROUNDING AREA. THE PROJECT WOULD LIKELY BE MATERIALLY
- 17 DETRIMENTAL TO USE, ENJOYMENT AND VALUATION OF RESIDENTIAL
- 18 PROPERTIES IN THE IMMEDIATE VICINITY BY BLOCKING LIGHT,
- 19 ELIMINATING VIEWS, AND INHIBITING PRIVACY. AND DUE TO
- 20 INCONSISTENCIES IN THE SITE PLANS, IT WAS LIKELY THAT THE
- 21 PROJECT'S PROPOSED THIRD STORY WOULD BE EMPLOYED FOR
- 22 NONPERMITTED USES AT A FUTURE DATE. THE APPLICANT APPEALED THE
- 23 HEARING OFFICER'S DECISION TO THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 24 COMMISSION, WHICH HELD A DULY NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING ON APRIL
- 25 1, 2009. ALL COMMISSIONERS WERE PRESENT. CONCERNS INCLUDED THE



- 1 STATEMENT THAT THE PROJECT WAS OUT OF CHARACTER WITH THE
- 2 SURROUNDING SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITY, THAT THE
- 3 SUBMITTED SITE PLANS WERE VAGUE AND CONFUSING, AND THAT THE
- 4 STRUCTURE, WHICH INCLUDED NUMEROUS BEDROOMS AND BATHROOMS,
- 5 COULD BE EASILY CONVERTED TO UNPERMITTED MULTI-FAMILY
- 6 RESIDENTIAL OR COMMERCIAL USES IN THE FUTURE. SUCH USES, THEY
- 7 STATED, COULD BRING AN INCREASE IN CRIME, TRAFFIC AND
- 8 TRANSIENTS TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD. AFTER DISCUSSION, THE
- 9 COMMISSION REQUESTED THAT THE APPLICANT MAKE SEVERAL CHANGES
- 10 TO THE SUBMITTED SITE PLAN. THESE CHANGES INCLUDED THE
- 11 FOLLOWING: REDESIGN THE STRUCTURE TO INCORPORATE A MINIMUM 5-
- 12 FOOT REAR YARD SETBACK ALONG ITS ENTIRE LENGTH. REVISE THE
- 13 ARCHITECTURAL PLANS TO SHOW THE THIRD STORY OF THE STRUCTURE
- 14 AS HABITABLE SPACE RATHER THAN AN UNINHABITED ATTIC. REDUCE
- 15 THE HEIGHT OF THE STRUCTURE'S THIRD STORY WALLS BY AT LEAST
- 16 THREE FEET SO THAT THE SLOPE OF THE ROOF MET THE THIRD STORY
- 17 FLOOR AT THE STRUCTURE'S PERIMETER. AND REDESIGN THE WINDOWS
- 18 OF THE UPPER STORIES IN ORDER TO MAXIMIZE THE PRIVACY OF
- 19 NEIGHBORS TO THE REAR. THE COMMISSION SUBSEQUENTLY CONTINUED
- 20 THE PUBLIC HEARING UNTIL MAY 20, 2009. A CONTINUED PUBLIC
- 21 HEARING WAS HELD. ALL COMMISSIONERS WERE PRESENT. STAFF
- 22 INFORMED THE COMMISSION THAT THE REVISED PLANS AS SUBMITTED BY
- 23 THE APPLICANTS SUBSTANTIALLY INCORPORATED ALL CHANGES
- 24 REQUESTED AT THE PREVIOUS HEARING. IN ADDITION, THE APPLICANT
- 25 VOLUNTARILY REDUCED THE NUMBER OF BATHROOMS IN THE TWO-FAMILY

23

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 RESIDENCE FROM 10 TO 7 AND AGREED TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL
- 2 LANDSCAPING AT THE REAR OF THE STRUCTURE. AFTER SOME
- 3 DISCUSSION, THE COMMISSION CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARING AND VOTED
- 4 FIVE TO ZERO TO APPROVE THE C.U.P. WITH THOSE CONDITIONS
- 5 RECOMMENDED BY STAFF. THIS APPROVAL WAS SUBSEQUENTLY APPEALED
- 6 TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. SINCE THIS TIME, STAFF HAS HAD
- 7 SEVERAL DISCUSSIONS WITH THE APPLICANT REGARDING CONCESSIONS
- 8 SHE WOULD BE WILLING TO MAKE IN ORDER TO MITIGATE THE
- 9 CONTINUED CONCERNS OF HER NEIGHBORS. AT THIS TIME, MS. RIVERA
- 10 HAS AGREED TO LIMIT THE HEIGHT OF THE PROPOSED DUPLEX TO TWO
- 11 STORIES OR 25 FEET IN HEIGHT BY ELIMINATING THE THIRD STORY
- 12 FROM THE DESIGN. THE APPLICANT WOULD ALSO HAVE 90 DAYS FROM
- 13 THE DATE OF FINAL ACTION TO REMOVE ALL UNPERMITTED STRUCTURES
- 14 AND STORAGE ON THE PROJECT SITE. THIS, COMBINED WITH OTHER
- 15 DESIGN FEATURES OF THE PROJECT TO WHICH THE APPLICANT HAS
- 16 AGREED, CONVINCES STAFF THAT THE BURDEN OF PROOF FOR A
- 17 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT HAS BEEN MET. STAFF THEREFORE
- 18 RECOMMENDS THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DENY THE APPEAL AND
- 19 APPROVE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT 200600329 WITH THE REVISED
- 20 CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL INCORPORATING THE NEW TWO-STORY HEIGHT
- 21 LIMIT AND THE 90-DAY TIME LIMIT TO REMOVE UNPERMITTED
- 22 STRUCTURES AND STORAGE. THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. WE DO HAVE SEVERAL MEMBERS OF

25 THE PUBLIC THAT HAVE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK. I'M GOING TO CALL



- 1 CONSUELO CHANECO AND SALUD RIVERA TO COME FORWARD, AS
- 2 PROPONENTS OF THE PROJECT IF THEY'RE HERE. OKAY. IF YOU'LL
- 3 IDENTIFY YOURSELF FOR THE RECORD, PLEASE AND THEN GO AHEAD.
- 4 YOU HAVE THREE MINUTES.

5

6 SALUD RIVERA: MY NAME IS SALUD F. RIVERA.

7

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. GO AHEAD.

9

- 10 SALUD RIVERA: I'M THE OWNER OF THE PROJECT ON 21603 BARENDO
- 11 AVENUE, IN TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA, 90502.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ANYTHING ELSE? ANY COMMENTS YOU'D LIKE
- 14 TO MAKE IN REGARDS TO THE STAFF REPORT?

15

16 SALUD RIVERA: I AGREE WITH THE CONDITIONS ON THE PROJECT.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. ANYTHING ELSE? IF NOT,
- 19 CONSUELO?

- 21 CONSUELO CHANECO: MY NAME IS CONSUELO CHANECO, AND I AM IN
- 22 FAVOR FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT. AND WE WOULD LIKE
- 23 TO REOUEST THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO APPROVE
- 24 THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION. AS FAR AS WE
- 25 ARE CONCERNED, WE BELIEVE THAT WE HAVE COMPLIED ALL THE



- 1 CONDITIONS OF THE BUILDING CODES AND THE ZONING CODES AS FAR
- 2 AS THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE PROJECT HAS BEEN
- 3 MET.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: AND YOU AGREE TO A NEW CONDITION OF
- 6 REDUCTION FROM THREE STORIES TO TWO STORIES?

7

8 CONSUELO CHANECO: YES, SIR, WE AGREE.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. OKAY. THANK YOU BOTH VERY
- 11 MUCH. I'D ASK CHRIS TABELLARIO TO JOIN US UP HERE, PLEASE.
- 12 THANK YOU. AND WELCOME.

13

14 CHRIS TABELLARIO: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: GOOD MORNING.

17

- 18 CHRIS TABELLARIO: MY NAME IS CHRIS TABELLARIO, AND ON BEHALF
- 19 OF THE NEIGHBORS AT 26103 BARENDO WE THANK YOU FOR THIS
- 20 CONSIDERATION OF MAKING THE THREE-STORY A TWO-STORY. WE'RE IN
- 21 FULL AGREEMENT OF THIS MODIFICATION.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. VERY GOOD. THANK YOU.

24

25 CHRIS TABELLARIO: THANK YOU.



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THE ITEM IS BEFORE US AS
- 3 RECOMMENDED WITH THE NEW MODIFICATIONS AND CONDITIONS. MR.
- 4 RIDLEY-THOMAS, WOULD YOU?

- 6 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. THIS APPLICATION
- 7 FOR A THREE-STORY BUILDING OUT OF CHARACTER WITH THE ADJACENT
- 8 RESIDENCES LED TO A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF CONCERN AMONG
- 9 NEIGHBORS. AND TO THE EXTENT THAT THAT IS THE CASE, WE WORKED
- 10 VERY HARD TO TRY TO RECONCILE THE DIFFERENT POINTS OF VIEW.
- 11 AND I'M PLEASED THAT THE WITNESSES HAVE COME TO A PLACE OF
- 12 RESOLUTION THAT ALL PARTIES CAN LIVE WITH AND/OR ABIDE BY.
- 13 THEREFORE I'LL READ IN THE ACTIONS THAT I HOPE THAT WE WILL
- 14 DISPOSE OF, THE FIRST OF WHICH IS TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING
- 15 AND FIND THAT THE PROJECT AS MODIFIED BY THIS MOTION IS
- 16 CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT FROM THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
- 17 ACT. SECOND, INDICATE THE BOARD'S INTENT TO DENY THE APPEAL
- 18 AND MODIFY THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION'S APPROVAL OF THE
- 19 C.U.P. TO LIMIT THE STRUCTURE TO A TWO-STORY BUILDING WITH A
- 20 MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF 25 FEET, MAINTAIN A MINIMUM OF 5-FOOT REAR
- 21 YARD SETBACK ALONG ITS ENTIRE LENGTH, AND ENSURE THAT ANY
- 22 WINDOWS ON THE UPPER STORY ARE DESIGNED TO MAXIMIZE THE
- 23 PRIVACY OF THE NEIGHBORS TO THE REAR. ADDITIONALLY, THAT WE
- 24 ADD A CONDITION OF APPROVAL THAT REQUIRES THE APPLICANT,
- 25 WITHIN 90 DAYS OF THE APPROVAL OF THE DATE OF THE C.U.P. TO



- 1 DEMOLISH THE UNPERMITTED STRUCTURES ON THE PREMISES AND DIRECT
- 2 THE COUNTY COUNSEL TO PREPARE THE FINAL FINDINGS AND
- 3 CONDITIONS OF THIS C.U.P. TO BRING BACK TO A FUTURE BOARD
- 4 MEETING FOR THIS BODY'S CONSIDERATION AND DISPOSITION. AND,
- 5 FINALLY, DIRECT THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING TO BEGIN
- 6 AN ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDING SHOULD THERE BE ANY INDICATION IN
- 7 THE FUTURE THAT THE NEW RESIDENCE IS BEING USED FOR AN
- 8 UNAUTHORIZED USE. I WOULD SO MOVE, MR. CHAIRMAN.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THE CHAIR WILL SECOND THAT. ANY
- 11 OTHER QUESTIONS? ANYTHING ELSE THAT WE NEED TO DO, MR. COUNTY
- 12 COUNSEL? AND SO THE ITEM'S BEFORE US AS CHANGED AND THE NEW
- 13 CONDITIONS. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS. THE CHAIR WILL
- 14 SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THANK YOU ALL FOR
- 15 COMING DOWN. HAPPY THANKSGIVING.

16

- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM NO. 4. THIS IS THE HEARING TO GRANT A
- 18 NEW 10-YEAR PROPRIETARY PETROLEUM PIPELINE FRANCHISE TO UNION
- 19 OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA (UNOCAL), TO RENEW THE EXISTING
- 20 PROPRIETARY PIPELINE FRANCHISE FOR PIPELINE FACILITIES IN THE
- 21 WESTMONT/WEST ATHENS, WEST COMPTON/WILLOWBROOK, SOUTH SAN
- 22 GABRIEL/WHITTIER NARROWS, AND SOUTH WHITTIER/EAST LA MIRADA
- 23 UNINCORPORATED AREAS. THERE IS NO DEPARTMENT STATEMENT ON THIS
- 24 MATTER AND I BELIEVE SUPERVISOR MOLINA IS VOTING NO.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE'LL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. AND THE
- 2 ITEM'S BEFORE US. I'LL MOVE IT. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 3 YAROSLAVSKY. AND WITH SUPERVISOR MOLINA VOTING NO IT PASSES 4-
- 4 1. THANK YOU.

5

- 6 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THANK YOU. ITEM NO. 5. THIS IS THE HEARING
- 7 ON UPDATE TO THE DEVELOPER FEE PROGRAM APPROVES THE DEVELOPER
- 8 FEE DETAILED FIRE STATION PLAN, THE CONSOLIDATED FIRE
- 9 PROTECTION DISTRICT DEVELOPER FEE FUNDS 2008-09 FISCAL YEAR-
- 10 END REPORT, AND THE 2009 DEVELOPER FEE UPDATE FEE CALCULATION
- 11 SUMMARY, FOR THE FOLLOWING TWO DEVELOPER FEE AREAS OF BENEFIT.
- 12 SANTA CLARITA VALLEY AND AND ANTELOPE VALLEY. THERE IS A
- 13 DEPARTMENT STATEMENT ON THIS MATTER.

- 15 LORRAINE BUCK: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS LORRAINE BUCK AND I'M
- 16 A SUPERVISING PLANNING ANALYST FOR THE CONSOLIDATED FIRE
- 17 PROTECTION DISTRICT. I AM FAMILIAR WITH THESE PROCEEDINGS TO
- 18 UPDATE THE DEVELOPER FEE PROGRAM. THE UPDATED DEVELOPER FEE
- 19 DETAILED FIRE STATION PLAN IS A DEVELOPER FEE UPDATE FEE
- 20 CALCULATION SUMMARY AND THE 2008-09 FISCAL YEAR-END REPORT
- 21 WERE PREPARED IN MY OFFICE AND UNDER MY SUPERVISION. WE ARE
- 22 RECOMMENDING INCREASES FOR THE UNINCORPORATED PORTIONS OF
- 23 AREAS OF BENEFIT 2 AND 3 TO BE EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 1ST, 2010 AS
- 24 FOLLOWS. 99.27 CENTS PER SQUARE FOOT FOR THE SANTA CLARITA
- 25 VALLEY AREA OF BENEFIT AND 87.55 CENTS PER SQUARE FOOT FOR THE



- 1 ANTELOPE VALLEY AREA OF BENEFIT. THE DIFFERENCES IN THE FEE
- 2 AMOUNTS FOR THESE AREAS ARE PRIMARILY DUE TO DIFFERING LAND
- 3 COSTS AND FINANCING COSTS INCURRED BY THE DISTRICT FOR THE
- 4 DEVELOPMENT OF THE PLANNED FIRE STATIONS IN THE SANTA CLARITA
- 5 VALLEY. THE DEVELOPER FEES WILL CONTINUE TO BE USED TO FUND
- 6 THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, IMPROVEMENT AND EQUIPPING OF
- 7 FIRE STATIONS FACILITIES IN THE AREAS OF BENEFIT. THERE
- 8 CONTINUES TO BE A REASONABLE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE USE OF
- 9 THE DEVELOPER FEE AND THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS UPON
- 10 WHICH THE FEE IS IMPOSED. THERE IS A REASONABLE RELATIONSHIP
- 11 BETWEEN THE NEED FOR THE FACILITIES TO BE FINANCED BY THE
- 12 DEVELOPER FEE AND THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS UPON WHICH
- 13 THE DEVELOPER FEE IS IMPOSED. THERE IS ALSO A REASONABLE
- 14 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE AMOUNT OF THE DEVELOPER FEE AND THE
- 15 COSTS OF ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING THE NECESSARY
- 16 FIRE PROTECTION FACILITIES. WE ARE AWARE OF NO PROTESTS TO THE
- 17 RECOMMENDED ACTIONS.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. ANYTHING ELSE FROM
- 20 COUNTY COUNSEL? WE DO HAVE ONE SPEAKER, LYNNE PLAMBECK.

- 22 LYNNE PLAMBECK: HELLO, I'M HERE TODAY ON BEHALF OF SAN CLARITA
- 23 ORGANIZATION FOR PLANNING AND THE ENVIRONMENT SCOPE. FIRST, WE
- 24 SUPPORT THE DEVELOPMENT FEES. THAT'S WONDERFUL. AND WE ARE
- 25 ALSO GRATEFUL THAT YOU'VE LOCATED SO MANY FIRE STATIONS OUT



- 1 THERE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE DEVELOPMENT MONITORING SYSTEM,
- 2 WHICH REQUIRES THAT WE HAVE FIRE STATIONS FOR NEW DEVELOPMENT.
- 3 BUT MY BOARD ASKED ME TO COME DOWN AND ASK THE COUNTY HOW THE
- 4 APPRAISALS ARE DETERMINED FOR THE COSTS OF THE FIRE STATIONS?
- 5 BECAUSE IT SEEMS THAT THE PROPERTIES ARE VALUED VERY HIGH. FOR
- 6 INSTANCE, THE STATION THAT'S NEXT TO GOLDEN VALLEY ROAD, THAT
- 7 WHOLE PROJECT SOLD THE LAND FOR THAT PROJECT FOR GOLDEN VALLEY
- 8 RANCH SOLD FOR 2 MILLION. AND THE COST OF THE LITTLE PLOT OF
- 9 LAND IS ABOUT THE SAME, WHICH IS LIKE A QUARTER OF AN ACRE
- 10 INSTEAD OF 5 ACRES. AND WE WERE WONDERING IF WE COULD HAVE
- 11 COPIES OF THE APPRAISALS AND HOW THOSE APPRAISALS WERE ARRIVED
- 12 AT AND WHETHER THE PROPERTY WAS APPRAISED BEFORE THE
- 13 DEVELOPMENT WAS APPROVED OR AFTER THE DEVELOPMENT WAS
- 14 APPROVED, JUST EXACTLY WHO'S DOING THOSE? WHO'S WATCHING OVER
- 15 TO MAKE SURE THAT -- I'M SURE YOU HAVE REGULATIONS ABOUT HOW
- 16 PROPERTIES APPRAISE. BUT WE WERE CONCERNED THAT IT SEEMED LIKE
- 17 THE PROPERTIES WERE SELLING FOR VERY HIGH COST. AND WE DIDN'T
- 18 UNDERSTAND THAT. THE COUNTY NEEDS LOTS OF MONEY. WE DON'T NEED
- 19 TO OVERPAY FOR PROPERTY. ALSO WE JUST WANT TO BRING TO YOUR
- 20 ATTENTION ONCE MORE THAT WHEN YOU HAVE A DEVELOPMENT LIKE
- 21 LYONS RANCH WHERE YOU HAVE A COUPLE HUNDRED UNITS AND YOU HAVE
- 22 TO PUT A FIRE STATION THERE THEN YOU HAVE TO BUY THE LAND, AT
- 23 \$9 MILLION DOLLARS OR WHATEVER IT WAS, IT SEEMS LIKE A LOT OF
- 24 MONEY. AND THAT'S NOT JUST OF COURSE THE COST OF THE FIRE
- 25 STATION, IT'S THE COST OF HAVING THE FIRE PERSONNEL THERE, THE



- 1 DANGER THAT THEY'RE PUT IN WHEN WE BUILD IN WILDFIRE AREAS,
- 2 AND THE COST OF THEIR PENSIONS. SO WE JUST WANTED TO BRING
- 3 THAT UP AGAIN. WE'RE SURE THAT THERE IS GOOD OVERSIGHT IN THE
- 4 COUNTY, BUT WE WONDERED HOW IT WAS DONE AND WE WANTED -- THIS
- 5 IS A VERBAL PUBLIC RECORDS ACT REQUEST FOR THE APPRAISALS FOR
- 6 THE LISTED FIRE STATIONS IN THE SANTA CLARITA VALLEY. THANK
- 7 YOU VERY MUCH.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. TURN YOUR MIC ON, PLEASE. SHE
- 10 CAN'T HEAR YOU.

11

12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO YOU HAVE THAT INFORMATION?

13

- 14 LORRAINE BUCK: YES, WE DO. WE HAVE COPIES OF ALL THE
- 15 APPRAISALS.

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: CAN YOU SHARE THAT INFORMATION WITH HER?

18

19 LORRAINE BUCK: YES, WE CAN.

20

- 21 LYNNE PLAMBECK: AND WE DO APPRECIATE THE FIRE STATIONS. WE'RE
- 22 NOT HERE COMPLAINING ABOUT THE FIRE STATIONS.

23

24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU, PAM. I'LL MOVE THE ITEM.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THE CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT
- 2 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NO. 6, THIS IS THE HEARING TO
- 5 ADJUST WATER RATES ANNUALLY OVER A FIVE-YEAR PERIOD TO PASS
- 6 THROUGH COST INCREASES DUE TO INFLATION AND/OR THE COST OF
- 7 PURCHASING WATER FROM THE WHOLESALE WATER AGENCY FOR THE LOS
- 8 ANGELES COUNTY WATERWORKS DISTRICT AND MARINA DEL REY WATER
- 9 SYSTEM WATER WORKS DISTRICT. THERE IS NO DEPARTMENT STATEMENT
- 10 ON THIS MATTER ALSO SUPERVISOR KNABE VOTES NO ON THIS ITEM.

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WELL, I'M GOING TO ADDRESS SOME ISSUES.
- 13 MY MAIN CONCERN, THE REASON FOR VOTING NO IS THAT I JUST
- 14 CANNOT -- AND I WOULD ASK MY COLLEAGUES TO SORT OF LOOK INTO
- 15 THIS ISSUE. I JUST CAN'T BUY INTO ANY FEE OR RATE ON AN
- 16 AUTOPILOT FOR FIVE YEARS. THAT'S BASICALLY WHAT WE'RE DOING
- 17 HERE. NEVER TO BE SEEN BY THIS BOARD AGAIN UNTIL FIVE YEARS
- 18 FROM NOW. YOU KNOW, THEY'VE INDICATED, WELL, THEY'D GIVE US
- 19 PLENTY OF TIME. IN OTHER WORDS, WE HAVE TO SEARCH OUT ANY
- 20 POTENTIAL INCREASES. AND I DON'T THINK IT'S RIGHT TO THE
- 21 RESIDENTS TO GIVE A FIVE-YEAR AUTOMATIC INCREASE. NOT THAT THE
- 22 INCREASES MAY NOT BE JUSTIFIED, BUT I THINK ON AN ANNUAL
- 23 BASIS, ON AN ANNUAL BASIS THAT WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO LOOK AT
- 24 THESE INCREASES AND NOT GIVE THE DEPARTMENT FIVE YEARS
- 25 AUTOPILOT. NO RESTRICTIONS OF, REALLY, ANY KIND OTHER THAN,



- 1 YOU KNOW, ALWAYS HAVE THEIR INCLUSIVE PROCESSES. SO I REALLY -
- 2 AT LEAST FROM THE MARINA DEL REY PIECE -- FEEL IT'S VERY
- 3 IMPORTANT THAT WE HAVE AN ANNUAL LOOK AT THIS. AND I'M IN THE
- 4 NECESSARILY ASKING FOR A NO VOTE. I'M ASKING MORE THAT WE
- 5 REVAMP THIS AND ASK THAT IT COME BACK ON AN ANNUAL BASIS,
- 6 THESE WATER RATE INCREASES, FOR THIS BOARD TO REVIEW. I WOULD
- 7 MAKE THAT SUBSTITUTE MOTION IF THERE WAS A SECOND.

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SECOND.

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: TO THAT. OKAY? ALL RIGHT. YES?

12

8

- 13 RICHARD WEISS, COUNSEL: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, IF
- 14 YOU ADOPT THE PROPOSAL, IT WOULD PROVIDE THAT THE PROTEST
- 15 HEARING REGARDING MECHANISM WOULD OCCUR TODAY. AND THEN STATE
- 16 LAW, NEW PROVISION OF STATE LAW AUTHORIZES WHAT THE DEPARTMENT
- 17 IS REQUESTING AND REQUIRES THAT 30-DAY NOTICE BE GIVEN TO THE
- 18 AFFECTED RATE PAYERS IN ADVANCE OF ANY ACTUAL ADJUSTMENT.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I'M WELL AWARE OF THAT. THAT'S A LOT OF
- 21 WORK. WHAT I'M SAYING IS COME BACK. I MEAN, WE KNOW WHAT THE
- 22 STATE LAW AUTHORIZES. THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT WE HAVE TO AGREE
- 23 WITH IT.



- 1 RICHARD WEISS, COUNSEL: THAT'S CORRECT. IT'S WITHIN YOUR
- 2 DISCRETION TO APPROVE OR NOT APPROVE THIS.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO THE MOTION BEFORE US IS TO HAVE THESE
- 5 RATE INCREASES COME BACK ON AN ANNUAL BASIS. I MOVED IT.
- 6 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. YES?

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I ASK MARK? MR. PASTRELLO, WHAT IS THE
- 9 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE PROCESS BEING PROPOSED IN THE STAFF
- 10 REPORT AND THE CURRENT -- I'M NOT CLEAR ON THIS -- ON THE
- 11 CURRENT METHODOLOGY OF DOING RATE INCREASES?

- 13 SPEAKER: CURRENTLY, SUPERVISOR, THE WATERWORKS DISTRICTS WILL
- 14 HAVE TO HAVE AN ANNUAL -- HAVE THE REQUIREMENT UNDER PROP 218
- 15 TO HAVE AN ANNUAL PROTEST HEARING FOR ANY RATE INCREASES FOR
- 16 THE WATERWORKS DISTRICTS. WHAT'S BEING PROPOSED TODAY, UNDER
- 17 A.B.3030 IS THAT SPECIFIC RATE INCREASES FOR OPERATING COSTS
- 18 DUE TO INFLATION AND PASS THROUGH COSTS DUE TO INCREASED
- 19 IMPORTED WATER RATES BE ALLOWED TO TO HAVE ONE PROTEST HEARING
- 20 TODAY AND ALLOW US TO GO FOR A FIVE-YEAR PERIOD WHERE AS RICK
- 21 INFORMED YOU WE WOULD BE GIVING 30-DAY NOTICES TO RATE PAYERS
- 22 ABOUT THOSE RATE INCREASES. THE DEPARTMENT'S VERY HAPPY TO
- 23 COME BACK TO THE BOARD WITH BRIEFINGS AND ALSO HEARINGS, IF
- 24 THAT'S WHAT'S NEEDED, TO DISCUSS ANY NEW RATE INCREASES OVER
- 25 THIS PERIOD.



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU'RE PROPOSING THE 30-DAY NOTICE WOULD BE

3 -- IT'S NOT A PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE.

4

5 SPEAKER: NO. IT WOULD BE A MAIL-OUT NOTICE AS WE'RE CURRENTLY

6 DOING.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND IT TELLS THEM THAT THE BOARD OF

9 SUPERVISORS WOULD CONSIDER IT ON A SPECIFIC DATE?

10

11 SPEAKER: WE WOULD BE COMING WITH A SPECIFIC DATE WHERE WE

12 WOULD BE MAILING OUT THOSE NOTICES.

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT'S NOT MY QUESTION. IN THE NOTICE TO THE

15 PUBLIC, TO THE RATE PAYERS, WILL THEY BE NOTIFIED OF THE TIME

16 WHEN THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IS GOING TO CONSIDER THAT RATE

17 INCREASE?

18

19 SPEAKER: NOT UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF A.B.3030, THAT WOULD NOT

20 BE A REQUIREMENT.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THEN WHAT'S THE PURPOSE OF NOTIFYING

23 PEOPLE 30 DAYS AHEAD OF TIME?

24

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



```
SPEAKER: UNDER CURRENT LAW, WE'RE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE 30-DAY
1
2
    NOTICE.
3
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK MR. KNABE HAS SHOWN UNCHARACTERISTIC
4
5
    WISDOM HERE. [LAUGHTER.]
6
7
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THAT MAKES ME NERVOUS.
8
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I AGREE WITH YOU.
10
11
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. IT'S BEEN MOVED AND SECONDED.
    WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. IT WILL BE COMING BACK ON AN
12
13
    ANNUAL BASIS, THANK YOU.
14
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NO. 7, THIS IS THE HEARING ON
15
16
    AMENDMENTS TO COUNTY CODE, TITLE 12, ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,
    TO ESTABLISH REVISED FEE AND CHARGE RATES AT THE CALABASAS
17
18
    LANDFILL REFUSE DISPOSAL FACILITY. THERE IS NO DEPARTMENT
19
    STATEMENT ON THIS MATTER.
20
    SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THIS IS ITEM WHAT?
21
22
23
   CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 7.
```

SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: 7? OKAY. NO DEPARTMENT.

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1
2 CIEDE CACUI HAMAI. THERE IS NO REDARMENT STATEME

2 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THERE IS NO DEPARTMENT STATEMENT. I BELIEVE

3 THERE IS A PUBLIC SPEAKER.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THERE IS? MR. SACHS. HAPPY THANKSGIVING.

6

- 7 ARNOLD SACHS: HAPPY THANKSGIVING TO YOU. HAPPY THANKSGIVING TO
- 8 ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS. HAPPY THANKSGIVING TO ALL THE STAFF
- 9 MEMBERS. HAPPY THANKSGIVING TO THE WHOLE COUNTY FAMILY. HOW'S
- 10 THAT?

11

12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.

13

14 ARNOLD SACHS: COVER EVERYBODY? GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU DIDN'T SAY HAPPY THANKSGIVING TO
- 17 M.T.A.

- 19 ARNOLD SACHS: M.T.A. YOU KNOW, YOU'RE RIGHT. I APOLOGIZE FOR
- 20 THAT. A HAPPY, HAPPY THANKSGIVING TO THE M.T.A. GOOD THING
- 21 THEY DON'T NEED ANY TURKEYS FOR THEIR DINNER. THANK YOU, SIR.
- 22 A LANDFILL. IMAGINE THAT. AND YOU'RE GOING TO SET POLICY AT
- 23 THE CALABASAS LANDFILL. WILL YOU BY ANY CHANCE BE USING THE
- 24 SAME POLICY OR THE SAME MATH OR THE SAME, I DON'T KNOW,
- 25 FIGURING THAT YOU DID FOR THE SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL? AGAIN,



- 1 THERE'S NEVER BEEN -- WELL, THERE HAS BEEN AN EXPLANATION. I'M
- 2 MORE IN FAVOR OF THE ALIEN ABDUCTION EXPLANATION, THOUGH, THAN
- 3 THE ONE THAT WAS GIVEN BY THE STAFF MEMBER HERE.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU HAVE TO ASK COUNSEL ON THAT. NO.

- 7 ARNOLD SACHS: WELL, I'M JUST SAYING. IF THEY CAME UP WITH AN
- 8 ALIEN ABDUCTION SCENARIO, I'D AGREE WITH THAT MORE THAN I
- 9 AGREE WITH THE EXPLANATION REGARDING THE NUMBERS USED AT THE
- 10 SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL. AND, AGAIN, WHAT POLICY ARE YOU
- 11 GOING TO USE? ARE YOU GOING TO USE IF IT EXISTS -- IF IT
- 12 DOESN'T EXIST ON THE PAPERWORK EVEN THOUGH THE MATH IS WRONG,
- 13 WE'RE NOT GOING TO COUNT THE MONEY? YOU'RE LOSING 6,600 TONS
- 14 INTO SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL A WEEK. OVER THE COURSE OF THAT
- 15 PROJECT EXTENSION FOR THE 30 YEARS, FIVE YEARS OF FREE TRASH
- 16 AT ONE DAY A WEEK, FIVE YEARS OF FREE TRASH WILL NOT BE
- 17 COUNTED AT THE SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL. SO HOW IN THE NAME OF
- 18 THE METRO, M.T.A., CAN THE PUBLIC BE EXPECTED TO HAVE ANY KIND
- 19 OF -- BELIEVE ANY KIND OF QUALIFYING REMARKS THAT YOU'RE GOING
- 20 TO MAKE REGARDING THIS LANDFILL? I MEAN, IT'S JUST AMAZING
- 21 THAT IT'S NEVER BEEN INVESTIGATED, IT'S AMAZING THAT IT'S
- 22 NEVER BEEN LOOKED INTO. AND IT'S LIKE TIME MARCHES ON. YOUR
- 23 FIGURES, YOUR ANALYSIS, YOUR STATEMENTS, YOUR BOARD ITEM ON
- 24 YOUR AGENDA, DIFFERENT MATH. A THIRD GRADER LEARNING HIS
- 25 MULTIPLICATION TABLES WOULD TELL YOU THAT 6 TIMES 12 IS NOT



- 1 66. NO BOOK, NO WAY, NO HOW. AND YET IN THE COUNTY BOARD OF
- 2 SUPERVISORS MAIN BUILDING 6 TIMES 12 IS 66. AND THERE'S NO
- 3 OUTRAGE. JUST NO OUTRAGE. SO GO AHEAD AND FILL THE LANDFILL. I
- 4 JUST WISH THAT ONE OF THOSE TRUCKS THAT DIDN'T EXIST WOULD
- 5 DUMP THE TRASH IN FRONT OF -- FIVE OF THOSE TRUCKS THAT DIDN'T
- 6 EXIST WOULD DUMP THAT TRASH IN FRONT OF YOUR HOUSES ON YOUR
- 7 MAIN STREET, AND PEOPLE WOULD SAY "IT'S NOT THERE." THANK YOU.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: TOTALLY UNRELATED TO CALABASAS, BUT
- 10 THANK YOU, MR. SACHS. NO, IT'S DIFFERENT AND YOU KNOW IT IS.
- 11 OKAY. THE CHAIR WILL MOVE IT. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 12 YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: OKAY. IF WE COULD GO BACK TO ITEM NO. 1.
- 15 MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, AFTER TABULATING THE
- 16 BALLOTS, A DETERMINATION HAS BEEN MADE THAT NO MAJORITY
- 17 PROTEST EXIST AGAINST THE PROPOSED ANNEXATION, AND LEVYING OF
- 18 ASSESSMENTS FOR TERRITORIES PETITION NOS. 122 THROUGH 807,
- 19 100-707, 34-307, 24-307, 22-307, 101-606, 82-502, 51-300, TO
- 20 COUNTY LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT 1687 AND THE COUNTY
- 21 LIGHTING DISTRICT LLA-1 FOR THE UNINCORPORATED ZONE. AS A
- 22 RESULT, IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE BOARD TO ADOPT
- 23 RESOLUTIONS APPROVING THE ANNEXATION AND LEVYING OF
- 24 ASSESSMENTS IN THE JOINT RESOLUTIONS ACCEPTING THE NEGOTIATED



- 1 EXCHANGE OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES RESULTING FROM ANNEXATION OF
- 2 THE TERRITORY.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WITH THAT, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 5 ANTONOVICH, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
- 6 SO ORDERED.

7

- 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND THAT COMPLETES THE PUBLIC HEARINGS. IF
- 9 WE COULD DO ONE ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER, ON ITEM NO. 15, THE
- 10 INDIVIDUAL WHO WAS HOLDING THIS TO SPEAK ON IT HAS RELEASED
- 11 HER HOLD. IF WE COULD GET APPROVAL ON THAT.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ON ITEM NO. 15, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 14 YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED BY THE CHAIR. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 15 ORDERED.

16

17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GREAT, THANK YOU.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WE WILL BEGIN WITH
- 20 ADJOURNMENTS OR SPECIALS. SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: I'D LIKE TO ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF
- 23 EULALIA B. ARRIOLA SHE IS THE BELOVED MOTHER OF MY DEAR
- 24 FRIEND, LUPE ARRIOLA. MISS ARRIOLA AND HER FAMILY WERE WERE
- 25 PART OF A COMMUNITY IN SORT OF -- IT WAS ORIGINALLY



- 1 UNINCORPORATED EAST L.A., EVENTUALLY BECAME MONTEBELLO, KNOWN
- 2 AS THE SIMONS BRICKYARD, AN AREA WHERE I GREW UP AS WELL. AND
- 3 AT THAT TIME IT WAS A COMPANY TOWN, AND THEY BUILT THE BRICKS
- 4 FOR MANY OF THE BRICK BUILDINGS AT THAT TIME. BUT THEY HAVE A
- 5 LOT OF LOVELY MEMORIES OF THAT NEIGHBORHOOD AND THAT
- 6 COMMUNITY. AND SHE WAS SOMEONE WHO WAS JUST SO RESPECTED,
- 7 LIVED THERE ALL OF HER LIFE, WAS MARRIED THERE AND RAISED HER
- 8 CHILDREN THERE. SHE WAS 90 YEARS OLD. AND WE WANT TO EXTEND
- 9 OUR THOUGHTS AND PRAYERS AND CONDOLENCES TO LUPE, HER TWO
- 10 BROTHERS AS WELL AS HER SISTER. I'D ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 11 MEMORY OF MS. ARRIOLA.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ORDERED. WERE YOU HOLDING ANY ITEMS?

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: NO.

16

17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS?

- 19 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. I'D LIKE TO
- 20 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF ALIYAH PHILLIPS, GRADUATE OF BARNARD
- 21 COLLEGE WHO PASSED AWAY IN LOS ANGELES LAST WEEK. MISS
- 22 PHILLIPS GREW UP IN PENNSYLVANIA AND IN GEORGIA BEFORE MOVING
- 23 TO NEW YORK FOR COLLEGE. SHE WAS EXTREMELY ACTIVE IN HIGH
- 24 SCHOOL STUDENT GOVERNMENT, PLAYED VARSITY SPORTS AND TRAVELED
- 25 AROUND THE WORLD. AT BARNARD, SHE HELD LEADERSHIP POSITIONS



- 1 FOR FOUR YEARS, WORKED IN THE OFFICE OF MULTICULTURAL AFFAIRS,
- 2 AIDED IN THE FORMATION OF THE AFRICAN DIASPORA-CENTERED
- 3 MAGAZINE KNOWN AS "THE PROXY," AND ADVOCATED FOR MENTAL HEALTH
- 4 AND HEALING. AT THE TIME OF HER PASSING, SHE WAS IN LOS
- 5 ANGELES TAKING COURSES AT THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN
- 6 CALIFORNIA. SHE WILL BE REMEMBERED FOR HER EXUBERANT SPIRIT,
- 7 HER LOVE FOR WRITING, HER PASSION FOR LOVE AND COMMUNITY AND
- 8 HER JOYFUL HEART. SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY HER
- 9 PARENTS, DRS. LAYLI AND THADDEUS; BROTHER, THADDEUS IV;
- 10 SISTERS, ALYSSA, ABIGAIL; GRANDPARENT, MARY MARJORIE THADDEUS;
- 11 AND A HOST OF FAMILY AND FRIENDS. I'D ALSO LIKE TO ADJOURN IN
- 12 MEMORY OF DR. STEPHEN JOSEPH UMAN, LONGTIME CEDARS- SINAI
- 13 PHYSICIAN WHO PASSED AWAY ON NOVEMBER THE SIXTH OF
- 14 COMPLICATIONS. AND AT ONE POINT, IT SHOULD BE DOCUMENTED THAT
- 15 DR. UMAN SERVED AS THE CHIEF OF STAFF THERE. BORN IN JERSEY
- 16 CITY, NEW JERSEY AND RAISED IN WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA. DR.
- 17 UMAN RECEIVED AN M.D. FROM TULANE UNIVERSITY IN 1969, AND CAME
- 18 TO LOS ANGELES IN 1970 AS A RESIDENT AT CEDARS OF LEBANON
- 19 HOSPITAL, LATER BECAME THE CHIEF RESIDENT AT MOUNT SINAI
- 20 MEDICAL CENTER. HE WAS ELECTED TO THE POSITION OF CHIEF OF
- 21 STAFF AT CEDARS- SINAI, SERVING FROM 1994 TO 1995. HE WAS AN
- 22 ACCOMPLISHED PHOTOGRAPHER AND A CAMERA COLLECTOR AND AN AVID
- 23 TRAVELER. HE WILL BE REMEMBERED FONDLY FOR HIS COMMITMENT TO
- 24 HIS COWORKERS AND THE PATIENTS HE SERVED, HIS AREA OF
- 25 SPECIALTY BEING THAT OF INFECTIOUS DISEASE. TOP-NOTCH



- 1 PHYSICIAN. INCREDIBLE BEDSIDE MANNER. AND CERTAINLY A LEADER
- 2 IN THE MEDICAL COMMUNITY IN OUR REGION AND BEYOND. HE LEAVES
- 3 TO CHERISH HIS MEMORY HIS WIFE, GWEN, AN EXTRAORDINARY
- 4 HEALTHCARE ADVOCATE AND PROFESSIONAL IN THAT FIELD HERSELF;
- 5 HIS SON, RUSSELL; AND HIS SISTER, DENA; AND I MIGHT ADD A HOST
- 6 OF OTHER RELATIVES, COLLEAGUES AND A GRATEFUL COMMUNITY. THAT
- 7 CONCLUDES MY ADJOURNING MOTIONS FOR TODAY. WITH YOUR
- 8 PERMISSION, I'LL READ IN THE ADDITIONAL MATTERS BEFORE US AT
- 9 THE APPROPRIATE TIME. MR. CHAIRMAN, THIS PERTAINS TO AN ACTION
- 10 WE TOOK SOME TIME AGO, AND WE ARE SIMPLY SEIZING THE
- 11 OPPORTUNITY TO REFINE IT, ESSENTIALLY PERMITTING THE AUDITOR-
- 12 CONTROLLER TO ACCESS THE D.C.F.S. CASE FILES. YOU MAY RECALL
- 13 AT THE JULY 28TH BOARD MEETING, WE INSTRUCTED THE CHIEF
- 14 EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO WORK WITH THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER AND THE
- 15 DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES TO
- 16 DO THREE THINGS: FIRST, GATHER THE DEMOGRAPHICS OF ALL
- 17 CHILDREN IN THE D.C.F.S.'S SYSTEM AND THEIR FATALITIES,
- 18 HOMICIDE OR ACCIDENTAL FOR THE PAST THREE YEARS. THE SECOND
- 19 THING WE REQUESTED WAS THAT THEY IDENTIFY ANY UNDERLYING
- 20 TRENDS OR ISSUES. AND, THIRDLY, ANALYZE THOSE TRENDS AND
- 21 ISSUES TO DETERMINE HOW THEY MAY CONTRIBUTE TO DEFICIENCIES IN
- 22 EXISTING POLICIES AS THEY RELATE TO THE IDENTIFYING,
- 23 ASSIGNING, REPORTING AND MONITORING OF CASES. TO COMPLETE
- 24 THEIR INVESTIGATION, THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER REQUIRES ACCESS TO
- 25 SPECIFIC D.C.F.S. CASE FILES IN ORDER TO TEST THE ACCURACY OF



- 1 THE DEMOGRAPHIC AND CASE MANAGEMENT INFORMATION WHICH D.C.F.S.
- 2 HAS COLLECTED AND ANALYZED. SUCH TESTING WILL ALLOW THE
- 3 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER TO FINALIZE ITS REPORT FOR THE BOARD TO
- 4 HAVE COMPREHENSIVE INFORMATION REGARDING THESE ISSUES, THESE
- 5 TRENDS, ISSUES AND D.C.F.S.'S POLICIES SURROUNDING CHILD
- 6 FATALITIES AS WELL AS INFORMATION REGARDING SPECIFIC FATALITY
- 7 CASES. TO DO THIS, IT IS NECESSARY TO MODIFY THE SPECIAL
- 8 INVESTIGATION PREVIOUSLY ORDERED BY THE BOARD. I THEREFORE
- 9 MOVE THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER FIRST TO
- 10 ACCESS ALL RELEVANT INFORMATION IN THE POSSESSION OF D.C.F.S.
- 11 WHICH THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER DEEMS TO BE NECESSARY TO COMPLETE
- 12 HIS INVESTIGATION INTO THE CHILD FATALITIES. RELEVANT
- 13 INFORMATION SHALL INCLUDE BUT IS NOT NECESSARILY LIMITED TO
- 14 D.C.F.S. JUVENILE CASE FILES REQUIRED TO TEST THE ACCURACY OF
- 15 DEMOGRAPHIC AND CASE MANAGEMENT INFORMATION COLLECTED AND
- 16 ANALYZED BY THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER AS PART OF THIS
- 17 INVESTIGATION. SECONDLY, TO REPORT THE OUTCOME OF HIS
- 18 INVESTIGATION IN A CONFIDENTIAL REPORT TO THE BOARD WITHIN 90
- 19 DAYS OF APPROVAL OF THIS MOTION SO THAT THE MAXIMUM PROTECTION
- 20 OF PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY RIGHTS MAY BE MAINTAINED.
- 21 THIRDLY, HE WILL MAINTAIN THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF ALL
- 22 INFORMATION PROVIDED BY D.C.F.S. TO THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER AND
- 23 NOT DISCLOSE THAT INFORMATION OTHER THAN IN THE AUDITOR-
- 24 CONTROLLER'S CONFIDENTIAL REPORT TO THE BOARD OR AS OTHERWISE
- 25 PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW. FINALLY, I WANT TO DIRECT THE



- 1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO INSTRUCT THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN
- 2 AND FAMILY SERVICES TO IMMEDIATELY PERMIT THE AUDITOR-
- 3 CONTROLLER TO RECEIVE AND REVIEW ANY RECORDS IN THE CUSTODY OF
- 4 D.C.F.S. RELATING TO A CHILD WHO HAS DIED AND WHO PREVIOUSLY
- 5 CAME TO THE ATTENTION OF OR WAS UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF
- 6 D.C.F.S., WHICH RECORDS THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER SEEKS TO REVIEW
- 7 IN ORDER TO CARRY OUT THIS SPECIAL INVESTIGATION INTO CHILD
- 8 FATALITIES. WE READ THAT IN FOR DISPOSITION AT OUR NEXT
- 9 MEETING, MR. CHAIRMAN. CORRECT. AND, FINALLY, MAY I READ IN
- 10 THE RATIFICATION OF THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNIVERSITY OF
- 11 CALIFORNIA AND THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES REGARDING THE MARTIN
- 12 LUTHER KING MEDICAL CENTER. IT IS TO BE ON OUR AGENDA FOR NEXT
- 13 WEEK, AS I UNDERSTAND. THIS MOTION ESSENTIALLY BRINGS THAT TO
- 14 THE FORE WITH THE APPROPRIATE ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF THOSE WHO HAVE
- 15 CONTRIBUTED TO WHERE WE ARE AT THIS POINT. WE WISH TO MOVE
- 16 THAT WE RATIFY THE AGREEMENT ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF REGENTS
- 17 AT THEIR NOVEMBER 19 MEETING, FIRST. AND SECONDLY, DIRECT THE
- 18 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD ON
- 19 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF THAT AGREEMENT. THAT
- 20 ESSENTIALLY IS THE MOTION THAT COMES BEFORE US. AND I WOULD
- 21 APPROPRIATELY MOVE IT.

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE ACTING ON IT TODAY? COME BACK NEXT

24 WEEK?

25



1 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: YES.

2

3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SO ORDERED. THAT'S IT?

4

5 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU.

6

7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. I HAVE ONE
- 10 ADJOURNING MOTION, AND IT'S SAD TO REPORT THAT ON SUNDAY
- 11 MORNING, HARRY VICKMAN A LONGTIME RESIDENT OF STUDIO CITY,
- 12 PASSED AWAY AT THE AT THE AGE OF 92. HARRY WAS BORN AND RAISED
- 13 IN LOS ANGELES, MOVED TO SAN FERNANDO VALLEY IN 1945. HE OWNED
- 14 AND OPERATED VICKMAN'S RESTAURANT AND BAKERY IN DOWNTOWN LOS
- 15 ANGELES AT EIGHTH AND CENTRAL FOR MANY YEARS -- IT USED TO BE
- 16 THE PLACE I ATE LUNCH ALMOST EVERY DAY -- A BUSINESS
- 17 ORIGINALLY STARTED BY HIS FATHER IN 1919. IN ADDITION TO
- 18 OPERATING THE RESTAURANT, HE WAS AN ACTIVE VOLUNTEER SERVING
- 19 ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE SKID ROW DEVELOPMENT
- 20 CORPORATION FROM 1980 TO 1988. HE WAS ALSO A GUARDIAN AD LITEM
- 21 WITH THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY COURT SYSTEM FROM 1986 TO '91,
- 22 SERVING AS AN ADVOCATE FOR CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN REMOVED FROM
- 23 THEIR PARENTS AND PLACED IN THE COUNTY'S DEPENDENCY SYSTEM. HE
- 24 ALSO SERVED ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR ORGANIZATION FOR
- 25 NEEDS OF THE ELDERLY, OTHERWISE KNOWN AS ONE GENERATION, FOR



- 1 10 YEARS PROVIDING SERVICES TO THE SENIOR POPULATION OF THE
- 2 SAN FERNANDO VALLEY. IN 1994, ESTABLISHED THE ENCINO FARMER'S
- 3 MARKET AS A WAY FOR SENIORS TO INTERACT WITH THE COMMUNITY AND
- 4 AS AN INNOVATIVE WAY TO RAISE ADDITIONAL FUNDS FOR ONE
- 5 GENERATION. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF 69 YEARS, BARBARA; A
- 6 SON, LARRY; TWO DAUGHTERS, JANET AND NANCY; FOUR GRANDCHILDREN
- 7 AND OTHER FAMILY MEMBERS AND MANY FRIENDS. I DON'T KNOW HOW
- 8 MANY OF YOU EVER ATE AT VICKMAN'S, BUT HIS STRAWBERRY PIES
- 9 WERE LEGENDARY. AT THIS TIME OF YEAR HIS PUMPKIN PIES WERE
- 10 LEGENDARY. ALL MEMBERS. NOT A PROBLEM. IT'S A REAL SAD, SAD
- 11 LOSS FOR OUR COMMUNITY. THANK YOU, THAT'S ALL I HAVE.

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF A GREAT LADY AND A
- 14 LONGTIME FRIEND AND ICON IN THE SOUTHEAST AREA AND THAT'S
- 15 VIRGINIA BOGS, A LONGTIME BELLFLOWER RESIDENT AND AN ADVOCATE.
- 16 SHE PASSED AWAY NOVEMBER 19. SHE MOVED TO BELLFLOWER IN THE
- 17 1950S FROM MIAMI AND MET HER HUSBAND, R.D. BOGS, A LOCAL REAL
- 18 ESTATE BUSINESS OWNER IN 1956. BOGS REALTY WAS THEIR FAMILY
- 19 BUSINESS AND IN 2006, THE BUSINESS CELEBRATED ITS 70TH
- 20 ANNIVERSARY. SHE WORKED FIVE DAYS A WEEK UP UNTIL JUST A
- 21 COUPLE OF WEEKS BEFORE HER PASSING. SHE WAS A VERY STRONG
- 22 ADVOCATE, NOT ONLY FOR THE CITY BUT FOR THE REGION. SHE WAS
- 23 VERY INVOLVED IN MANY COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS AND SENIOR
- 24 PROJECTS, WHICH INCLUDED SHE BUILT ONE OF THE FIRST AFFORDABLE
- 25 SENIOR HOUSING COMPLEXES IN THE AREA. SHE WAS THE FIRST WOMAN



- 1 EVER APPOINTED TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY TAX APPEALS BOARD AND
- 2 WAS A LONGTIME FRIEND OF JULIE AND I. SHE WILL BE MISSED BY
- 3 HER FAMILY AND FRIENDS. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER CHILDREN,
- 4 CHERYL, CAROL, EARL AND FERN, GEORGE; AND NINE GRANDCHILDREN
- 5 AND FIVE GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. GREAT LADY. WILL BE MISSED. ALSO
- 6 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF VIRGINIA ROSE LATINO, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 7 NOVEMBER 17TH. SHE WILL BE MISSED BY HER FAMILY AND FRIENDS.
- 8 SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER FOUR CHILDREN, FRANK, JANICE, BARBARA
- 9 AND JOHN. ALSO WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF CAROLYN BROWNELL, A
- 10 LIFELONG RESIDENT OF SAN PEDRO. SHE WAS A GRADUATE OF SAN
- 11 PEDRO HIGH SCHOOL. SHE WENT ON TO BECOME A DEVOTED EMPLOYEE OF
- 12 THE SAN PEDRO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE FOR 30 YEARS. AND SHE WAS
- 13 JUST A SWEET LADY. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER TWO SONS, BRIAN AND
- 14 MARK, AND FIVE GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 15 JANET DEATS WHO PASSED AWAY RECENTLY. AND SHE WAS THE GRAND
- 16 MARSHAL, WITH HER HUSBAND JOHN, AT THE 2006 LOS
- 17 CERRITOS/VIRGINIA COUNTRY CLUB NEIGHBORHOOD PARADE. SHE WILL
- 18 BE MISSED BY HER FAMILY AND FRIENDS. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER
- 19 HUSBAND OF 40 YEARS, JOHN. ALSO WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 20 DARLENE HARMS, LONG TIME RESIDENT OF LAKEWOOD. THEY MOVED TO
- 21 LOS ANGELES IN 1963 AND TO LAKEWOOD, AS WELL AT THE SAME TIME.
- 22 SHE SERVED ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF LANE-AIRE
- 23 MANUFACTURING CORPORATION SINCE 1994, WAS ITS CHAIRWOMAN OF
- 24 THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNTIL 2007. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER TWO
- 25 SONS, DONALD AND BRIAN; A DAUGHTER, WANDA; AND THREE



- 1 GRANDCHILDREN. ALSO WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MR. WALTER GRAHAM,
- 2 A LONGTIME MEMBER OF THE SANTA MONICA WIND- JAMMERS YACHT
- 3 CLUB. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS NEPHEW, CLAY. HE WILL BE DEARLY
- 4 MISSED BY HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS. WHAT DO WE HAVE LEFT HERE ON
- 5 THE AGENDA? ALL RIGHT. CALL UP ITEM 16. MR. SACHS? WHILE
- 6 YOU'RE UP HERE, YOU CAN ADDRESS 25-A. AND THEN STAY UP HERE
- 7 AND I'M GOING TO CALL DR. CLAVREUL ON 22 AND 23. SO IF YOU'LL
- 8 ADDRESS 16 AND 25-A, PLEASE.

9

- 10 ARNOLD SACHS: GOOD MORNING AGAIN, THANK YOU. ARNOLD SACHS. ON
- 11 16, THE ELECTION RESULTS? I'M JUST -- IN A RELATED MATTER, I
- 12 WAS WONDERING IF THE COUNTY REGISTRAR'S OFFICE HAS LOOKED INTO
- 13 ANY KIND OF PLAN OR ANY KIND OF PROGRAM TO HELP CONSERVE SOME
- 14 COSTS BY RECYCLING THE ELECTION MATERIAL THEY GIVE OUT TO THE
- 15 OFFICIALS OR TO THE POLL WORKERS THAT WORK THE POLLS AT THE
- 16 COUNTY? I'VE WORKED THIS PAST ELECTION, THE PREVIOUS ELECTION
- 17 AND TWO OTHER ELECTIONS AND THE SAME MATERIALS IS HANDED OUT
- 18 FOR ALL THE TRAINING. BUT I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH OF IT COMES
- 19 BACK TO THE COUNTY AND CAN BE REUSED. SO I WAS WONDERING IF
- 20 THERE'S ANY POSSIBLE WAY THAT THE COUNTY REGISTRAR'S OFFICE
- 21 COULD LOOK INTO --

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE CAN LOOK AT IT. I THINK ON THERE IT
- 24 SAYS "PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER" BUT WE'LL DOUBLE-CHECK IT.
- 25 THAT'S A GOOD IDEA. IT'S A GOOD IDEA.

25

STREETS.

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU. 3 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: 25-A. 4 5 ARNOLD SACHS: WAIT I HAVE TO TAKE A BREATH HERE. GOOD IDEA. 6 7 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: DON'T GET CHOKED UP. 9 ARNOLD SACHS: 25-A. I APPRECIATE THE COUNTY BOARD OF 10 SUPERVISORS REQUESTING THE HEALTHY ISSUES HERE. BUT I'M JUST -11 - YOUR ITEM NO. 2, "PREPARE A STUDY THAT IDENTIFIES HEALTHY 12 13 DESIGN FEATURES, INCLUDING BICYCLE AMENITIES AND ENCOURAGES THE INCLUSION OF BOTH PRIVATE AND PUBLIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS 14 IN ORDER TO PROMOTE WALKING AND OTHER OUTDOOR PHYSICAL 15 16 ACTIVITIES." I'M LOOKING AT, AGAIN, AS A BICYCLE ADVOCATE, ALTHOUGH I DON'T RIDE. THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT'S ALLOCATED BY 17 18 THE M.T.A., THE AMOUNT OF MONEY ALLOCATED BY THE L.A.D.O.T., 19 THE PROCESS THAT DOESN'T GIVE BICYCLE RIDERS AN OPPORTUNITY, THERE'S ONLY TWO BIKE RACKS, TWO SPOTS ON A BUS TO HANDLE 20 BIKES, IF YOU WANT TO IMPROVE THE BIKE RIDING SITUATION, DON'T 21 22 MAKE THE STATEMENT UNLESS YOU'RE WILLING TO IMPROVE THE 23 INFRASTRUCTURE THAT HELPS PEOPLE WHO RIDE BIKES GET AROUND THE COUNTY. THANK YOU. AND THAT WOULD INCLUDE BIKE LANES ON MAJOR 24



1

- 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: REALLY WHAT IT'S INTENDED TO DO, TO DEAL
- 3 WITH THE INFRASTRUCTURE AND HOW WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO TIE IT IN
- 4 AND IMPROVE IT. THANK YOU.

5

6 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ON ITEM 16 AND 25-A, THE CHAIR WILL MOVE
- 9 IT. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
- 10 ORDERED. STAY UP HERE, I'M GOING TO CALL UP ITEM 22 AND 23.
- 11 MR. SACHS AND DR. CLAVREUL. PARDON ME? (OFF MIC COMMENTS).

12

- 13 ARNOLD SACHS: ITEM 22, THE IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES, TO
- 14 LOOK INTO THE FRAUD. WELL, THAT'S KIND OF LIKE THE POT CALLING
- 15 THE KETTLE BLACK. I DISCUSSED THE FRAUD THAT I BELIEVE OCCURS
- 16 WITH THE SUNSHINE CANYON LANDFILL. AND IT WAS AN UNRELATED
- 17 ITEM. BUT IT WAS FRAUD. AND THERE'S A SITUATION THAT OCCURRED
- 18 WITH THE RECENT PERSONNEL FIRING WITH THE NEPOTISM THAT OCCURS
- 19 WITHIN THE COUNTY FAMILY. AND THAT WASN'T REALLY ADDRESSED
- 20 UNTIL THE L.A. TIMES MAINTAINED THE PRESSURE TO GET THE NAME
- 21 OF THE PERSON OR THE PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THE --

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ADDRESS THE ISSUE BEFORE US INSTEAD OF
- 24 TRYING TO -- YOU'RE DOING AN A PLUS B THING HERE. JUST ADDRESS
- 25 ITEM 22 AND ITEM 23.



1

- 2 ARNOLD SACHS: ITEM 22, I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE CALL FOR THE
- 3 COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO LOOK INTO FRAUD WHEN IT'S LIKE
- 4 THE TIP OF THE ICEBERG.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THIS IS A CALL FOR THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY
- 7 TO BE INVOLVED.

8

- 9 ARNOLD SACHS: DISTRICT ATTORNEY, WHICHEVER. BUT THERE ARE SO
- 10 MANY OTHER SITUATIONS WHERE IT'S SO BLATANT AND IT'S NOT
- 11 LOOKED INTO. AND AGAIN THIS IS LIKE -- JUST A CHIP OFF THE
- 12 BLOCK.

13

- 14 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WELL THE ITEM BEFORE US IS SPECIFIC.
- 15 YOU'RE TRYING TO STRETCH IT OUT HERE. BUT IT IS SPECIFIC ON
- 16 I.H.H.S. ISSUES, OKAY?

17

- 18 ARNOLD SACHS: YES, YOU'RE RIGHT. I AM TRYING TO STRETCH IT OUT
- 19 BECAUSE I'M TRYING TO LET PEOPLE SEE THAT WHILE SO MUCH ELSE
- 20 IS GOING ON, YOU'RE PICKING A SPECIFIC THING AND IT SHOULD ALL
- 21 BE LOOKED INTO. THANK YOU.

22

23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. DR. CLAVREUL?



- 1 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: ON ITEM 22, DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL.
- 2 PERSONALLY I AM VERY MUCH IN FAVOR OF REALLY LOOKING AT WHAT'S
- 3 GOING ON HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. I THINK THAT'S A PLACE
- 4 WHERE IS NOT A DAY WILL GO BY THAT WE SEE SOME PEOPLE WHO HAVE
- 5 BEEN MISTREATED BY THE CAREGIVER. AND AS WELL I'M VERY MUCH
- 6 ALSO IN FAVOR OF SOMETIMES YOU SAID WE MAYBE PAY A LITTLE
- 7 BETTER AND TRAIN BETTER THERE WILL BE NOT SO MUCH FRAUD AND
- 8 ABUSE. THE ONLY QUESTION I HAVE IS THE TIME FRAME IN THAT
- 9 MOTION, FROM YOU AND SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, IS THAT THE PLAN
- 10 MUST BE APPROVED BY THE BOARD AND SUBMITTED TO THE STATE BY
- 11 NOVEMBER 1. WAS IT SUBMITTED BY NOVEMBER 1? HERE, AS I SAID,
- 12 YOU KNOW, IT WILL REQUIRE THAT THE COUNTY PLAN FOR EACH COUNTY
- 13 WISHING TO ACCESS THE FUNDING. THE PLAN MUST BE APPROVED BY
- 14 THE BOARD AND SUBMITTED TO THE STATE BY NOVEMBER 1. WAS IT
- 15 DONE?

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO. BECAUSE THE STATE EXTENDED THE
- 18 DEADLINE TO DECEMBER 1.

19

- 20 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: TO DECEMBER 1, OKAY. OTHER THAN THAT,
- 21 IT WAS THE 27. I JUST WONDERED IF YOU WERE ON THE BALL, TAKING
- 22 CARE OF IT.

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALWAYS, YOU KNOW. IF NOT, YOU'LL KEEP US
- 25 ON.



1

- 2 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: THANK YOU. ANYWAY -- HMM? (OFF MIC
- 3 COMMENT). YEAH. YOU KNOW, THAT WAS I HAD PROMISED MYSELF THAT
- 4 FOR THANKSGIVING, I WILL BE AT LEAST WALKING A LITTLE BIT. FOR
- 5 NEW YEAR'S I WILL BE -- (OFF MIC COMMENT). WELL I HAVE LOST 36
- 6 POUNDS. YOU SHOULD BE PROUD OF ME, YOU KNOW. [APPLAUSE.] THIS
- 7 IS MY LAST ARTICLE. I HOPE YOU ENJOY IT. IT'S ABOUT -- HEALTH
- 8 CLINIC AND NURSE PRACTITIONER. THANK YOU. DO YOU WANT THE DEAL
- 9 WITH 23, TOO?

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YES, AS LONG AS YOU'RE HERE.

12

- 13 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: YEAH. ON ITEM 23, THERE IS NO REPORT.
- 14 THERE IS NO INFORMATION. SO YOU KNOW. AND YOU KNOW THAT THE
- 15 REPORT WAS ASKED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA FIVE MONTHS AGO. AND IT
- 16 HAS BEEN POSTPONED, POSTPONED, POSTPONED. AND WE STILL HAVE NO
- 17 DOCUMENTATION. THAT'S A SAD STORY. ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE
- 18 TALKING ABOUT RISK MANAGEMENT, I THINK THAT'S, YOU KNOW,
- 19 THAT'S THE FIRST THING YOU SHOULD DO IN BUSINESS IS TO HAVE
- 20 YOUR RISK MANAGEMENT TOGETHER. THAT'S MY ADVICE FOR THE NEW
- 21 YEAR, MAKE SURE WE HAVE ONE. HAPPY THANKSGIVING.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. HAPPY THANKSGIVING TO YOU.
- 24 MR. FUJIOKA, DO YOU HAVE A COPY OF THAT REPORT ON ITEM 23? ALL
- 25 RIGHT. OKAY. BOTH AND RECEIVE AND FILE, IS THAT CORRECT?



1

- 2 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: WITH RESPECT TO 22, I WONDER IF IT'S
- 3 APPROPRIATE TO THE MAKER OF THE MOTION TO HAVE A PERIODIC
- 4 REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD ON A SIX-MONTH BASIS OR WHATEVER THE
- 5 MAKER OF THE MOTION DEEMS APPROPRIATE. BUT I THINK IT'S WORTH
- 6 OUR COLLECTIVE MONITORING.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WELL, WHY DON'T WE DO IT ON A QUARTERLY
- 9 BASIS?

10

11 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THAT'S FINE.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ON A QUARTERLY BASIS, THEN, A REPORT
- 14 BACK ON ITEM 22?

15

16 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS AS
- 19 AMENDED WITH A QUARTERLY REPORT BACK, THE CHAIR WILL SECOND;
- 20 WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM 23 IS RECEIVE AND FILE. OR
- 21 ARE WE GOING TO HAVE A REPORT? BILL, ITEM 23, DO YOU HAVE A
- 22 REPORT? OKAY. WE WILL HAVE THE DEPARTMENT COME OUT HERE AND
- 23 MAKE SURE THAT DR. CLAVREUL GETS A COPY OF THE REPORT.



- 1 SHEILA SHIMA: AS WE'RE WAITING FOR THE DEPARTMENT TO COME UP,
- 2 IT'S SHEILA SHIMA, DEPUTY C.E.O., HEALTH AND MENTAL HEALTH
- 3 SERVICES. AND I'LL GO AHEAD AND START THE REPORT. WE DID
- 4 PROVIDE A COPY TO DR. CLAVREUL. ITEM 23 IS A REPORT ON THE
- 5 REQUEST FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES FOR A
- 6 REORGANIZATION WITHIN THEIR DEPARTMENT TO CREATE A RISK
- 7 MANAGEMENT UNIT. AND THIS WOULD BE A RISK MANAGEMENT UNIT
- 8 LOOKING AT NON-MEDICAL MALPRACTICE ISSUES. THE UNIT WAS
- 9 ACTUALLY CREATED AS PART OF A REPORT BY OUR C.E.O. RISK
- 10 MANAGEMENT UNIT IN REVIEWING THE OPERATIONS THERE AT THE
- 11 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. AND THE REQUEST ITSELF FROM THE
- 12 DEPARTMENT WAS PRESENTED TO US AS PART OF THE BUDGET PROCESS,
- 13 THE COUNTY BUDGET PROCESS. AS PART OF OUR REVIEW, WE DID
- 14 RECOMMEND THE REORGANIZATION TO CREATE THE UNIT. AND WE, AS
- 15 PART OF THE BUDGET PROCESS, HAD ORIGINALLY APPROVED ADDING
- 16 BUDGETED POSITIONS TO ENHANCE THE STRUCTURE OF THE DEPARTMENT.
- 17 BUT WITH A CONTINUANCE OF THE ITEM AS PART OF THE BUDGET, WE
- 18 DID DELETE THE ITEMS. THE REPORT BEFORE YOU IS ACTUALLY
- 19 PRESENTING THE PROPOSAL THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAD PRESENTED.
- 20 WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO IS WORK WITH THE DEPARTMENT TO GO
- 21 AHEAD AND IMPLEMENT THIS. THE REQUEST FROM THE DEPARTMENT WAS
- 22 TO USE EXISTING BUDGETED VACANT POSITIONS TO CREATE THIS UNIT,
- 23 SO THERE WOULD NOT BE A CHANGE TO THEIR OVERALL BUDGETED
- 24 POSITION COUNT OR AN IMPACT TO THE DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET. WE
- 25 WILL BE RETURNING TO THE BOARD WITH THE REQUESTED CHANGES AS



- 1 PART OF THE PROPOSED BUDGET NEXT APRIL. BUT IN THE MEANTIME
- 2 WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO IS WORK WITH THE DEPARTMENT TO MOVE
- 3 FORWARD WITH IMPLEMENTATION BECAUSE WE FEEL THAT IT'S
- 4 IMPORTANT FOR THEM TO PUT THIS STRUCTURE IN PLACE. IF THERE
- 5 ARE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS OR A NEED FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
- 6 ON SPECIFICS OF THE STRUCTURE, WE HAVE REPRESENTATIVES FROM
- 7 OUR RISK MANAGEMENT UNIT, JOHN STERRITT, AND GREG POLK WHO IS
- 8 THE INTERIM ADMINISTRATIVE DEPUTY AT THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 9 SERVICES.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WELL, I THINK IT WOULD BE IMPORTANT, AT
- 12 LEAST, I THOUGHT THIS WAS A RECEIVE AND FILE, YOU'RE COMING
- 13 BACK; BUT ARE WE TAKING AN ACTION TO ACTUALLY ALLOW YOU TO
- 14 IMPLEMENT?

15

- 16 SHEILA SHIMA: WE CAN ACTUALLY WORK WITH THE DEPARTMENT WITHOUT
- 17 ADDITIONAL ACTION BY THE BOARD TO DO THAT. BECAUSE IT INCLUDES
- 18 EXISTING POSITIONS.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THEN WOULDN'T IT BE IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO
- 21 SHARE THAT ORGANIZATIONAL PIECE WITH US SO THAT WE HAVE SOME
- 22 SORT OF IDEA ON THE STRUCTURE OF WHAT WE'RE IMPLEMENTING?

- 24 SHEILA SHIMA: YES, ABSOLUTELY. AND WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH
- 25 YOUR BOARD OFFICES WITH SOME ADDITIONAL DETAIL FROM OUR AGENDA



- I REVIEW MEETINGS. BUT WE'LL CONTINUE TO DO THAT AS WE MOVE
- 2 FORWARD.

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

5

- 6 SHEILA SHIMA: WOULD YOU LIKE SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
- 7 CURRENTLY ON THE --

8

9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THAT WOULD BE NICE.

10

11 SHEILA SHIMA: ABSOLUTELY.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I THINK IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE SOME
- 14 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

15

- 16 JOHN STERRITT: MR. SUPERVISOR, WHAT WE'RE PLANNING ON DOING IS
- 17 CREATING A RISK MANAGEMENT UNIT INVOLVING AN ENVIRONMENTAL
- 18 HEALTH AND SAFETY UNIT, EIGHT RESOURCES, A CLAIMS UNIT OF FIVE
- 19 RESOURCES AND PUTTING ADDITIONAL RESOURCES INTO RETURN TO WORK
- 20 EFFORT TO ADDRESS THE WORKERS' COMPENSATION AND GENERAL
- 21 LIABILITY NONMEDICAL MALPRACTICE EXPOSURES IN THE DEPARTMENT
- 22 OF HEALTH SERVICES.

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. AND WHAT IS THE OVERSIGHT? WHAT'S
- 25 THE ACCOUNTABILITY PIECE HERE? IT'S NICE TO PUT THESE IN



- 1 PLACE, BUT OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE ONGOING RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES.
- 2 HOW DOES THE DEPARTMENT WORK WITH THE RISK MANAGEMENT UNIT?
- 3 HOW DOES THAT HAPPEN? WHAT'S THE INTERFACE?

4

- 5 JOHN STERRITT: WELL, WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH THE DEPARTMENT
- 6 FOR THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF ON THE STUDY AND THE
- 7 RECOMMENDATIONS, AND OUR PLAN IN RISK MANAGEMENT IS TO
- 8 CONTINUE TO WORK WITH THE DEPARTMENT, CONDUCT PERIODIC
- 9 EVALUATIONS OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UNIT, WORK WITH THE
- 10 DEPARTMENT ON THE SELECTION AND RECRUITMENT OF STAFF, AND
- 11 WE'VE GENERATED A NUMBER OF PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES
- 12 THAT WE'RE GOING TO TRACK ON AN ONGOING BASIS UNTIL THE
- 13 PROJECT'S COMPLETELY IMPLEMENTED TO OUR SATISFACTION.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. SO WHAT IS THE NEXT PROCESS --
- 16 CHAIR?

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: WE'RE GOING TO PUT IT IN THAT PERSONAL
- 19 EVALUATION, EACH MANAGER IS GOING TO BE PLACED, RISK
- 20 MANAGEMENT WILL BE PLACED IN THE PERSONAL EVALUATION, CORRECT?

21

22 JOHN STERRITT: THAT'S CORRECT, SUPERVISOR.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO, I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT. CHECKING
- 2 WITH MY STAFF, THERE HAS NOT BEEN A WRITTEN DOCUMENT. THERE
- 3 HAS BEEN DISCUSSION OR AT LEAST I HAVE NOT --

4

- 5 SHEILA SHIMA: SUPERVISOR, WE ACTUALLY DO HAVE A WRITTEN REPORT
- 6 ON THE STRUCTURE AND THE REQUEST FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
- 7 SERVICES FOR THE ADDITIONAL POSITIONS AND THE RISK MANAGEMENT
- 8 STRUCTURE.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: DOES IT SHOW A FLOW BETWEEN THE
- 11 DEPARTMENT AND THROUGH OUR RISK MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT? I'M
- 12 JUST TRYING TO CONNECT THE DOTS HERE. YOU KNOW, IT'S ALL WELL
- 13 AND GOOD THAT WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT YOU SAY THIS, BUT IF
- 14 THERE'S NO --

15

16 SHEILA SHIMA: YES, IT DOES.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IT'S NOT JUST THE DEPARTMENT SAYING
- 19 THIS, WHAT'S THE CONNECTION BETWEEN THE RISK MANAGEMENT AND
- 20 THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT?

- 22 JOHN STERRITT: JOHN, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANT TO GO AHEAD AND
- 23 DESCRIBE THAT. BECAUSE OUR REPORT ITSELF DEALT SPECIFICALLY
- 24 WITH WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES AND SPECIFIC TO
- 25 THE CREATION OF THE RISK MANAGEMENT UNIT WITHIN THERE. BUT



- 1 JOHN CAN PROVIDE MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP THAT
- 2 UNIT WILL HAVE WITH OUR OFFICE.

3

- 4 JOHN STERRITT: YES, SUPERVISOR. OUR CURRENT PLAN, AS AGREED
- 5 UPON WITH THE C.E.O., IS WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE TO PROVIDE
- 6 ONGOING MONITORING TO THE UNIT. WE'RE GOING TO WORK WITH GREG
- 7 AND HIS TEAM AS FAR AS THE STAFFING AND DEVELOPING GOALS AND
- 8 TROUBLE-SHOOTING SOME OF THE LARGER SYSTEMATIC ISSUES THAT ARE
- 9 CURRENTLY WITHIN HEALTH SERVICES. AND OUR PLAN IS TO
- 10 COLLABORATE AND WORK WITH THE DEPARTMENT UNTIL THE UNIT IS
- 11 BASICALLY SELF-SUSTAINABLE AND SELF-FUNCTIONING.

12

13 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WHEN WAS A REPORT ISSUED?

14

15 SHEILA SHIMA: THE INITIAL REPORT WAS ACTUALLY --

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO, NO. THAT'S BACK IN SEPTEMBER.
- 18 THERE'S BEEN NOTHING SINCE SEPTEMBER?

19

- 20 SHEILA SHIMA: CORRECT. BECAUSE THE REPORT ITSELF HAS BEEN
- 21 CONTINUED SINCE THAT TIME.

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO HOW DO YOU ASK US TO IMPLEMENT TODAY
- 24 WITHOUT SOME DEGREE OF FINALITY OF WHAT WE'RE DEALING WITH?
- 25 HAVE THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES SINCE SEPTEMBER?



1

- 2 SHEILA SHIMA: THERE HAVE NOT BEEN ANY CHANGES SINCE SEPTEMBER.
- 3 WHAT WE HAVE SPENT THE TIME DOING IS RESPONDING TO QUESTIONS
- 4 THAT HAVE COME UP AND CLARIFICATION. BUT THE PROPOSAL ITSELF
- 5 REMAINS THE SAME AS WE PRESENTED.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THERE HAVE NOT BEEN ANY STRUCTURAL
- 8 CHANGES TO THE SEPTEMBER LETTER?

9

- 10 SHEILA SHIMA: THAT IS CORRECT. THE ONE CHANGE IS, IN THE
- 11 SEPTEMBER REPORT, WE WERE ANTICIPATING THAT THE ITEMS WOULD BE
- 12 PART -- IN FACT, THEY WERE PART OF OUR SUPPLEMENTAL CHANGES
- 13 RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD. BUT BECAUSE OF THE CONTINUANCE,
- 14 WE DID PULL THOSE ITEMS OUT OF THE BUDGET AND WE'LL HAVE TO
- 15 RETURN TO YOUR BOARD AS PART OF THE PROPOSED BUDGET PROCESS
- 16 NEXT APRIL.

17

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE QUESTION IS WOULD THIS ORGANIZATIONAL
- 21 STRUCTURE ENSURE THAT THE HOSPITAL FACILITIES' REQUIREMENTS
- 22 FOR SELF-GOVERNMENT IS NOT COMPROMISED? SPECIFICALLY THAT THE
- 23 RISK MANAGER IS GOING TO STILL BE ABLE TO REPORT TO THE
- 24 HOSPITAL AND THE C.E.O?

25

APPROVED BY THE BOARD.

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



JOHN STERRITT: THAT'S CORRECT, SUPERVISOR. 1 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THERE'S NO COMPROMISING ON THAT? 3 4 5 JOHN STERRITT: NO COMPROMISE. 6 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? OKAY. ALL RIGHT. 8 GENEVIEVE DOES HAVE A COPY OF THE REPORT, IS THAT CORRECT? 9 SHEILA SHIMA: THAT'S CORRECT. 10 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. IS THIS AN ACTION ITEM, 12 13 THEN? 14 SHEILA SHIMA: NO. RECEIVE AND FILE. 15 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: NO? OKAY. A LOT MORE COMFORTABLE WITH 17 18 THAT. WHAT IS THE NEXT STEP? WHEN ARE YOU COMING BACK TO US? I 19 MEAN I JUST SORT OF FEEL LIKE YOU GOT A LITTLE BIT HERE AND YOU GOT A LITTLE BIT HERE, AND WHEN DOES IT ALL COME BACK? 20 21 22 SHEILA SHIMA: WHAT WE'LL BE DOING IS PRESENTING IT AS A 23 PACKAGE TO YOUR BOARD IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET. BECAUSE AT THIS POINT, THERE WILL BE NO CHANGES TO THE BUDGETED POSITIONS 24



SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: AND NO CHANGES TO THE STRUCTURE?

4 SHEILA SHIMA: WHAT WE'D LIKE TO DO IS ACTUALLY IMPLEMENT THE

5 CHANGE IN THE STRUCTURE. WE CAN --

6

3

7 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE CAN COME BACK.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IF THEY'RE GOING TO, I'M JUST ASKING
- 10 EVERYONE. I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT MY COLLEAGUES ARE
- 11 COMFORTABLE WITH THAT. OKAY. SO WE WILL RECEIVE AND FILE.

12

13 SHEILA SHIMA: CORRECT. THANK YOU.

14

- 15 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: PLUS, WE SHOULD REPORT BACK TO YOU ON THE
- 16 SUCCESS OF THIS EFFORT. AND SO AT LEAST ON A QUARTERLY BASIS,
- 17 WE'LL GIVE YOU AN UPDATE ON HOW WELL WE'RE DOING.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I APPRECIATE THAT. I ALSO, ONCE THE
- 20 CHANGES ARE IMPLEMENTED, I THINK IT WOULD BE NICE TO COME BACK
- 21 PRIOR TO -- BUDGET'S SORT OF DIFFERENT. YOU PUT IT IN A LITTLE
- 22 PACKAGE AND YOU PRESENT IT AS A DOLLAR THING.

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE CAN DO THAT.



- 1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OBVIOUSLY, THIS IS A TAD MORE IMPORTANT
- 2 THAN JUST THE DOLLAR PIECE. IF THE STRUCTURE'S IMPLEMENTED,
- 3 THAT IT'S CONNECTED TO RISK MANAGEMENT, SO YOU HAVE
- 4 ACCOUNTABILITY, YOU HAVE MEASURABLE OUTCOMES AND ALL THOSE
- 5 KINDS OF THINGS.

6

7 SHEILA SHIMA: ABSOLUTELY, WE'LL DO THAT.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO MAYBE WHEN YOU GET THE CHANGES --
- 10 WHEN ARE YOU GOING TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION?
- 11 SOON?

12

- 13 SHEILA SHIMA: WHAT WE'D LIKE TO DO IS MOVE FORWARD OVER THE
- 14 NEXT FEW MONTHS WITH THE DEPARTMENT IN PUTTING THE STRUCTURE
- 15 TOGETHER. AND I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT IN TERMS OF THE
- 16 REORGANIZATION, WHICH IS WHAT THE DEPARTMENT IS DOING, THAT IS
- 17 AN AUTHORITY THAT IS DELEGATED TO OUR OFFICE TO WORK WITH THE
- 18 DEPARTMENTS ON REORGANIZATIONS TO THEIR INTERNAL STRUCTURE.
- 19 THE ADDITION OF POSITIONS, THOUGH, AND THE CHANGES ARE SUBJECT
- 20 TO THE BUDGET PROCESS AND WE'LL BE RETURNING TO THE BOARD WITH
- 21 THAT. AND SO WE WILL, WE CAN PROVIDE A REPORT IN JANUARY PRIOR
- 22 TO --

- 24 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WELL IF THEY'RE SUBJECT TO BUDGET
- 25 APPROVAL, THEN HOW CAN YOU IMPLEMENT?



1

- 2 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WILL BE PRESENTED IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET WILL
- 3 BE A REQUEST FOR ANY ADDITIONAL RESOURCES. WHAT THEY'RE DOING
- 4 RIGHT NOW IS USING EXISTING VACANT POSITIONS TO MOVE THIS
- 5 PROGRAM FORWARD. AND SO IF WE DO, YOU KNOW, AS WE COME FORWARD
- 6 IN NEXT YEAR'S PROPOSED BUDGET, YOU'LL SEE OUR REQUESTS FOR --
- 7 WE DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHAT IT WOULD LOOK LIKE, BUT YOU MAY SEE
- 8 REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL RESOURCES TO SUPPORT THIS PROGRAM. BUT
- 9 GIVEN ITS CRITICALITY, WE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE BEST TO USE SOME
- 10 EXISTING POSITIONS AND MOVE IT FORWARD NOW.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. S-1? IS
- 13 THERE A REPORT ON S-1?

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: I'VE ALREADY READ THE REPORT, I DON'T KNOW IF WE
- 16 NEED AN ORAL REPORT. DR. CLAVREUL, DO YOU WANT TO COMMENT ON
- 17 S-1, PLEASE?

- 19 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD MORNING, AGAIN, DR. GENEVIEVE
- 20 CLAVREUL. YOU KNOW, I ALSO READ THE REPORT, BUT IT WAS NOT
- 21 AVAILABLE UNTIL THIS MORNING. AND, YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU KNOW HOW
- 22 MANY PEOPLE WERE INVOLVED WITH THAT REPORT, THAT'S REALLY AS
- 23 ASININE. MOST OF IT IS COMPUTERIZED. I THINK THE FIRST TWO
- 24 PAGES TOTALLY NEGATE THE REPORT, IN FACT TO EXCUSE ABOUT
- 25 EVERYTHING WAS NOT CHANGED. SO I'M NOT VERY PLEASED WITH IT.



- 1 ALSO, YOU KNOW LAST WEEK I HAD REQUESTED A WRITTEN LEGAL
- 2 OPINION ON THE PROMOTION OF CAROL MEYER AND HOW COME THE
- 3 COUNTY COULD PUT THAT THEY WERE AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER
- 4 WHEN THE POSITION WAS ONLY OPEN TO COUNTY EMPLOYEE. SO I STILL
- 5 WOULD LIKE TO HAVE IT. I WILL ASK FOR IT EVERY WEEK UNTIL I
- 6 GET IT.

7

8 SUP. MOLINA: YOU'RE NOT ENTITLED TO A LEGAL OPINION.

9

- 10 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: YES, I AM ENTITLED A WRITTEN LEGAL
- 11 OPINION.

12

13 SUP. MOLINA: IS THAT TRUE?

14

- 15 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: YES. LAST WEEK, YOU SAID I WAS
- 16 ENTITLED TO IT.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: NO. BECAUSE I MEAN, WE, I MEAN COUNTY COUNSEL
- 19 WORKS FOR US TO GIVE US LEGAL OPINIONS. BUT I DON'T UNDERSTAND
- 20 THAT THEY PROVIDE THEM TO THE PUBLIC. I DON'T THINK SO. I JUST
- 21 WANT YOU TO KNOW --

22

- 23 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I'M ALSO A JOURNALIST, I CAN REQUEST
- 24 AS A PRESS TO GET A COPY OF IT.



1 SUP. MOLINA: YOU CAN MAKE THE REQUEST.

2

- 3 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: AND I WILL GO ALL THE WAY ON THIS ONE
- 4 BECAUSE I THINK IT'S TOTALLY UNBELIEVABLE THAT YOU HAVE AN
- 5 EMPLOYEE WHO WAS PROMOTED FROM AN INTERIM POSITION WHEN WE DO
- 6 NOT HAVE A HEAD OF THE D.H.S. YET. IT WAS NO REASON TO PUT HER
- 7 IN A PERMANENT POSITION. AND THEN YOU TELL HER THE JOB
- 8 DESCRIPTION JUST TO MEET HER CRITERIA. IT IS ONLY POSTED FOR
- 9 THREE WEEKS DURING THE HOLIDAYS LAST YEAR. AND THEN ON TOP OF
- 10 THE PAGE, YOU PUT "THIS POSITION IS LIMITED TO COUNTY
- 11 EMPLOYEES." THEN AT THE BOTTOM OF THE APPLICATION, YOU PUT,
- 12 "WE ARE AN EQUAL EMPLOYER". THAT'S A BIG LIE, BECAUSE IF YOU
- 13 ARE AN EQUAL EMPLOYER, ANYBODY CAN COMPETE FOR THAT POSITION
- 14 WHO HAVE THE CREDENTIALS AND NOT SPECIFICALLY CAROL MEYER ANY
- 15 EMPLOYEE ALONE. IT DOES NOT MAKE ANY SENSE. AND, YOU KNOW, THE
- 16 LEGAL COUNSEL, HAVE THE RIGHT -- AND SHOULD -- GIVE AN OPINION
- 17 ON THAT. AND I WILL ASK STEVE COOLEY TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT.
- 18 AND I WILL GO TO THE PRESS ON THIS ONE. AND HERE I'M ASKING
- 19 YOU AS A PRESS MEMBER TO GET A COPY OF THAT. YOU DIDN'T HAVE
- 20 TO PUT WE ARE ALREADY EMPLOYER, DO ONE OR THE OTHER.

21

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. THAT'S RECEIVE AND
- 23 FILE. THANK YOU. YES? SURE. S-1.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ASK A QUESTION. IN THE NOVEMBER 10 REPORT,
- 2 THEY INDICATED SOME ACTIVITY OCCURRED ON ZERO-BASED BUDGETING,
- 3 BUT IT'S UNCLEAR EXACTLY WHAT IF ANYTHING WAS ACCOMPLISHED. DO
- 4 YOU HAVE ANY DETAILS ON THE CONSULTANTS' RECOMMENDATIONS AND
- 5 YOUR MODIFICATIONS OF THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS? THE WORK THAT WAS
- 6 BEEN DONE TO COMPLETE THAT LINE ITEM BY LINE ITEM REVIEW? AND
- 7 COULD YOU PROVIDE A DETAILED REPORT TO THE BOARD, INCLUDING
- 8 ACTIONS OR CHANGES THAT HAVE BEEN MADE AS A RESULT OF THE
- 9 PROJECT?

10

- 11 DR. JOHN SCHUNHOFF: SUPERVISOR, WE'LL GIVE YOU THE INFORMATION
- 12 YOU'RE LOOKING FOR.

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY, THANK YOU.

15

- 16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ANY OTHER THING? THEN IF NOT,
- 17 WE'LL RECEIVE AND FILE. THANK YOU. ITEM 25-B? IT'S HELD FOR A
- 18 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC. LYNNE PLAMBECK. LYNNE, DO YOU WANT TO
- 19 COME ON UP?

- 21 LYNNE PLAMBECK: LYNNE PLAMBECK, SANTA CLARITA ORGANIZATION FOR
- 22 PLANNING AND THE ENVIRONMENT. AS MR. ANTONOVICH KNOWS I'M
- 23 SURE, WE'VE BEEN EDITORIALIZING IN OUR COLUMN IN THE LOCAL
- 24 NEWSPAPER, "THE SIGNAL," SEVERAL TIMES ABOUT HOW WILD FIRES
- 25 ARE MANAGED. WE'VE BEEN DOWN TO SPEAK TO THE BOARD SEVERAL



- 1 TIMES ABOUT APPROVING PROJECTS IN WILDFIRE AREAS BECAUSE THEN
- 2 THEY REQUIRE CLEARANCE. AND WE'VE ALSO BEEN EXTREMELY
- 3 CONCERNED ABOUT THE NUMBER OF ARSON FIRES AND THE FACT THAT
- 4 FIRES GET STARTED IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY FROM ELECTRICAL WIRES.
- 5 WE DON'T HAVE A NORMAL FIRE CYCLE IN THIS AREA. WE HAVE
- 6 WILDFIRES EVERY SINGLE YEAR. THE WILDLIFE CAN'T RECOVER. THE
- 7 TREES CAN'T RECOVER. THE HABITAT CAN'T RECOVER. SO WHILE THERE
- 8 ARE CERTAIN PARTS OF THIS MOTION THAT WE ARE EXTREMELY
- 9 SUPPORTIVE OF AND SO HAPPY THAT MR. ANTONOVICH IS LOOKING AT,
- 10 WE ALSO HAVE A LOT OF CONCERNS THAT AREN'T ADDRESSED. OUR
- 11 ANGELES NATIONAL FOREST IS NOT ONLY AN AREA WHERE PEOPLE LIVE,
- 12 IT'S AN AREA WHERE PEOPLE RECREATE, IT'S AN INCREDIBLE HABITAT
- 13 FOR THE CREATURES. AND THESE ARE ALSO WILDLIFE CORRIDOR AREAS.
- 14 AND WE'VE BEEN VERY CONCERNED AT HOW FIRES ARE SUPPRESSED OR
- 15 NOT SUPPRESSED. THEY HAVE BEEN BURNING OUT THE ONLY WILDLIFE
- 16 CORRIDOR AREA, FOR INSTANCE, BETWEEN THE SANTA SUSANA AND LOS
- 17 PADRES AND SAN GABRIEL MOUNTAINS. THE ANIMALS HAVE NOWHERE TO
- 18 GO. THEY GET BACKED UP. IN 2003, 200 DEER GOT BACKED UP
- 19 AGAINST A WALL AND JUST BURNED TO DEATH BECAUSE THEY HAD
- 20 NOWHERE TO GO. SO IT CONCERNS US WHEN YOU SAY MODIFY ALL
- 21 EXISTING WILDERNESS AREA LEGISLATION TO ASSURE THAT ANY AND
- 22 ALL SUPPRESSION EQUIPMENT AND TECHNIQUES CAN BE APPLIED AT THE
- 23 DISCRETION OF THE FIRE INCIDENT COMMANDER. WHILE MOST OF THOSE
- 24 MIGHT BE GREAT, I MEAN IF YOU'RE GOING TO LET THEM CHOP DOWN
- 25 AN OAK WE'VE WORKED SO HARD TO SAVE OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT,



- 1 SOMEBODY OUGHT TO KNOW. AND THE WAY TO HAVE THAT HAPPEN IS
- 2 HAVE MORE COMMUNICATION BEFORE THE EMERGENCY HAPPENS AND MORE
- 3 DISCUSSION, WHICH I THINK IS PROBABLY WHERE YOU'RE GOING WITH
- 4 THIS MOTION. BUT WE WOULD JUST LIKE TO ENSURE THAT THE OTHER
- 5 RESOURCES THAT ARE REPRESENTED BY THESE HABITAT AREAS, WHICH
- 6 ARE WATERSHED, WATER QUALITY, CARBON FOOTPRINT REDUCTION, AND
- 7 THE HABITAT FOR THE ANIMALS THAT WE ALL ENJOY, AND THE HIKING
- 8 TRAILS, THAT THOSE ARE CONSIDERED AS WELL AS HOUSES. AND WE
- 9 URGE THIS COUNTY TO STOP APPROVING SPRAWL INTO HIGH FIRE
- 10 HAZARD AREAS. IT COSTS THE PUBLIC. IT COSTS THE TAXPAYER. IT
- 11 COSTS OUR COMMUNITIES. AND IT COSTS OUR QUALITY OF LIFE. SO I
- 12 JUST WISH YOU WOULD LOOK MORE CLOSELY AT SOME OF THE PARTS OF
- 13 THIS AND FORM A TASKFORCE AND THAT SOME ENVIRONMENTAL
- 14 ORGANIZATION -- MAYBE YOU DON'T WANT US BECAUSE WE'RE TOO
- 15 SCRAPPY -- BUT WHOEVER YOU WANT TO HAVE, THAT YOU HAVE SOMEONE
- 16 THAT TALKS A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE WILDLIFE RESOURCES, AS WELL.

18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. ITEM 25?

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MOVE THE ITEM.

17

19

- 22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. THE
- 23 CHAIR WILL SECOND. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. OKAY. ITEM
- 24 14 AND 21? ONE IS TIED TO THE OTHER. I THINK SUPERVISOR

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



ANTONOVICH HELD THIS ITEM? IS THERE A PARTICULAR STAFF YOU'D 1 LIKE TO --2 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME ASK THE C.E.O., I DON'T KNOW WHICH 4 5 ONE, QUESTIONS. THE FIRST QUESTION, ON PAGE 1, THERE'S AN INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF STAFF ASSISTANT I POSITIONS IN 6 7 D.C.F.S. AND IS THIS NEW STAFF ASSISTANT POSITION FILLED 8 ALREADY? OR IS IT GOING TO BE FILLED AS A RESULT OF TODAY'S ACTION IN WHICH A JOB ANNOUNCEMENT WOULD BE ADVERTISED FOR THE NEW POSITION? 10 11 12 SPEAKER: THAT POSITION IS CURRENTLY FILLED, SUPERVISOR. 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: PARDON? 14 15 16 SPEAKER: IT IS CURRENTLY FILLED. 17 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. THERE ARE ALSO SIX NEW SENIOR SECRETARY 19 II POSITIONS. ARE THOSE ALREADY FILLED? 20 SPEAKER: IN THAT CASE --21 22 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: D.C.S.S.?



- 1 SPEAKER: YEAH. THERE ARE FOUR OF THOSE SIX ARE CURRENTLY
- 2 FILLED.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BY DELETING 370 ACCOUNTANT II POSITIONS AND
- 5 ADDING 370 ADDITIONAL D.T.A. III POSITIONS, WHAT WOULD THE
- 6 COST DIFFERENCE BE BETWEEN THE ACCOUNTANT II POSITIONS AND THE
- 7 D.T.A. III POSITIONS THAT RESULT FROM RECLASSIFICATION OF THE
- 8 TWO POSITIONS?

9

- 10 SPEAKER: ACTUALLY THAT WAS, IN THE LETTER WE REFERRED TO A
- 11 TECHNICAL CORRECTION, AND THAT'S WHAT THAT WAS REFERRING TO.
- 12 THE STAFFING ORDINANCE SHOULD ALWAYS HAVE READ 370 D.D.A.
- 13 IIIS. SO THAT WAS AN ERROR THAT WE'RE CORRECTING AND MAKING
- 14 RIGHT.

15

- 16 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT WAS A TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR. THE DEPARTMENT
- 17 NEVER HAD 370 ACCOUNTANT II POSITIONS.

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IT DOES SEEM, THOUGH, THAT THE PROCESS OF
- 20 MAKING PERSONNEL ADJUSTMENTS IN THE HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM AND
- 21 THE CIVIL SERVICE SYSTEM AS A WHOLE IS NOT AS EFFICIENT AS IT
- 22 OUGHT TO BE. AND COULD WE ALSO INCLUDE THAT THE ECONOMY AND
- 23 EFFICIENCY COMMISSION WORK WITH THE STAKEHOLDERS IN REVIEW OF
- 24 THESE CURRENT CIVIL SERVICE SYSTEMS AND HUMAN RESOURCES
- 25 MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND RECOMMEND WAYS TO THE BOARD OF



- 1 SUPERVISORS, LET'S SAY 90 DAYS, REPORT BACK, MR. CHAIRMAN? SO
- 2 I'LL MOVE THE ITEM.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ANYONE ELSE WITH ANY OTHER QUESTIONS ON
- 5 IT? IF NOT, THEN CAN WE DO 14 AND 21 TOGETHER? OKAY. MOVED BY
- 6 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, AS AMENDED. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 7 RIDLEY-THOMAS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ITEM 12? AND
- 8 ITEM 12, WE HAVE SEVERAL SPEAKERS. I CALL UP DENISE NG, SARA
- 9 SADHWANI, CARL BERGQUIST, AND THOSE ARE THE FIRST THREE. WHEN
- 10 YOU'RE FINISHED WITH YOUR TESTIMONY, IF YOU'D RETURN TO YOUR
- 11 SEATS, THEN I'LL CALL THREE MORE. THANK YOU.

12

- 13 DENISE NG: I'M DENISE NG, I'M WITH S.E.I.U. U.S.W.W., AND I'M
- 14 HERE TODAY IN OPPOSITION OF THE COUNTY'S FURTHER STUDY OF THE
- 15 USE OF E-VERIFY. AND WE OPPOSE IT BECAUSE IT HURTS BOTH
- 16 BUSINESSES AND WORKERS. EMPLOYERS ARE AFRAID TO SPEAK OUT IN
- 17 OPPOSITION BECAUSE THEY DON'T WANT TO BECOME A TARGET. WHAT
- 18 HAPPENS WHEN AN EMPLOYER RECEIVES A NO-MATCH LETTER IS THEY
- 19 WILL TERMINATE THE EMPLOYEE RATHER THAN GIVING THEM THE 30
- 20 DAYS TO RECTIFY THE ISSUE. AND WE SUPPORT COMPREHENSIVE
- 21 IMMIGRATION REFORM. WE FEEL THAT'S THE SOLUTION RATHER THAN E-
- 22 VERIFY. AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH YOU.

- 24 SARA SADHWANI: HI, GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS SARA SADHWANI, I'M
- 25 A PROJECT DIRECTOR AT THE ASIAN-PACIFIC AMERICAN LEGAL CENTER



- 1 OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. AND I'M HERE TO EXPRESS OUR OPPOSITION
- 2 TO THE USE AND MANDATE OF THE E-VERIFY PROGRAM. OUR OPPOSITION
- 3 STEMS FROM THE UNACCEPTABLY HIGH ERROR RATES THE PROGRAM
- 4 PRODUCES AND THE DISPROPORTIONATE IMPACT THE ERROR RATES HAVE
- 5 ON LEGAL PERMANENT RESIDENTS AND NATURALIZED CITIZENS. LOS
- 6 ANGELES COUNTY, AS YOU ALL KNOW, HAS ONE OF THE NATION'S
- 7 LARGEST ASIAN AMERICAN AND PACIFIC ISLANDER POPULATIONS, WHERE
- 8 ABOUT 70 PERCENT OF THE A.P.I. COMMUNITY IS FOREIGN-BORN. A
- 9 STUDY COMMISSIONED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY
- 10 FOUND THAT WHILE THE E-VERIFY MAINTAINS A 10 PERCENT ERROR
- 11 RATE, LAWFULLY PRESENT, FOREIGN BORN WORKERS ARE 30 TIMES MORE
- 12 LIKELY THAN NATIVE BORN U.S. CITIZENS TO BE INCORRECTLY
- 13 IDENTIFIED AS NOT AUTHORIZED FOR EMPLOYMENT. AT THE LEGAL
- 14 CENTER, WE'VE ALREADY BEGUN TO SEE THE IMPACT OF THE PROGRAM
- 15 FROM COMPANIES USING THE SYSTEM VOLUNTARILY. THIS SUMMER, WE
- 16 SAW A TONGAN WOMAN FROM GARDENA. SHE'S A SINGLE MOM AND IN
- 17 1993, SHE BECAME A NATURALIZED CITIZEN. HER EMPLOYER WHERE SHE
- 18 WORKED AS A DISPATCHER VERIFIED THEIR WORKERS WHEN THEY BEGAN
- 19 USING THE E-VERIFY PROGRAM. THOUGH HER NATURALIZATION WAS
- 20 PROCESSED WITH THE THEN IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION
- 21 SERVICES, I.N.S., IN 1993, HER RECORDS WERE NEVER UPDATED WITH
- 22 THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION. SHE RECEIVED A LETTER FROM
- 23 HER EMPLOYER ASKING EMPLOYEES TO VERIFY THEIR SOCIAL SECURITY
- 24 NUMBERS. AFTER GETTING THE LETTER, SHE IMMEDIATELY WENT TO THE
- 25 SOCIAL SECURITY OFFICE AND RECEIVED WRITTEN VERIFICATION THAT



- 1 HER SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER MATCHED HER IDENTITY. DESPITE THIS
- 2 VERIFICATION, THE EMPLOYER SAID THAT THERE WAS STILL A
- 3 MISMATCH AND TERMINATED HER EMPLOYMENT. BY WORKING WITH THE
- 4 DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE'S OFFICE FOR SPECIAL COUNSEL, WE WERE
- 5 ABLE TO INTERVENE AND ABOUT TWO MONTHS LATER OUR CLIENT WAS
- 6 REINSTATED IN HER JOB. THE EXPERIENCE WAS HORRIFYING FOR OUR
- 7 CLIENT AND REQUIRED ATTORNEY AND BUREAUCRATIC INTERVENTION,
- 8 AND WE ANTICIPATE ANY EXPANSION OF THIS SYSTEM WILL LEAD TO
- 9 MORE CASES LIKE HERS. WE OPPOSE ANY MANDATE TO THIS VOLUNTARY
- 10 AND DEEPLY FLAWED PROGRAM. WHILE ADDITIONAL COUNTY-LEVEL
- 11 RESEARCH MAY SOUND INTRIGUING, WE FEEL IT IS A FUTILE PROCESS
- 12 BECAUSE NUMEROUS NATIONAL REPORTS BY NONPARTISAN AGENCIES,
- 13 SUCH AS THE GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTABILITY OFFICE, THE SOCIAL
- 14 SECURITY ADMINISTRATION'S OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL AND
- 15 THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY HAVE CLEARLY POINTED TO
- 16 THE FLAWS OF THIS SYSTEM. IN A TIME OF GREAT ECONOMIC TURMOIL,
- 17 COUNTY-LEVEL RESEARCH OF A NATIONALLY FAULTY PROGRAM IS A
- 18 WASTE OF VALUABLE TAXPAYERS' DOLLARS THAT COULD BE PUT TO MUCH
- 19 MORE EFFECTIVE USE. THANK YOU.

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. BEFORE YOU START THERE, CARL, I

- 22 WOULD ASK VAL JACOBO, NANCY RAMIREZ AND VIVEK MITTAL TO JOIN
- 23 US, PLEASE? CARL?

24



- 1 CARL BERGQUIST: THANK YOU. AND I WANT TO WISH THE BOARD A
- 2 HAPPY THANKSGIVING COMING UP. MY NAME IS CARL BERGQUIST AND I
- 3 REPRESENT THE COALITION FOR HUMANE AND HUMAN RIGHTS OF LOS
- 4 ANGELES, AND I'M A POLICY ADVOCATE. I WANT TO REITERATE WHAT
- 5 MY COLLEAGUES HAVE BEEN SAYING, THAT AS WE KNOW, THIS IS A
- 6 VOLUNTARY PROGRAM AND IT SHOULD REMAIN SO UNTIL A MUCH
- 7 IMPROVED VERSION OF E-VERIFY OR MORE LIKELY SOMETHING ELSE
- 8 WILL REPLACE THE CURRENT I-9 PROCESS, WHICH IS NOT FLAWED,
- 9 WHICH IS WORKING CURRENTLY. AND THAT REPLACEMENT WILL COME AS
- 10 PART OF A COMPREHENSIVE IMMIGRATION REFORM. I WOULD SAY THAT
- 11 WITH CONGRESS NOT READY TO MANDATE THIS, WITH THE
- 12 ADMINISTRATION NOT PUSHING FOR THIS TO BE MANDATED, WHY SHOULD
- 13 L.A. COUNTY, WITH ITS UNIQUE DEMOGRAPHICS, BE THE GUINEA PIG
- 14 FOR THIS PROGRAM? IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE. THE DEVIL LIES IN THE
- 15 DETAILS ON THE ERROR RATES. THE REPORT PROVIDED BY THE
- 16 C.E.O.'S OFFICE REFERS TO SOME GROUPS HAVE HIGHER ERROR RATES,
- 17 OR SOME ETHNIC GROUPS ARE AFFECTED AT A HIGHER LEVEL. IT
- 18 DOESN'T GET INTO THOSE DETAILS. L.A. IS THE LARGEST COUNTY IN
- 19 THE COUNTRY AND THE MOST DIVERSE. THESE DETAILS ARE ESSENTIAL
- 20 AND IMPORTANT. AND ANY STUDY OF THIS WILL REVEAL THAT THIS
- 21 PROGRAM WOULD DISPROPORTIONATELY AFFECT L.A. COUNTY. THAT IS
- 22 CLEAR. IF YOU EXTRAPOLATE FROM ANY OTHER RESEARCH, THAT'S WHAT
- 23 WILL HAPPEN. THAT IS OUR FORECAST OUR FIRM BELIEF. I WILL SAY
- 24 THAT OUT OF 7 MILLION EMPLOYERS NATIONWIDE, 169,000 USE THIS
- 25 PROGRAM. BUT THESE ARE NOT VOLUNTARY USERS. A LARGE SHARE ARE

20

22

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 THE ONES WHO ARE MANDATED TO USE IT. WE BELIEVE IT SHOULD
- 2 REMAIN VOLUNTARY. I WILL LOOK AT OUR NEIGHBORING COUNTY, IN
- 3 SAN BERNARDINO, WHERE ONLY 600 OUT OF 48,000 BUSINESSES HAVE
- 4 VOLUNTARILY CHOSEN TO USE THIS. THIS IS PERHAPS WHY D.H.S.
- 5 LAST WEEK LAUNCHED A P.R. CAMPAIGN ASKING FOR A SEAL OF
- 6 APPROVAL FOR ANY BUSINESS THAT VOLUNTARILY ADOPTS THIS. THIS
- 7 IS A SIGN OF SOME DESPERATION, WE THINK. BECAUSE THERE'S
- 8 NOTHING WRONG WITH USING THE I-9 PROCESS CURRENTLY. SO THIS
- 9 SORT OF IMPLIES THAT ANY BUSINESS LEGITIMATELY FOLLOWING ALL
- 10 THE RULES BUT NOT USING E-VERIFY IS NOT ADHERING TO THE LAW?
- 11 THAT IS INCORRECT. THE DATA IS USUALLY DEFENDED BY D.H.S. AS
- 12 ACCURATE. RECENT STATEMENTS ARE FROM MARIANA GITTAMER, A
- 13 SPOKESWOMAN FOR D.H.S. SAYS "WELL, WE'RE PRETTY CONFIDENT WITH
- 14 THE ACCURACY OF THE DATABASE." DOES THAT SOUND LIKE SOMETHING
- 15 THAT THE COUNTY SHOULD CURRENTLY ADOPT? TO CLOSE, I WOULD SAY
- 16 GIVEN THESE PROBLEMS, THE FALSE NEGATIVES, PEOPLE FALSELY
- 17 IDENTIFIED AS NOT WORK ELIGIBLE WILL GO UP WITH THIS PROGRAM
- 18 AND IT WILL NOT ADDRESS THE FALSE POSITIVES, PEOPLE WHO HAVE
- 19 FRAUDULENT DOCUMENTS. SO THERE IS NO NEED FOR IT.

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. OKAY. THANK YOU. NEXT?

- 23 VAL JACOBO: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN KNABE AND THE HONORABLE
- 24 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS VAL LE HUN JACOBO. I'M A
- 25 MANAGING PARTNER FOR A LOCAL MANUFACTURING FIRM IN THE GARMENT



- 1 INDUSTRY HERE IN LOS ANGELES. I'M ALSO A FOUNDING MEMBER AND
- 2 OFFICER FOR PAC BIZ, WHICH IS THE ASSOCIATION OF PACIFIC
- 3 ISLANDER BUSINESSES AND PROFESSIONALS. I AM HERE ALONG WITH MY
- 4 COLLEAGUES IN OPPOSITION TO THE E-VERIFY SYSTEM. AND I'M HERE
- 5 TODAY TO URGE YOU NOT TO ADOPT A MANDATE FOR E-VERIFY, AS IT
- 6 HURTS SMALL BUSINESSES AND MARGINALIZES A DIVERSE COMMUNITY.
- 7 E-VERIFY, JUST LIKE THE ELECTRONIC VOTING SYSTEM, IS AN
- 8 AUTOMATED SYSTEM WITH FLAWS. NATIONALLY IT HAS A 10 PERCENT
- 9 ERROR RATE FOR FOREIGN-BORN CITIZENS, WHICH COMPRISES 100
- 10 PERCENT OF OUR PAYROLL. THAT'S 100 PERCENT OF MY EMPLOYEES WHO
- 11 MAY BE WRONGLY IDENTIFIED AND HAVE THEIR JOBS JEOPARDIZED. IN
- 12 ADDITION TO DEVASTATING OUR EMPLOYEES, OUR ENTIRE PRODUCTION
- 13 LINE WILL BE INTERRUPTED AND BOTH EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE WILL
- 14 LOSE. MOST OF MY COLLEAGUES IN SMALL MANUFACTURING FIRMS HAVE
- 15 EXPRESSED TO ME THEIR DISMAY AND RELUCTANCE TO ADOPT E-VERIFY
- 16 FOR THE SAME REASONS. ALTHOUGH IT IS A SMALL, RELATIVE
- 17 PERCENTAGE, BUT WHEN YOU LOOK AT IT AND MULTIPLY THAT TIMES
- 18 THE NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE WORKERS IN LOS ANGELES, THAT'S HUNDREDS
- 19 AND HUNDREDS OF PEOPLE DENIED A JOB, STRIPPED FROM THEIR JOBS,
- 20 AND BUSINESSES LIKE MINE WILL SUFFER JUST AS MUCH ECONOMIC
- 21 DEVASTATION AND ATTRITION AND HUMAN RESOURCE COSTS. THIS
- 22 CREATES MUCH UNCERTAINTY FOR SMALL MANUFACTURING FIRMS LIKE
- 23 OURS IN LOS ANGELES. THERE'S ALSO THE ISSUE OF ANOTHER SYSTEM
- 24 IN THE FUTURE AS THE COUNTRY EXPLORES COMPREHENSIVE
- 25 IMMIGRATION REFORM, ANOTHER START-STOP GOVERNMENT IMPOSITION



- 1 ON SMALL BUSINESS. THE CURRENT I-9 VERIFICATION SYSTEM WORKS
- 2 FINE FOR US. THOSE BUSINESSES WHO BENEFIT FROM ADOPTING E-
- 3 VERIFY CAN DO SO FREELY, BUT IT SHOULD NOT BE MANDATED IN A
- 4 COUNTY SUCH AS OURS, WHICH HAS THE LARGEST, MOST DIVERSE
- 5 DEMOGRAPHIC IN THE COUNTRY. BUSINESSES WORK WITH THEIR
- 6 COMMUNITY TO SERVE THEIR COMMUNITY. WE TEACH OURSELVES TO LOOK
- 7 BEYOND THE DOLLAR SIGN AND THE FALSE POSITIVES OF ELECTRONIC
- 8 SYSTEMS THAT ARE NOT INCLUSIVE OF OUR COMMUNITIES. E-VERIFY
- 9 NEEDS FINE-TUNING. AND GOVERNMENT HAS TO WORK OUT THOSE FLAWS.
- 10 THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE THE BIGGEST TOLL ARE EVERYDAY PEOPLE, AND
- 11 NO CITIZEN OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHOULD BE DENIED A JOB
- 12 BECAUSE THEY HAVE BEEN REJECTED BY A SYSTEM. I URGE YOU NOT TO
- 13 MAKE THE MISTAKE OF ADOPTING E-VERIFY AS MANDATORY FOR
- 14 BUSINESSES IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. HAPPY THANKSGIVING.

15

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. NEXT?

- 18 NANCY RAMIREZ: HI, MY NAME IS NANCY RAMIREZ AND I'M THE
- 19 WESTERN REGIONAL COUNSEL WITH MALDEF. MALDEF OPPOSES FURTHER
- 20 STUDY OF E-VERIFY AS IT BEEN WELL DOCUMENTED BY INDEPENDENT
- 21 STUDIES COMMISSIONED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY TO
- 22 BE A DEEPLY FLAWED SYSTEM THAT IS RIFE WITH INACCURACIES. FOR
- 23 THIS REASON, CONGRESS HAS FAILED TO MAKE IT MANDATORY. IT IS
- 24 DUPLICATIVE OF THE ALREADY MANDATORY I-9 VERIFICATION PROCESS.
- 25 IT WILL ADD TO THE COST OF BUSINESSES CONTRACTING WITH THE



- 1 COUNTY AT A TIME WHEN THEY CAN LEAST AFFORD THEM AND BE A
- 2 BURDEN TO COUNTY CONTRACTORS FOR MENTAL HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS
- 3 TO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE SHELTERS, ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE ALREADY
- 4 UNDERSTAFFED AND UNDER RESOURCED. IT IS LIKELY THAT CONGRESS
- 5 WILL ADDRESS E-VERIFY WHEN IT TACKLES IMMIGRATION REFORM IN
- 6 THE COMING MONTHS, IN WHICH CASE THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE TO RE-
- 7 ASSESS ITS FURTHER STUDY IN LIGHT OF CONGRESSIONAL MANDATES.
- 8 AND LITIGATION REGARDING ITS CONSTITUTIONALITY IS CURRENTLY
- 9 PENDING. IN 2007, THE STATE OF ARIZONA PASSED LEGISLATION THAT
- 10 REQUIRES EVERY BUSINESS IN THE STATE TO ENROLL IN E-VERIFY.
- 11 MALDEF AND OTHERS CHALLENGED THIS LAW IN FEDERAL COURT. WHILE
- 12 THE TRIAL COURT AND COURT OF APPEALS HELD THAT ARIZONA IS NOT
- 13 PRE-EMPTED BY FEDERAL LAW FROM MAKING E-VERIFY MANDATORY, WE
- 14 HAVE ASKED THE U.S. SUPREME COURT TO REVIEW THIS DECISION. A
- 15 FEW WEEKS AGO, THE U.S. SUPREME COURT REQUESTED THE U.S.
- 16 SOLICITOR GENERAL TO WEIGH IN AND PROVIDE ITS PERSPECTIVE ON
- 17 WHETHER THE COURT SHOULD REVIEW THE ARIZONA DECISION, WHICH
- 18 MEANS THAT THE SUPREME COURT IS SERIOUSLY CONSIDERING TAKING
- 19 THIS CASE UP ON REVIEW. ONCE THE SUPREME COURT RECEIVES THE
- 20 SOLICITOR GENERAL'S BRIEF, IT WILL MAKE A DECISION WHETHER OR
- 21 NOT TO TAKE THE ARIZONA CASE UP ON REVIEW. WE ANTICIPATE A
- 22 DECISION FROM THE SUPREME COURT IN SEVERAL MONTHS. IF THE
- 23 COURT DECIDES TO HEAR THE CASE, IT IS LIKELY TO NOT HAND DOWN
- 24 ITS DECISION FOR AT LEAST A YEAR OR MORE. IN THE MEANTIME, WE
- 25 ARE WAITING TO LEARN OF THE OUTCOME OF THE COURT OF APPEALS'



- 1 DECISION IN LOZANO VERSUS CITY OF HAZLETON IN PENNSYLVANIA. IN
- 2 THIS CASE, THE FEDERAL COURT STRUCK DOWN A CITY'S REQUIREMENT
- 3 TO MAKE E-VERIFY MANDATORY FOR PUBLIC AGENCIES AND PUBLIC
- 4 CONTRACTORS BECAUSE IT WAS PRE-EMPTED UNDER FEDERAL LAW. THE
- 5 COURT OF APPEALS IN THIS CASE UPHOLDS THE TRIAL COURT DECISION
- 6 THAT MANDATING E-VERIFY IN THE CITY OF HAZLETON IS PRE-EMPTED
- 7 BY FEDERAL LAW AND THEREFORE NOT ALLOWED. THERE WILL BE TWO
- 8 DIFFERENT FEDERAL COURT OF APPEALS' DECISIONS ISSUING TWO
- 9 OPPOSITE DECISIONS ON THE E-VERIFY ISSUE. THIS WILL MAKE IT
- 10 MORE LIKELY THAT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT WILL TAKE THE ARIZONA
- 11 CASE UP ON REVIEW AND RESOLVE THE SPLIT AMONG THE TWO
- 12 CIRCUITS. FURTHER, E-VERIFY IS A DEEPLY FLAWED SYSTEM THAT
- 13 INCREASES DISCRIMINATION AGAINST LATINOS AND OTHER NATIONAL
- 14 ORIGIN MINORITIES, FOREIGN-BORN WORK AUTHORIZED INDIVIDUALS,
- 15 WHO ARE 30 TIMES MORE LIKELY TO NOT FIND A MATCH IN THE
- 16 DATABASE THAN U.S.-BORN INDIVIDUALS, FURTHER STUDY WILL INCUR
- 17 COSTS THAT THE COUNTY CANNOT AFFORD RIGHT NOW FOR A SYSTEM
- 18 THAT WE KNOW IS NOT RELIABLE. IT IS OUR POSITION THAT TO
- 19 FURTHER STUDY THE FEASIBILITY OF E-VERIFY AT THIS TIME WOULD
- 20 BE A WASTE OF VALUABLE AND LIMITED COUNTY RESOURCES.

22 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. OKAY, NEXT?

21

23

24 VIVEK MITTAL: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS VIVEK MITTAL, AND I'M

25 WITH THE NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW CENTER. I'M HERE TO SPEAK



- 1 AGAINST THE MOTION TO IMPLEMENT THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE
- 2 C.E.O.'S REPORT REGARDING MANDATORY USE OF E-VERIFY FOR ALL
- 3 EMPLOYERS CONTRACTING WITH L.A. COUNTY. THERE ARE MANY REASONS
- 4 WHY MANDATING E-VERIFY FOR ALL COUNTY CONTRACTORS IS
- 5 MISGUIDED. FIRST FEDERAL IMMIGRATION LAW EXPRESSLY PRE-EMPTS
- 6 ANY LOCAL GOVERNMENT FROM IMPOSING EMPLOYEE SANCTIONS ON THOSE
- 7 WHO EMPLOY, RECRUIT, OR REFER FOR A FEE UNAUTHORIZED
- 8 IMMIGRANTS. THUS ANY LOCAL LEGISLATION THAT ATTEMPTS TO
- 9 REGULATE THE HIRING OF UNDOCUMENTED WORKERS THROUGH THE E-
- 10 VERIFY PROGRAM MAY SUBJECT STATES AND LOCALITIES TO
- 11 UNNECESSARY LITIGATION THAT WILL WASTE TAXPAYERS' MONEY. AS MY
- 12 COLLEAGUE NANCY MENTIONS, THERE IS A CASE UP FOR REVIEW IN THE
- 13 SUPREME COURT, DEPENDING ON THE OUTCOME OF THAT CASE, THIS
- 14 COUNTY WILL HAVE TO RE-ASSESS AND INCUR MANY FEES IN THAT
- 15 REASSESSMENT ONCE THAT CASE COMES DOWN AND MAKES A FINAL
- 16 DECISION. SECOND, L.A. COUNTY IS UNDOUBTEDLY THE LARGEST
- 17 COUNTY CONSIDERING MANDATORY E-VERIFY LAW. E-VERIFY HAS A
- 18 SIGNIFICANT ERROR RATE AND IF IT IS MADE MANDATORY IN THE
- 19 COUNTY, THE BOARD WILL LIKELY HAVE TO DEAL WITH MULTIPLE SUITS
- 20 FROM MANY WORKERS WHO WILL BE UNABLE TO WORK DUE TO E-VERIFY.
- 21 THIS COULD COST THE COUNTY HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS IN
- 22 DEFENDING AGAINST WORKER'S CLAIMS AGAINST COUNTY. WHEN
- 23 HAZLETON, PENNSYLVANIA PASSED AN ANTI-IMMIGRANT ORDINANCE THAT
- 24 INCLUDED A MANDATORY E-VERIFY LAW, THE COUNTY INCURRED
- 25 \$200,000 IN DEFENDING AGAINST PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS. THE JUDGE



- 1 ALSO ORDERED THE COUNTY TO PAY THE \$2.4 MILLION THAT THE
- 2 PLAINTIFFS SPENT IN BRINGING THE CASE, BRINGING THE TOTAL THAT
- 3 THE CITY ALONE HAD TO PAY CLOSE TO \$3 MILLION. ONE SUIT LIKE
- 4 THIS COULD PUT L.A. COUNTY INTO MORE FINANCIAL STRESS THAN IT
- 5 IS DEALING WITH ALREADY. ADDITIONALLY, IN THE CHICAGO AREA,
- 6 SEVERAL WORKERS HAVE BROUGHT COMPLAINTS AGAINST THEIR
- 7 EMPLOYERS FOR MISUSING THE E-VERIFY SYSTEM UNDER THE ILLINOIS
- 8 HUMAN RIGHTS ACT. FORTUNATELY FOR THEM, THERE IS A SET OF LAWS
- 9 AND OFFICIAL PROCEDURES THAT PROVIDES THEM SOME RECOURSE WHEN
- 10 THEIR JOBS ARE HARMED BY THIS EXPERIMENTAL PILOT PROGRAM. AND
- 11 THIS CAN HELP ENSURE THAT WORKERS, IN SOME WAYS, ARE
- 12 PROTECTED. UNFORTUNATELY, WORKERS HERE IN L.A. COUNTY DON'T
- 13 HAVE SUCH A LAW AND EMPLOYER MISUSE OF E-VERIFY CAN GO
- 14 UNCHECKED WITH NO RECOURSE FOR AGGRIEVED WORKERS. THIS IS
- 15 ESPECIALLY CRITICAL CONSIDERING THAT L.A. COUNTY ALSO HAS ONE
- 16 OF THE LARGEST WORKFORCES IN THE COUNTRY, AND WITH AN
- 17 UNEMPLOYMENT RATE OF 12.7 PERCENT, IT ALSO HAS ONE OF THE
- 18 LARGEST POPULATIONS OF PEOPLE WHO ARE UNEMPLOYED. IT BEHOOVES
- 19 THIS BOARD NOT TO PASS ANY LAWS THAT WILL PREVENT MORE WORKERS
- 20 FROM BEING ABLE TO BRING FOOD HOME TO THEIR FAMILIES OR
- 21 PREVENT THEM FROM CONTRIBUTING TO THE ECONOMY AND HELP US PULL
- 22 US OUT OF THIS FINANCIAL CRISIS. GIVEN THE UNRESOLVED
- 23 LITIGATION PENDING BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT, THE PROSPECT OF
- 24 SPIRALING LITIGATION COSTS AND THE POTENTIAL THAT HUNDREDS, IF
- 25 NOT THOUSANDS OF AUTHORIZED WORKERS WILL NOT ONLY BE PREVENTED



- 1 FROM WORKING DUE TO FLAWS IN E-VERIFY, WHERE ITS USE WOULD BE
- 2 REQUIRED, I URGE THE BOARD TO DENY THE MOTION.

3

- 4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. I'LL LET MR. ANTONOVICH
- 5 ADDRESS HIS MOTION, BUT CERTAINLY DOESN'T -- THIS MOTION
- 6 CERTAINLY DOESN'T IMPLEMENT ANYTHING. IT CONVENES WORKING
- 7 GROUPS FROM CONTRACTORS TO SURVEYS OF COUNTY EMPLOYEES TO LOOK
- 8 AT A SERIES OF POTENTIAL RECOMMENDATIONS. IT CERTAINLY DOESN'T
- 9 IMPLEMENT ANYTHING MANDATORY. IT MORE SO TO SORT OF LOOK AT
- 10 OTHER GOVERNMENTS' EXPERIENCES, LOOK AT LAWSUITS, MONITOR
- 11 POTENTIAL ISSUES THAT WERE RAISED BY ALL OF YOU, AND A REPORT
- 12 BACK TO THE BOARD, NOT IMPLEMENTING ANYTHING. MR. ANTONOVICH?

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I AGREE, MR. CHAIRMAN. WE'RE JUST TALKING
- 15 ABOUT A REPORT BACK. AND TO QUOTE FROM THE SECRETARY, JANET
- 16 NAPOLITANO, THE SECRETARY OF HOMELAND SECURITY, QUOTING HER,
- 17 SHE SAYS "REQUIRING THOSE WHO SEEK FEDERAL CONTRACTS TO USE
- 18 THIS E-VERIFY SYSTEM WILL CREATE A MORE RELIABLE LEGAL
- 19 WORKFORCE." AND SHE STATES, "ON AVERAGE 1,000 EMPLOYERS SIGN
- 20 UP FOR E-VERIFY EACH WEEK, TOTALING MORE THAN 134,000
- 21 EMPLOYERS, REPRESENTING MORE THAN HALF A MILLION VOCATIONS
- 22 NATIONWIDE." AND THEN SHE REFERS TO THIS ASSESSMENT BY WEST
- 23 STAT. SHE NOTED THAT BETWEEN OCTOBER THROUGH DECEMBER OF 2008,
- 24 NEARLY 97 PERCENT OF ALL QUERIES RAN THROUGH E-VERIFY ARE
- 25 AUTOMATICALLY CONFIRMED, WORK AUTHORIZED WITHIN 24 HOURS.



- 1 SINCE OCTOBER 1, 2008, THEY HAVE PROCESSED MORE THAN 6 MILLION
- 2 QUERIES. AND IN APRIL OF 2009, AMERICAN CUSTOMER SATISFACTION
- 3 INDEX SURVEY OF OVER 1,000 E-VERIFY PARTICIPANTS, EVERY-VERIFY
- 4 SCORED 83 OUT OF A POSSIBLE 100, WELL ABOVE THE LATEST FEDERAL
- 5 GOVERNMENT SATISFACTION INDEX OF 69 PERCENT. ALL WE'RE DOING
- 6 HERE, THE COUNTY DOES NOT CONTRACT WITH THE GARMENT INDUSTRY.
- 7 WE ARE ONLY TALKING ABOUT CONTRACTORS WHO DO BUSINESS WITHIN
- 8 THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. SO WE'RE TALKING ABOUT CONTRACTORS
- 9 DOING BUSINESS WITH THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT, NOT WITHIN THE
- 10 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. I MEAN THE LOS ANGELES TIMES, THEY DO
- 11 THIS, THE LOS ANGELES DODGERS, THEY DO THIS, A NUMBER OF
- 12 OTHERS. BUT THIS IS A REPORT BACK TO GET THAT INFORMATION SO
- 13 THAT THE BOARD CAN MAKE A DECISION. WHEN THE BOARD COMES BACK
- 14 FOR A DECISION TO BE MADE. SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: AND, MEMBERS, YOU KNOW, IT IS TOUGH TO OPPOSE
- 17 WHEN A MEMBER IS ASKING FOR MORE INFORMATION OR ASKING FOR A
- 18 REPORT. INITIALLY, WHEN THE FIRST REQUEST CAME IN AND THERE
- 19 WAS A REQUEST FOR REPORT AND IT WAS PRESENTED, I JUST WANT US
- 20 TO UNDERSTAND WHAT PATH WE'RE GOING ON. I'M OPPOSED TO
- 21 CONTINUING THIS PATH, SO LET ME STATE MY REASONS WHY. BECAUSE
- 22 NOW WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS UNDERTAKING AN AWFUL LOT OF
- 23 EFFORT AND WORK WITHIN ALL THE DEPARTMENTS TO STUDY SOMETHING
- 24 THAT EVEN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DOES NOT MANDATE TODAY. RIGHT
- 25 NOW, IT IS A TOTALLY VOLUNTARY PROGRAM. FEDERAL CONTRACTORS



- 1 ARE NOT REQUIRED OR MANDATED TODAY TO USE E-VERIFY. IT IS
- 2 TOTALLY VOLUNTARY. AND THAT'S AN IMPORTANT, AN IMPORTANT AND
- 3 SIGNIFICANT ISSUE. WHEN OUR OWN GOVERNMENT THAT HAS CREATED
- 4 THIS PROGRAM, DOES NOT MANDATE ITS CONTRACTORS, AGAIN, WHAT IS
- 5 IT THAT WE ARE TRYING TO PURSUE AT THIS POINT IN TIME? NOW, WE
- 6 KNOW, AND AS WAS EXPRESSED BY THE ADVOCATES HERE TODAY, THERE
- 7 ARE ISSUES PENDING WITH REGARD TO THE LEGALITY OF MANDATES.
- 8 AND THAT IS BEING PURSUED TODAY. AND I'M NOT SURE EXACTLY WHEN
- 9 THE SUPREME COURT WILL BE GETTING THAT CASE. BUT, AGAIN, IT IS
- 10 NOT A FINAL DECISION. NOW, I ALSO WANT THE BOARD MEMBERS TO
- 11 UNDERSTAND WHAT WE ARE UNDERTAKING. WE ARE ASKING THE 10,000
- 12 PLUS CONTRACTORS TO MANDATE THAT WE USE -- THAT THEY USE E-
- 13 VERIFY. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT FED EX. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT EVERY
- 14 MAJOR, WHAT IS IT, BONDING COMPANY THAT WE HAVE? WE'RE TALKING
- 15 ABOUT EVERY SINGLE CONTRACTOR, EVERY MENTAL HEALTH CONTRACTOR,
- 16 EVERY SINGLE ONE THAT SIGNS A CONTRACT MUST, BEFORE THEY SIGN
- 17 THAT CONTRACT, BE MANDATED TO USE E-VERIFY. NOW, THE LEGALITY
- 18 OF THAT ASPECT AND THOSE ISSUES MAY OR MAY NOT BE CHALLENGED.
- 19 SO THERE ARE ISSUES THERE. WE'RE GOING TO BE DEFENDING
- 20 SOMETHING THAT IS DEEPLY FLAWED, HAS BEEN PROVEN TIME AND TIME
- 21 AGAIN TO BE DEEPLY FLAWED. SO, AGAIN, BEFORE WE UNDERTAKE ALL
- 22 OF THIS EFFORT, I'M WONDERING IF WE ALL RECOGNIZE AND
- 23 UNDERSTAND WHAT THE PATH WE'RE ON. I KNOW THERE'S A LOT OF
- 24 EFFORT ON MANY OF MY COLLEAGUES' PART TO CONTRACT OUT VARIOUS
- 25 SERVICES. WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT NOW IS BEFORE WE DO IT,



- 1 THERE WOULD BE A MANDATORY RESPONSIBILITY TO PARTICIPATE IN E-
- 2 VERIFY. AGAIN, THE STUDY GROUP IS GOING TO HAVE TO FIGURE OUT
- 3 WHAT DOES THAT MANDATE MEAN? HOW DO YOU EVALUATE THAT MANDATE?
- 4 HOW DO YOU MONITOR IT, LET ALONE AUDIT IT? WE HAVE ENOUGH
- 5 PROBLEM WITH CONTRACTORS THAT DON'T GET THE GOAL ON BASIC
- 6 STUFF, LIKE ALL THOSE GROUP HOMES THAT OWE US MILLIONS AND
- 7 MILLIONS OF DOLLARS FOR SERVICES THAT THEY DIDN'T PROVIDE TO
- 8 CHILDREN, ALL OF THOSE THINGS, ALL OF THAT KIND OF MONITORING
- 9 IS GOING TO HAVE TO GO, HAVE TO BE REVIEWED AND AUTHORIZED. IN
- 10 ADDITION, I DON'T KNOW TO WHAT EXTENT WE HAVE LIABILITY FOR
- 11 ALL OF THOSE EMPLOYEES THAT MAY BE DENIED EMPLOYMENT, ALTHOUGH
- 12 THEY MEET ALL THE QUALIFICATIONS TO BE WORKERS IN THIS
- 13 COUNTRY. WE HAVE SEEN SUCH INCIDENTS HERE IN OUR OWN COUNTY.
- 14 WE USE E-VERIFY. AND WE HAVE NEVER EVALUATED THE COST THAT IT
- 15 TAKES US TO GO THROUGH THE SYSTEM. WE HAVE NEVER EVALUATED HOW
- 16 OFTEN WE GET FALSE REPORTS, PARTICULARLY WHEN IT COMES TO
- 17 ASIAN-NAMES, FOREIGN NAMES IN WHICH YOU'RE NOT SURE HOW THEY
- 18 ARE SUBMITTED AND IT COMES BACK. SO FAR, I THINK -- AND WE
- 19 LOOKED AT SOME OF THESE ISSUES -- WE HAVE NOT DENIED
- 20 EMPLOYMENT BECAUSE WE GO AND SUBMIT IT TWO, THREE AND FOUR
- 21 TIMES. WE GO THROUGH THE EMPLOYEE, AS WELL. I'M NOT SO SURE
- 22 THAT MANY OF THESE EMPLOYERS ARE GOING TO PROVIDE THOSE KINDS
- 23 OF SAFEGUARDS. AND SO, CONSEQUENTLY, WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO SEE
- 24 IS A TARGETED GROUP OF INDIVIDUALS MORE THAN LIKELY THOSE
- 25 WITH, QUOTE, "FOREIGN" NAMES, THAT ARE GOING TO BE THE TARGET



- 1 OF E-VERIFY. THERE IS A REASON THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS
- 2 NOT MANDATED IT TO ALL OF ITS CONTRACTORS. WE SHOULD HOLD OFF.
- 3 THERE IS NO DOUBT THAT AS WE MOVE FORWARD ON IMMIGRATION
- 4 REFORM, THIS IS GOING TO BE PART OF THE ACCOUNTABILITY THAT'S
- 5 GOING TO BE THERE. HOPEFULLY DURING THAT TIME, HOMELAND
- 6 SECURITY AND ALL THOSE THAT ARE GOING TO BE RESPONSIBLE ARE
- 7 GOING TO COME UP WITH A WAY TO MAKE SURE THAT E-VERIFY IS A
- 8 MUCH MORE SECURE SYSTEM, A MUCH MORE RELIABLE SYSTEM. IT ISN'T
- 9 THAT TODAY. SO I THINK AND I'M ASKING EACH OF YOU TO REALLY
- 10 THINK: WHAT IS THE PATHWAY THAT WE'RE GOING ON? ARE YOU SURE
- 11 THAT YOU'RE GOING TO WANT EVERY SINGLE CONTRACTOR TO GO
- 12 THROUGH THIS PROCESS? WE'RE TALKING EVERY CONTRACTOR. IS THIS
- 13 JUST A POLITICAL THING SO YOU CAN SAY, "LOOK, WE'RE NOT
- 14 STOPPING IT" AND SO ON? I DON'T THINK WE'RE READY TO IMPLEMENT
- 15 IT AS IS, EVEN IF WE HAD EVERYTHING IN PLACE. THAT IS A
- 16 MONITORING MECHANISM, AN ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM, THAT IS THAT
- 17 EMPLOYER WHO DOES HIRE PEOPLE THAT DOESN'T USE E-VERIFY, WE'RE
- 18 GOING TO DENY THEM CONTRACTS? HOW WE'RE GOING TO IMPLEMENT
- 19 THIS SYSTEM? ALL OF THESE THINGS ARE GOING TO TAKE MONTHS AND
- 20 MONTHS TO GO THROUGH A REVIEW. BUT WHAT'S FASCINATING TO ME,
- 21 EVEN WE AS AN EMPLOYER, WHAT, WHERE ARE WE AT NOW 90 SOME
- 22 THOUSAND PEOPLE THAT USE E-VERIFY? WE'VE NEVER EVEN AUDITED
- 23 OUR OWN SYSTEM. WE DON'T KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE HAVE BEEN DENIED
- 24 EMPLOYMENT. WE DON'T KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE HAVE BEEN SUBJECTED
- 25 TO HAVING THEIR NAME SUBMITTED AND RESUBMITTED AND CONSTANTLY



- 1 SUBMITTED. AND I THINK THAT BEFORE WE UNDERTAKE WHAT WE THINK
- 2 IS SOME KIND OF A PROVEN TECHNOLOGY, BEFORE WE UNDERTAKE SOME
- 3 KIND OF A MISSION TO TRY AND WEED OUT ALL OF THOSE PEOPLE WHO
- 4 ARE NOT HERE LEGALLY AND TRYING TO EARN A LIVING, IF THAT'S
- 5 WHAT WE'RE ATTEMPTING TO DO, WE SHOULD LOOK AT OUR OWN SYSTEM,
- 6 AS WELL. WE SHOULD LOOK AT HOW WE ENFORCE IT, WHAT DOES IT
- 7 COST US? WHAT DOES IT MEAN? AND I ALSO THINK WE NEED TO LOOK
- 8 AT THE LIABILITY ISSUES, AS WELL. WE ARE FORTUNATE THAT WE DO
- 9 A VERY EFFECTIVE JOB. BUT I HAVE NO IDEA, I DON'T KNOW WHAT
- 10 PATH WE ARE TAKING. I HAVEN'T ASKED COUNTY COUNSEL YET AS TO
- 11 WHAT ARE SOME OF THE LIABILITY ISSUES THAT WE WILL BE
- 12 CONFRONTING WHEN AN EMPLOYER DENIES SOMEONE BECAUSE OF OUR
- 13 MANDATE AND THEY ARE LEGAL CITIZENS. WILL WE HAVE A LEGAL
- 14 RESPONSIBILITY THERE? OR WHO WILL HAVE IT? THE FEDERAL
- 15 GOVERNMENT? THEY'RE USUALLY NOT GOOD AT HOLDING UP THEIR END
- 16 OF LIABILITY, AS WE'VE SEEN WITH ALL OF THE ICE OPERATIONS
- 17 WITHIN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. SO I'M JUST WONDERING: DO YOU
- 18 RECOGNIZE AND UNDERSTAND THE PATHWAY THAT WE'RE GOING ON?
- 19 THANK YOU FOR YOUR AVID ATTENTION ON THOSE ISSUES. [APPLAUSE.]
- 20 I DO HOPE YOU UNDERSTAND THIS IS NOT A SMALL UNDERTAKING. WE
- 21 ARE TALKING ABOUT A MAJOR UNDERTAKING. I DON'T KNOW WHO -- I
- 22 WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO VOTE NO AND STOP THIS AT THIS POINT IN
- 23 TIME. AWAIT THE SUPREME COURT DECISION ON THIS. THAT WAY WE
- 24 ARE ON A PATHWAY WHERE WE KNOW WE'RE CORRECT AND RIGHT. AND IF
- 25 YOU CAN'T DO THAT, LET ME OFFER UP A MOTION THAT I HAVE THAT



- 1 MY STAFF WILL PASS OUT. THIS IS A MOTION THAT SAYS "BEFORE WE
- 2 UNDERTAKE" -- REALLY? WELL THEN I'LL KEEP MY MOTION. I WILL
- 3 ALLOW YOU SO. I APPRECIATE IT, THOUGH.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: YOU'LL ALLOW IT?

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: NO, NO. I JUST THOUGHT THERE WAS NO OTHER ONE
- 8 THAT WANTED TO SPEAK. ABSOLUTELY. I'M OPEN TO ANY COMMENT.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: FIRST QUESTION IS THAT I'M NOT SURE, AS
- 11 I READ THIS MOTION, I SEE THE PART, SIGNIFICANCE OF
- 12 IMPLEMENTATION, BECAUSE THERE WERE MAJOR ISSUES, BUT I SEE
- 13 NOTHING IN THIS MOTION THAT IMPLEMENTS A THING.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA: I KNOW. I'M JUST SAYING THAT YOU'RE ON A PATHWAY
- 16 TO HAVE AN AWFUL LOT OF WORK THAT IS GOING TO BE DONE.

17

- 18 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WELL, AS A LARGE EMPLOYER, I THINK WE
- 19 SHOULD BE ON A PATHWAY TO AT LEAST CHECK IT OUT. ZEV?

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS.

22

- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S RIGHT, UNLESS WE AGREED. (OFF
- 24 MIC, COMMENTS).



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'M NOT SURE VOTING NO IS NECESSARILY THE
- 2 ONLY OPTION. BUT I DEFINITELY THINK NOT VOTING ON THIS AT THIS
- 3 POINT IN TIME UNTIL SOME OF THESE ISSUES, COURT CASES THAT ARE
- 4 PENDING AS WELL AS THE ISSUES OF ACCURACY AND LIABILITY, WHICH
- 5 I DON'T THINK WAS BROUGHT UP BY ANYBODY. ONE OF THE OUESTIONS
- 6 I HAVE, WE USE E-VERIFY, DO WE NOT, MR. FUJIOKA? AS A COUNTY?
- 7 AS AN EMPLOYER? IF THE ACCURACY ISSUES HAVE ANY MERIT, THEN I
- 8 THINK THAT'S SOMETHING WE OUGHT TO LOOK AT, ALSO, SEPARATE AND
- 9 APART FROM THIS MOTION. BUT IN TERMS OF REQUIRING OUR
- 10 CONTRACTORS TO DO THIS, I AM NOT AWARE THAT THE DODGERS
- 11 REQUIRE THEIR CONTRACTORS TO USE E-VERIFY OR THE L.A. TIMES?
- 12 THAT'S ANOTHER -- ANYWAY, I THINK THAT THE ISSUE HERE FOR ME
- 13 IS IF WE REQUIRE A CONTRACTOR TO DO THIS, AND MAYBE I COULD
- 14 ASK THIS OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL. IF WE REQUIRE A CONTRACTOR TO
- 15 USE E-VERIFY AND IT COMES OUT THAT -- AND WE FIND OUT LATER
- 16 THAT WE GOT -- THAT THE CONTRACTOR GOT WRONG INFORMATION
- 17 BECAUSE OF A FLAW IN THE E-VERIFY SYSTEM, OR JUST A MISTAKE
- 18 WAS MADE BY E-VERIFY, THE PROPOSAL HERE IS TO STUDY WHETHER WE
- 19 SHOULD REQUIRE OUR CONTRACTORS TO USE THIS SYSTEM. IF THE
- 20 SYSTEM IS FLAWED AND SOMEBODY GETS NAILED INCORRECTLY, ARE WE
- 21 LIABLE?

- 23 ROBERT E. KALUNIAN, COUNSEL: SUPERVISOR, I THINK THERE'S A
- 24 POTENTIAL FOR LIABILITY. IT CERTAINLY WOULD DEPEND ON FACTS AS



- 1 TO WHAT ACTUALLY OCCURRED IN A CASE. AND ALSO, WITH RESPECT TO
- 2 THE LEVEL OF ACCURACY OF THE SYSTEM.

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH. SO IT'S ONE THING WHEN WE'RE TAKING
- 5 THE RISK IN THE INTEREST OF OUR OWN ORGANIZATION. IT'S ANOTHER
- 6 THING -- BECAUSE WE HAVE SOME CONTROL OVER IT. WE CAN CEASE
- 7 DOING IT IF WE THINK IT'S TOO FLAWED, IF THERE'S A LIABILITY
- 8 ISSUE. IT'S ANOTHER THING TO -- BASICALLY WE'RE BECOMING
- 9 POLICE AGENTS, POLICE ENFORCERS OF THIS FOR PRIVATE COMPANIES,
- 10 WHICH IS SOMETHING I THINK THAT HAS A LOT OF OTHER
- 11 IMPLICATIONS IN TERMS OF WORKLOAD AND COST AND LIABILITY TO
- 12 US. I JUST THINK THERE ARE TOO MANY QUESTIONS AS MEMBERS OF
- 13 THE PUBLIC WHO TESTIFIED HAVE ATTESTED TO. AND WHAT I'D LIKE
- 14 TO DO IS REFER THIS, SUGGEST THAT WE JUST REFER THIS BACK TO
- 15 THE C.E.O. UNTIL THE COURT CASES ARE RESOLVED. IF WE HAVE MORE
- 16 INFORMATION, THEN MAYBE IN THE MEANTIME WE CAN CHECK IN OUR
- 17 OWN SHOP ABOUT THE ACCURACY OF THIS. BUT I WOULDN'T WANT TO
- 18 VOTE TO SEND THE C.E.O. AND HIS STAFF ON A MAJOR TASK HERE ON
- 19 SOMETHING THAT MAY NOT ULTIMATELY BE IMPLEMENTED BY US. I
- 20 THINK THAT'S WHAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA WAS ADDRESSING OR
- 21 SUGGESTING BEFORE. THESE THINGS TEND TO TAKE ON A LIFE OF
- 22 THEIR OWN ONCE WE START STUDYING THINGS. THERE ARE
- 23 EXPECTATIONS THAT WE'RE THEN GOING TO DO IT. I'M NOT THERE. I
- 24 MEAN WE DO IT FOR OUR OWN EMPLOYEES -- IT'S TO REFER THE WHOLE
- 25 MATTER TO THE C.E.O.'S OFFICE, NO TIME LIMIT ON THAT. JUST



- 1 UNTIL THE COURT CASES RESOLVED AND/OR NEW INFORMATION COMES
- 2 ALONG THAT BRINGS THIS INTO SHARPER FOCUS. BUT I'M NOT
- 3 PREPARED TO SUPPORT IT NOW.

4

5 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IF YOU GO TO THE VERIFY WEB PAGE AND YOU TYPE
- 8 IN THE ZIP CODE 90012, YOU WILL FIND THAT EMPLOYERS INCLUDE
- 9 THE LOS ANGELES DODGERS, THE LOS ANGELES TIMES, LITTLE TOKYO
- 10 PHARMACY AND THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, BUT NOT THAT THIS IS CRITICAL, BUT
- 13 WHAT DOES IT SAY?

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO, I'M SAYING THAT VERIFY IS BEING USED.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEY'RE SAYING THE L.A. TIMES USE IT.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YES.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WE USE IT.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THE L.A. TIMES DOESN'T REQUIRE THEIR
- 2 CONTRACTORS TO USE IT. IF THEY CONTRACT WITH A JANITORIAL
- 3 SERVICE, THEY DON'T REQUIRE THE JANITORIAL SERVICE TO USE IT.

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I DON'T KNOW. ALL I'M SAYING IS THEY'RE
- 6 STATING THAT THEY USE IT SO THAT'S ON THEIR WEB PAGE..

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, BUT WE USE IT, TOO. SO ON THAT BASIS,
- 9 WE'RE ON A PAR. BUT THIS IS TAKING IT TO A DIFFERENT LEVEL
- 10 WHERE WE'RE GOING TO REQUIRE PRIVATE COMPANIES, WITH WHOM WE
- 11 DO BUSINESS, OVER WHOM WE HAVE VERY LITTLE CONTROL, WHOSE
- 12 INTEGRITY HAS OFTEN BEEN BROUGHT INTO QUESTION, AS WE KNOW,
- 13 ESPECIALLY --

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: I WILL SECOND SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY'S
- 16 MOTION.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA: HALLELUJAH. THANK YOU. NO, I THINK THAT THERE ARE
- 19 OUTSTANDING ISSUES. AND BEFORE WE TAKE A PATHWAY TO GET THERE,
- 20 AND I APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT ON THAT. BUT CAN I ALSO ASK THAT
- 21 -- AND SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, I HAD SHARED A MOTION WITH
- 22 HIM ABOUT THAT BEFORE WE UNDERTAKE GOING ON AND LOOKING AT
- 23 OTHER CONTRACTORS, WE SHOULD LOOK AT OURSELVES.

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THAT WAS PART OF THE MOTION.



1
2 SUP. MOLINA: AGAI

SUP. MOLINA: AGAIN, AND EVALUATE?

3

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ON WHAT WE'RE DOING.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA: ON WHAT WE'RE DOING AND HOW IT GETS IMPLEMENTED.

7

8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: EXACTLY.

9

- 10 SUP. MOLINA: I THINK THAT YOU WILL SEE -- AND THE EXPENSE
- 11 THAT'S INVOLVED AND ASSOCIATED WITH IT. I THINK THAT WE NEED
- 12 TO UNDERSTAND THAT WE STILL HAVE A LONG WAY TO GO. AND THERE
- 13 IS A SYSTEM IN PLACE FOR THE MOST PART THAT ALREADY DOES MORE
- 14 OF IT WITH THE I-90S THAT ARE THERE. BUT NO, I THINK THAT THIS
- 15 DOES MERIT US TO STOP. IT IS JUST A REPORT. BUT TO STOP AND
- 16 LOOK AT WHAT IS GOING ON OUT THERE, WHAT IS OUR
- 17 RESPONSIBILITY, WHAT IS THE POTENTIAL LIABILITY. AND BEFORE WE
- 18 UNDERTAKE ALL OF THIS WORK, IS TO REALLY GET A LOT OF ANSWERS
- 19 ON SOME OF THESE THINGS ON ACTIONS THAT ARE GOING ON
- 20 SIMULTANEOUSLY, LIKE THE ISSUES GOING BEFORE THE SUPREME
- 21 COURT.

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SUPERVISOR MOLINA, IF YOU HAVE A MOTION
- 24 ALONG THOSE LINES, I DIDN'T REALIZE THAT, GO AHEAD AND PUT IT
- 25 IN.



1

2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: BUT THE MOTION WAS SIMILAR, TO REFER THE

- 3 ENTIRE MATTER TO THE C.E.O., KEEP IT IN PLACE, BUT NO TIME
- 4 LIMIT, BUT TO LOOK AT OUR OWN SITUATION AS WELL AS --

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: I WILL SHARE MY MOTION WITH YOU, WHICH IS
- 7 BASICALLY AN AUDIT OF OUR SYSTEM. BECAUSE I THINK IT'S
- 8 IMPORTANT. MINE WAS BEFORE YOU WOULD IMPLEMENT THESE TASK
- 9 FORCES, WHY DON'T WE LOOK AT OUR OWN SYSTEM AS TO HOW IT WORKS
- 10 AND FUNCTIONS, AND WHAT IT WOULD MEAN WHEN WE START MANDATING
- 11 CONTRACTORS TO UTILIZE IT. BUT I THINK THAT I DON'T MIND THIS,
- 12 AS LONG AS WE POSTPONE THE ACTIONS OF THOSE TASK FORCES
- 13 MEETING AND STARTING TO UNDERTAKE WHAT IT'S GOING TO CARRY OUT
- 14 TO EMPLOYERS, TO CONTRACTORS THAT WE WAIT UNTIL RESOLUTION OF
- 15 SOME OF THESE LAWSUITS THAT ARE PENDING IN THE SUPREME COURT
- 16 AS WELL AS IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS.

- 18 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. CHAIRMAN, AS I UNDERSTAND SUPERVISOR
- 19 MOLINA'S MOTION, IT IS ESSENTIALLY TO TASK THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE
- 20 OFFICER, ALONG WITH THE OTHER NAMED ENTITIES, D.H.R. AND
- 21 COUNTY COUNSEL, TO PREPARE A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF OUR OWN
- 22 E-VERIFY SYSTEM. AND THE BALANCE OF IT IS SPELLED OUT HERE.
- 23 AND TO THE EXTENT THAT THAT IS THE CASE, I BELIEVE THAT IF WE
- 24 WERE TO MOVE IN THIS DIRECTION, WE WOULD BE MOVING PRUDENTLY
- 25 AND COLLECTIVELY EMBRACE WHAT I HEAR AS AN EMERGING CONSENSUS,



- 1 BUT IT IS REASONABLY WELL ARTICULATED HERE, PARTICULARLY IN
- 2 THE PART THAT IS MOVED. SO I WOULD THINK THIS IS APPROPRIATE.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: IT'S APPROPRIATE AS LONG AS WE ALSO TABLE --
- 5 (OFF-MIKE).

6

7 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: IT ASSUMES THAT.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IT'S A SUBSTITUTE MOTION. MY ONLY THING
- 10 IS, I MEAN, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY'S WAS MUCH SIMPLER THAN
- 11 THIS, BUT I'M NOT SURE THAT YOUR MOTION INDICATES ANY LESS
- 12 WORK THAN MIKE'S MOTION. BUT THAT'S OKAY.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, BUT IF RIDLEY-THOMAS HAD DONE IT, IT
- 15 WOULD HAVE BEEN FOUR OR FIVE PAGES.

16

- 17 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THAT WOULD BE CORRECT. AND CLARITY WOULD
- 18 REIGN IN THE ENVIRONMENT.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SO ANYWAY, WE WOULD HAVE A SUBSTITUTE
- 21 MOTION AUTHORED BY SUPERVISOR MOLINA, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR
- 22 RIDLEY-THOMAS.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST SAY, IF YOU REMEMBER, WE REQUIRE
- 25 CERTIFICATION OF EMPLOYERS TO ABIDE BY THE LIVING WAGE. WE



- 1 ALSO REQUIRE, MR. CHAIRMAN, WE ALSO REQUIRE THAT THEY'RE
- 2 CURRENT ON THEIR CHILD SUPPORT. THAT'S A REQUIREMENT THAT WE
- 3 REQUIRE OF EMPLOYERS TO ENSURE THAT THEIR EMPLOYEES. SO WE
- 4 ALREADY DO HAVE REQUIREMENTS IN PLACE.

5

- 6 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ANY OBJECTIONS TO THE SUBSTITUTE
- 7 MOTION?

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHICH ONE?

10

11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S MOTION.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S MOTION? OKAY. BUT I WOULD
- 14 ALSO LIKE, IF WE COULD HAVE, SAY, A REPORT BACK IN LIKE EIGHT
- 15 WEEKS, SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW ABOUT 90 DAYS?

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: 90 DAYS, 90 DAYS.

20

21 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: STATUS REPORT AND YOUR MOTION.

- 23 SUP. MOLINA: I DON'T MIND A STATUS REPORT, BECAUSE AS QUICKLY
- 24 AS THEY COULD UNDERTAKE THE STUDY, I THINK IT WOULD BE
- 25 VALUABLE INFORMATION TO US AS WELL.



1 2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: 90 DAYS? 3 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: 90 DAYS. 4 5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: ONE QUICK CLARIFICATION. I ALSO HEARD THAT YOU 6 7 WANTED TO REPORT BACK ON THE -- WAS IT THE SUPREME COURT CASE. 8 SO THAT MAY NOT BE RESOLVED WITHIN THAT. 9

10

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: BUT THERE'S A STATUS. 11

- SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: BUT THEN ALSO THE ISSUE INTERNALLY OF 12
- 13 HOW WE'RE--

14

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: OKAY. WE'LL DO THAT. 15

16

- SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. CHAIRMAN, IT'S A STATUS. RIGHT? SO 17
- 18 WHATEVER IT IS, IT IS AT THAT TIME, LEGALLY AND OTHERWISE.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THERE IS AN APPELLATE CASE THAT'S PENDING.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: THERE ARE TWO CASES, CORRECT.

- SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT A PENNSYLVANIA CASE? WHEN IS THAT 24
- BEING HEARD? OH, SO THE DECISION IS PENDING? OKAY. THANKS. 25

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WITH THAT CLARIFICATION, THEN, IN 2 3 90 DAYS. WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. 4 5 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU. 6 7 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SHE DIDN'T, I DID. 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CLARITY IS REIGNING. 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: IF SACHI DIDN'T UNDERSTAND IT, I DID. I 11 KNOW THAT SOMETIMES YOU DON'T THINK WE ALL UNDERSTAND YOUR 12 MOTIONS, BUT I JUST WANT YOU TO KNOW. 13 14 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN IT RAINS, IT POURS. 16 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: LET IT RAIN. 17 18 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: LET IT RAIN. WE HAVE ADDITIONAL ADJOURNMENTS, BUT I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY OTHER AGENDA 20 21 ITEMS. AM I CORRECT? BEFORE I TURN IT OVER TO SUPERVISOR 22 ANTONOVICH FOR EITHER MOTIONS OR ADJOURNMENTS, I JUST WANT TO 23 EXTEND TO EVERYONE, TO OUR COUNTY FAMILY AS WELL AS THOSE THAT 24 ARE WATCHING OUT THERE, ALL OUR RESIDENTS OF LOS ANGELES

COUNTY, A MOST JOYFUL AND HEALTHY AND HAPPY THANKSGIVING TO



- 1 ALL OF YOU AND YOUR FAMILIES. ENJOY THE TIME TOGETHER,
- 2 TREASURE THE MOMENTS, AND JUST HAVE A GREAT THANKSGIVING. SO
- 3 WITH THAT, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FIRST, I'D LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION FOR NEXT
- 6 WEEK, RELATIVE TO THE ADVERSE IMPACTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE
- 7 STATION FIRE, THE CLOSURE OF THE ANGELES CREST FOREST HIGHWAY
- 8 AND THE ANGELES CREST HIGHWAY TO THROUGH TRAFFIC, THESE
- 9 CLOSURES WERE DUE TO THE ONGOING PUBLIC SAFETY THREAT
- 10 ASSOCIATED WITH THE LOSS OF ROADWAY SIGNAGE AND GUARDRAILS,
- 11 THE DEBRIS COVERING ROADWAYS AND MUD FLOWS ONTO THESE ROADS.
- 12 BECAUSE ANGELES CREST FOREST SERVES AS A CONVENIENT BYPASS
- 13 WITH STATE ROUTE 14 AND INTERSTATE 5, THE CONGESTED EXPERIENCE
- 14 DURING EMERGENCIES, THESE ROADS ARE CRITICAL LINK BETWEEN THE
- 15 ANTELOPE VALLEY AND THE LOS ANGELES BASIN. SO I'D LIKE TO MOVE
- 16 THAT THE BOARD DIRECT THE PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR TO ORGANIZE A
- 17 TOWN HALL MEETING WITH THE ANTELOPE VALLEY IN DECEMBER,
- 18 PUBLICIZING THE MEETING FORMATION IN ADVANCE IN THE LOCAL
- 19 MEDIA TO ADDRESS THE REPAIR WORK ON ANGELES FOREST HIGHWAY
- 20 ASSOCIATED WITH THE STATION FIRE, PUBLICIZING THE MEETING IN
- 21 ADVANCE IN CONCERT WITH THE ANTELOPE VALLEY MEDIA OUTLETS.
- 22 KEEP THE EXISTING DRIVER NOTIFICATION SIGNS IN PLACE ON
- 23 SOUTHBOUND STATE ROUTE 14, ACTIVATE THEM AS FAR IN ADVANCE AS
- 24 POSSIBLE TO ALERT MOTORISTS TO IMPENDING TEMPORARY CLOSURES
- 25 DUE TO ANTICIPATED WEATHER. IDENTIFY THE FEASIBILITY OF



- 1 CREATING A ROAD CONDITIONS A.M. RADIO BROADCAST FOR
- 2 INFORMATION PERTAINING TO THE OPENING AND CLOSING OF ANGELES
- 3 FOREST AND ANGELES CREST HIGHWAY BETWEEN ANTELOPE VALLEY AND
- 4 LA CANADA- FLINTRIDGE, ESTABLISH AN EMAIL ALERT NOTIFICATION
- 5 SYSTEM THAT WILL ALERT ANTELOPE VALLEY RESIDENTS AND OTHER
- 6 INTERESTED PARTIES CONCERNING THE UPCOMING CLOSURES OF ANGELES
- 7 CREST FOREST HIGHWAY DUE TO THE WEATHER, CONDUCT AN ADDITIONAL
- 8 MEDIA PUBLIC OUTREACH CONCERNING ROAD CONDITION INFORMATION ON
- 9 THE DEPARTMENT'S CARE WEB SITE AND REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD IN
- 10 30 DAYS CONCERNING PROGRESS ON THESE ISSUES. RELATIVE, MR.
- 11 CHAIRMAN, MAYBE WE COULD VOTE ON THIS TODAY BECAUSE THE
- 12 STARTING MEETINGS IN DECEMBER. DECEMBER IS NEXT WEEK. AND IT
- 13 PERTAINS TO THIS OPENING OF THAT ROAD. SO IF I COULD MAKE THAT
- 14 MOTION. ANGELES CREST HIGHWAY.

16 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ANGELES CREST HIGHWAY.

18 ROBERT KALUNIAN, COUNSEL: THIS IS AN AMENDMENT?

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO, NO. THIS IS ASKING THE DEPARTMENT
- 21 RELATIVE TO INFORMATION ON THE ANGELES CREST HIGHWAY.
- 23 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: DO YOU HAVE COPIES OF THE NEGOTIATION

24

22

15

17



- 1 ROBERT KALUNIAN, COUNSEL: YOU NEED A FINDING OF URGENCY BASED
- 2 ON --

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE EMERGENCY IS THAT THE CLOSURES WERE DUE
- 5 TO THE FIRE AND NOW THEY'RE GOING TO BE OPENING THEM AND WE
- 6 WANT TO HAVE A TOWN HALL MEETING IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY
- 7 RELATIVE WITH THE DEPARTMENT ON THIS ISSUE.

8

9 ROBERT KALUNIAN, COUNSEL: BUT THE 4-VOTE FINDING OF URGENCY.

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH MOVES URGENCY.
- 12 I'LL SECOND THAT. SO ORDERED. MOVED THE MOTION AND SECONDED.
- 13 SO ORDERED.

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D LIKE TO MOVE WE ADJOURN IN
- 16 MEMORY OF A VERY GOOD FRIEND, A GOOD PUBLIC SERVANT, AND THAT
- 17 WAS FORMER ASSEMBLYMAN NAO TAKASUGI, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE
- 18 AGE OF 87. NAO WAS BORN IN OXNARD. AND IN 1941, HE WAS A
- 19 JUNIOR AT U.C.L.A. WHEN WORLD WAR II HIT. HIS FAMILY WAS SENT
- 20 TO AN INTERNMENT CAMP IN TULARE COUNTY FAIRGROUNDS, AND THEN
- 21 THEY WERE SENT TO GILA RIVER INTERNMENT CAMP IN ARIZONA. IN
- 22 1943, A CHRISTIAN ORGANIZATION SPONSORED NAO AND 40,000
- 23 JAPANESE-AMERICAN COLLEGE STUDENTS TO GO TO THE EAST COAST FOR
- 24 THEIR COLLEGE. AND THERE HE ATTENDED TEMPLE UNIVERSITY, WHERE
- 25 HE RECEIVED HIS BACHELOR'S DEGREE AND RECEIVED HIS M.B.A. FROM



- 1 WHARTON COLLEGE BUSINESS SCHOOL OF BUSINESS AT THE UNIVERSITY
- 2 OF PENNSYLVANIA. MEANWHILE, HIS FAMILY HAD REMAINED AT THE
- 3 ARIZONA CAMP UNTIL 1945. RETURNING TO OXNARD, HE RAN HIS
- 4 FAMILY BUSINESS, WAS ELECTED TO THE CITY COUNCIL AND WAS MAYOR
- 5 OF OXNARD FROM 1982 TO 1992, BEFORE WINNING THE ELECTION AS
- 6 REPUBLICAN STATE ASSEMBLYMAN FROM THAT AREA WHERE HE SERVED
- 7 WITH DISTINCTION. HE EARNED THE RESPECT AND ADMIRATION OF HIS
- 8 COLLEAGUES FOR HIS VALUES, HIS INTEGRITY AND HIS LEADERSHIP.
- 9 HE LEAVES HIS WIFE JUDY AND THEIR FIVE CHILDREN, SCOTT,
- 10 RUSSELL, RONALD, LEA, AND TRICIA, WHO IS ONE OF THE REPORTERS
- 11 ON CHANNEL 11 NEWS. BUT HE WAS QUITE ACTIVE IN THE JAPANESE-
- 12 AMERICAN REPUBLICAN ACTIVITIES, SERVED WITH DISTINCTION ON THE
- 13 GOVERNOR AT THAT TIME, NOW PRESIDENT -- FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH
- 14 -- I SHOULD SAY REAGAN AND BUSH'S DELEGATIONS. SO ANOTHER GOOD
- 15 FRIEND, MARIA ANN MORIARTY, PASSED AWAY ON NOVEMBER 17TH. SHE
- 16 WAS FROM NEW YORK -- I SHOULD SAY BORN IN NEWARK, NEW JERSEY,
- 17 AND ATTENDED CENTRAL HIGH AND THEN ATTENDED RUTGERS UNIVERSITY
- 18 WAS, A HIGH FASHION MODEL IN NEW YORK CITY, AND THEN MOVED TO
- 19 ENCINO AT THE AGE OF 9. SHE WAS A GIRL SCOUT, ACTIVE WITH THE
- 20 GIRL SCOUT VALLEY EXECUTIVE COUNCIL. SHE WAS A FOUNDER OF THE
- 21 DOROTHY CHANDLER MUSIC CENTER, AN AVID OPERAGOER, AN
- 22 ACCOMPLISHED ARTIST WHERE SHE STUDIED UNDER RENE NORDSKOG IN
- 23 THE MEDIUMS OF PAINTING AND SCULPTURING. AND SHE IS SURVIVED
- 24 BY HER HUSBAND, JOHN, WHO IS ONE OF OUR COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,
- 25 AND THEIR SIX CHILDREN. ANOTHER GOOD FRIEND AND MANY OF YOU



- 1 MET THE CHRISTOS WHEN THEY WERE HERE, JEAN-CLAUDE PASSED AWAY.
- 2 IN COLLABORATION WITH HER HUSBAND, CHRISTO, THEY CREATED THE
- 3 MASSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL WORKS OF ART. IN 1976, THE HUSBAND-WIFE
- 4 TEAM INSTALLED A 24-MILE-LONG RUNNING FENCE ALONG SONOMA AND
- 5 MARIN COUNTIES. IN 1991, THEY INSTALLED 1700 GIGANTIC YELLOW
- 6 UMBRELLAS ALONG A 19-MILE STRETCH OF THE TEJON PASS, CROSSING
- 7 THE BOUNDARIES BETWEEN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND KERN COUNTY.
- 8 THEY COMPLETED LARGE-SCALE ART PROJECTS IN MIAMI, NEW YORK,
- 9 JAPAN, BERLIN. JEAN-CLAUDE WAS EDUCATED IN FRANCE. HER FATHER
- 10 WAS A MILITARY OFFICER. SHE RECEIVED HER BACHELOR'S DEGREE IN
- 11 LATIN AND PHILOSOPHY. AND THEN SHE MET CHRISTO IN PARIS, WHERE
- 12 HE WAS COMMISSIONED TO PAINT A PORTRAIT OF HER MOM. AT FIRST,
- 13 SHE WAS CHRISTO'S BUSINESS MANAGER, AND THEN BECAME AN ARTIST
- 14 OUT OF JOY OF COLLABORATING WITH HER HUSBAND ON WORKS OF ART.
- 15 AND THEY DO ALL THIS WITHOUT GOVERNMENT SUBSIDY, IT WAS ALL
- 16 THROUGH PRIVATE CONTRIBUTIONS THAT THEY HAVE BEEN DOING THEIR
- 17 WORK. SHE WAS A WONDERFUL LADY. ANDREA MICHELLE REYNOLDS,
- 18 PASSED AWAY. SHE LIVED IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY AND WORKED AT
- 19 THE ANTELOPE VALLEY HOSPITAL. JOHN MCLEAN MCKINLEY, WORLD WAR
- 20 II VETERAN, RECEIVED HIS DOCTORATE IN ENGLISH AND DRAMA AT THE
- 21 UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN AND BECAME A SPORTSCASTER FOR RADIO
- 22 TALK OF THE MORNING SHOW AT ABC AFFILIATE. HE AND HIS WIFE
- 23 THEN MOVED TO CALIFORNIA AND SETTLED IN GLENDALE, 1953, WHERE
- 24 HE AND HIS FATHER OWNED AND MANAGED UTTER-MCKINLEY MORTUARIES,
- 25 WITH FUNERAL HOMES IN LOS ANGELES, BALTIMORE, PHILADELPHIA,



- 1 AND HONOLULU. HE RETIRED IN 1986, WAS QUITE INVOLVED WITH
- 2 ROTARY INTERNATIONAL AND HAD PERFECT ATTENDANCE FOR 55 YEARS
- 3 SERVING AS PRESIDENT IN LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE ROTARY CLUB AND
- 4 CHAIR OF THE ROTARY ROSE FLOAT COMMITTEE IN 1997, MEMBER OF
- 5 THE SOUTHERN CAL BIG 10 CLUB FOR 50 YEARS AND WAS NAMED MAN OF
- 6 THE YEAR IN 2002. FOUNDER AND SUSTAINING PATRON OF THE MUSIC
- 7 CENTER AND LEAVES HIS WIFE, SYLVIA, OF 53 YEARS AND THEIR
- 8 CHILDREN. MAX KERNSTEIN, WHO WAS AN ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE LOS
- 9 ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT RESERVE CORPS SINCE 1983 AT THE
- 10 PACIFIC DIVISION AND RECENTLY TRANSFERRED TO THE NEW OLYMPIC
- 11 STATION. HE SERVED IN A NUMBER OF CAPACITIES AND WAS A MAJOR
- 12 CONTRIBUTOR TO L.A.P.D.'S RESERVE SPEAKERS BUREAU. HE WAS ALSO
- 13 A VETERAN, SERVED AT THE AMERICAN WORLD WAR II WITH THE COAST
- 14 GUARD AND ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE AMERICAN LEGION. JOSEPH HURLEY,
- 15 SERVED FOR MORE THAN 30 YEARS AS PRESIDENT OF RALPH PARSON'S
- 16 FOUNDATION, PLAYING A ROLE IN THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE L.A.
- 17 COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, ACTIVE IN THE SAN FERNANDO
- 18 VALLEY, MEMBER OF THE CALIFORNIA STATE BOARD OF GOVERNORS AS
- 19 WELL AS OTHER COMMITTEES AND RECEIVED HIS DOCTORATE IN LAW
- 20 FROM THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY'S AMERICAN SCHOOL AND WAS A REAL
- 21 ESTATE DEVELOPER. ALFRED FARNELL, RETIRED LOS ANGELES DEPUTY
- 22 COUNTY SHERIFF WHERE HE SERVED FOR 26 YEARS. DARRYL GENE
- 23 DEARTH, EARNED HIS DEGREE IN THEOLOGY FROM LINCOLN CHRISTIAN
- 24 UNIVERSITY IN ILLINOIS AND PREACHED IN A NUMBER OF CHURCHES,
- 25 WAS A GIFTED MUSICIAN, AND AFTER HIS CAREER IN AEROSPACE, HE



- 1 ESTABLISHED THE CLERGY PROGRAM AT THE CRESCENTA VALLEY
- 2 SHERIFF'S STATION. AND VIVENCIO CORTES, WHO WAS A MEMBER OF
- 3 THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT, STARTED HIS CAREER AS A TYPIST CLERK
- 4 IN EAST LOS ANGELES AND WAS LATER ASSIGNED TO AN ANTELOPE
- 5 VALLEY AREA OFFICE AND THE VALENCIA SUBOFFICE LOCATIONS. AND
- 6 WILLIE ROSS, WHO WAS ACTIVE IN THE AGAPE COMMUNITY CHURCH,
- 7 LIVED IN ANTELOPE VALLEY AND ACTIVE IN THE COMMUNITY. THOSE
- 8 ARE MY ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS. EARLIER THIS MONTH, ONE OF THE
- 9 HISTORIC EVENTS IN WORLD HISTORY WHERE THE SWASTIKA KIND OF
- 10 JOINED THE HAMMER AND SICKLE IN THE JUNK PILE OF HISTORY WAS
- 11 WHEN THE BERLIN WALL FELL AND THE THREE PEOPLE THAT DID SUCH A
- 12 GREAT ROLE IN THAT -- THE HOLY FATHER, POPE JOHN PAUL II, AND
- 13 MARGARET THATCHER, THE FORMER PRIME MINISTER OF ENGLAND AND
- 14 FORMER PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN. AND THERE HAVE BEEN MANY BOOKS
- 15 AND ARTICLES WRITTEN ON THEIR CONTRIBUTIONS, BUT WE OWE A REAL
- 16 GREAT DEBT OF GRATITUDE FOR THEIR SPIRITUAL AND POLITICAL AND
- 17 MORAL LEADERSHIP IN SEEING THAT THE BERLIN WALL FELL. THANK
- 18 YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

- 20 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE SOME PUBLIC COMMENTS.
- 21 WE DO? FIRST OF ALL, IRENE PANG. IF YOU'RE WITH US, PLEASE
- 22 COME FORWARD. BOBBY COOPER, EDWARD MERIDA, AND ARNOLD SACHS.
- 23 ANY OF YOU IN THE AUDIENCE, PLEASE COME FORWARD. THANK YOU.
- 24 OKAY. IF YOU WILL IDENTIFY YOURSELF FOR THE RECORD. PLEASE
- 25 BEGIN.



1

- 2 IRENE PANG: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS IRENE PANG. I'D LIKE TO
- 3 DISCUSSING ABOUT THE SITUATION, MYSELF, THE SITUATION. I WORK
- 4 FOR THE UNITED STATES. WHEN I FINISHED MY SCHOOL, GRADUATED
- 5 FROM SCHOOL, AND I CONTINUE TO WORK FOR UNITED STATE.
- 6 (INAUDIBLE) SINCE I SAW THAT ON THE T.V. ALL THE THE NEWS, SAW
- 7 THE SUICIDE, SAW THE TRAGIC HAPPEN TO THE UNITED STATES, ALL
- 8 THE CITY AND I MAKE MYSELF, I CONFIRMED TO WORK FOR THE UNITED
- 9 STATE GOVERNMENT. THIS WHY EVEN THOUGH -- (INAUDIBLE) GO TO
- 10 DIFFERENT CITY TO BUY THE MATERIAL TO (INAUDIBLE). I KEEP
- 11 WORKING FOR MORE THAN 10 YEARS, EVEN THOUGH IN SOCIETY, EVEN
- 12 THOUGH (INAUDIBLE) THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN THE UNITED STATES
- 13 ASSIGNED ME TO TAKE SOME JOB, BETTER TO WORK FOR THE PUBLIC
- 14 AND UNITED STATE NATION GOVERNMENT. AND THE SECOND, I'D LIKE
- 15 TO DISCUSS ABOUT MY COUNTRY AND LOS ANGELES AND MY CITY, EL
- 16 MONTE. IT'S REALLY OFTEN IN THE DAY AND NIGHT (INAUDIBLE) ANY
- 17 TIME, TO GO TO THE OFFICE AND TO GO INSIDE A TENT, WE NEED
- 18 GOVERNMENT OFFICER. IN THAT KIND OF SITUATION, THEY CAPTURE
- 19 THE OFFICER AND THEY KIDNAPPED SOMEWHERE SOME WHERE
- 20 (INAUDIBLE) AND I EXPECT UNITED STATE GOVERNMENT, FEDERAL AND
- 21 STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND ALSO
- 22 CONTINUE CITY TO BETTER A BETTER JOB IN OUR CITY AND PROTECT
- 23 OUR CITIZENS.

24

25 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. YOUR TIME'S UP. THANK YOU.



2 IRENE PANG: THANK YOU.

3

1

4 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. NEXT? MR. MERIDA.

- 6 EDWARD MERIDA: I'M EDWARD. MERIDA. THAT'S ALL RIGHT, THAT'S
- 7 PRONOUNCED MERIDA IN CALIFORNIA. I'M FROM TEXAS, OKAY? I'M
- 8 EDWARD MERIDA. I'M REVEREND DOCTOR EDWARD MERIDA. THIS IS MY
- 9 FOURTH TIME I THINK, BEING BEFORE YOU ON THE PUBLIC SPEAKING
- 10 FORUM AND I'M HERE ON A PERSONAL ISSUE. IN ORDER TO NOT GIVE
- 11 THE IMPRESSION THAT I'M WELL OFF, I'M ON WELFARE. I'M HERE
- 12 STILL TRYING TO GET A WELFARE GRANT TAKEN OUT. I BROUGHT A
- 13 DOCUMENT THAT I WANT TO READ TO YOU BRIEFLY. THE FIRST IS A
- 14 FOOD STAMP NOTICE THAT I RECEIVED IN NOVEMBER OF LAST YEAR.
- 15 IT'S DATED 11-01-08. IT SAYS AS OF 11-01-2008, THE COUNTY IS
- 16 CHANGING THE STAFF FROM \$176 TO \$157. HERE'S WHY. WHEN YOUR
- 17 INCOME CHANGES, YOUR FOOD STAMP AMOUNT ALSO CHANGES. IT SHOWS
- 18 HERE, AND I DON'T KNOW WHY, IT SHOWS HERE THAT I'VE BEEN
- 19 GETTING GENERAL RELIEF, \$221 A MONTH. OKAY? I WAS ONLY
- 20 RECEIVING ABOUT \$150 WORTH OF FOOD STAMPS THEN. I DON'T KNOW
- 21 WHY I WAS SENT THIS NOTICE. IN JANUARY, I WAS SENT A NOTICE OF
- 22 AN ACTION TAKEN BY THE COUNTY THAT THEY WERE CUTTING THE FOOD
- 23 STAMPS OFF ALTOGETHER. I FILED AN APPEAL ON THAT NOTICE.
- 24 THAT'S WHY I'VE BEEN COMING HERE. I'VE GOT A PHOTOCOPY OF THE
- 25 NOTICE HERE AND IT SHOWS THAT I APPLIED, I FILED THE APPEAL ON



- 1 FOOD STAMPS AND CASH AID. ALL RIGHT. I'M HERE TODAY TO RECEIVE
- 2 A JUDGE'S ORDER. I'VE BEEN TO TWO APPEALS HEARINGS. I WANT TO
- 3 READ ONLY PART OF IT. ONE IS THE CONCLUSION. IT SAYS THE
- 4 COUNTY REPRESENTATIVE HAS THE AUTHORITY TO MAKE BINDING
- 5 STIPULATIONS ON BEHALF OF THE COUNTY AT THE HEARING. AT THE
- 6 HEARING, THE COUNTY APPEALS SPECIALIST AND THE PLAINTIFF,
- 7 THAT'S ME, STIPULATED THAT THE COUNTY WOULD RESCIND THE
- 8 JANUARY 16, '09 NOTICE, DISCONTINUING CLAIMS FOOD STAMPS
- 9 EFFECTIVE JANUARY 31, '09 AND ISSUE TO CLAIMANT ANY
- 10 RETROACTIVE AND ONGOING BENEFITS AS OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE.
- 11 THEREFORE, THE COUNTY IS BOUND BY THIS STIPULATION. THE LAST
- 12 PART I'M GOING TO READ IS THE ORDER. THE ORDER SAYS THE CLAIM
- 13 IS GRANTED IN PART AND DISMISSED IN PART. BASED ON THE
- 14 AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES, LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHALL RESCIND THE
- 15 THE JANUARY 16, '09 NOTICE DISCONTINUING CLAIMANT'S FOOD
- 16 STAMPS EFFECTIVE JANUARY 31, '09, AND ISSUE TO CLAIMANT ANY
- 17 RETROACTIVE AND ONGOING BENEFITS AS OTHERWISE ELIGIBLE.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WE CAN HAVE SOMEONE FROM -- IS SOMEONE
- 20 FROM THE DEPARTMENT CAN VISIT WITH THIS GENTLEMAN AND LOOK AT
- 21 THE ORDER? RIGHT OUT THERE, PLEASE. SHE'S WILLING TO -- THE
- 22 LADY OUT THERE FROM THE DEPARTMENT.

- 24 EDWARD MERIDA: NOW, CHAIRMAN KNABE, CAN I MAKE JUST ONE
- 25 STATEMENT?



1

2 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: WELL, YOUR TIME IS UP. GO AHEAD QUICKLY.

3

- 4 EDWARD MERIDA: I'VE TALKED TO ABOUT FOUR DIFFERENT WOMEN.
- 5 NOTHING HAS HAPPENED SO FAR. TO ME, I'M DUE \$2,210 CASH. THE
- 6 FOOD STAMPS HAVE BEEN PLACED ON THE-- ALL RIGHT. I'M DUE
- 7 \$2,210 BY THE COUNTY, EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER OF THIS YEAR, THIS
- 8 MONTH. I'M TRYING TO GET THE CASH. THANK YOU.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: COULD SOMEONE FROM COUNTY COUNSEL ALSO
- 11 VISIT WITH THIS GENTLEMAN AS WELL AS THE DEPARTMENT?

12

13 EDWARD MERIDA: SUPPOSED TO PUT THE BENEFITS ON THERE.

14

- 15 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: SOMEONE? DO WE HAVE SOMEONE THAT --
- 16 OKAY. ALL RIGHT. NEXT?

- 18 BOBBY COOPER: YES. MY NAME IS BOBBY COOPER. I'VE BEEN HERE
- 19 BEFORE. I WAS HERE LAST WEEK. I'M HERE AGAIN ABOUT MY MOTHER,
- 20 PROTECTIVE SERVICE. MY UNCLE CALLED ME FROM SAN FRANCISCO AND
- 21 TOLD ME, INFORMED ME THAT MY MOTHER WAS IN THE HOSPITAL TO
- 22 HAVE TWO BLOOD TRANSFUSIONS. THIS IS POSSIBLY FROM NOT EATING
- 23 RIGHT. YOU HAVE A SOCIAL WORKER JUST TURNED MY MOTHER OVER TO
- 24 SOME PEOPLE. MY DAUGHTER AND HER HUSBAND ARE FELONS. I'M NOT A
- 25 FELON. I'M A PROFESSIONAL CHEF. I KNOW HER NUTRITION. I'VE



- 1 TAKEN CARE OF MY MOTHER ALL OF MY LIFE OF I'VE BEEN HER
- 2 GUARDIAN. THERE'S NO REASON FOR THAT WOMAN TO COME IN OUR
- 3 HOUSE, SOCIAL WORKER, AND HAVE SOCIAL WORKERS OUT HERE CO-
- 4 SIGNING. I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MY MOTHER BACK, FIND OUT WHERE
- 5 SHE IS, AND IF SHE'S STILL ALIVE. BECAUSE IF SHE'S NOT ALIVE,
- 6 I KNOW WHO'S RESPONSIBLE. NOW, ANOTHER THING, THEY'VE BEEN IN
- 7 MY BANK ACCOUNT AGAIN. I'VE TURNED THE DOCUMENTS OVER TO THE
- 8 CITY. I'VE -- WELL, MARIAN, MARGIE, SOMEBODY AT THE CITY
- 9 ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR INVESTIGATIONS, SHE ALLEGED SHE TURNED
- 10 OVER TO THE -- INFORMATION OVER TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S
- 11 OFFICE. I NEED TO BE INTERVIEWED. I KNOW EVERYTHING ABOUT IT,
- 12 MY CASE, YOU KNOW, WITH REGARDS TO THE THEFT OF THOUSANDS OF
- 13 DOLLARS OF INSURANCE MONIES, ETCETERA, I BROUGHT IT BEFORE THE
- 14 COUNTY COUNSEL AND THE CITY COUNCIL IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY WHEN
- 15 THEY FIRST STARTED DOING THIS. I CAN'T GET A PHONE. THIS IS MY
- 16 BEDROOM RIGHT HERE. THIS IS A WIRE RUNNING LEGAL WIRE,
- 17 TELEPHONE WIRE RUNNING ALL THROUGH MY HOUSE. I CAN'T GET A
- 18 CALL OUT TO GET AN ATTORNEY. I'VE BEEN UP HERE BEFORE. YOU
- 19 UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M SAYING? I DON'T NEED THIS. I NEED SOME
- 20 HELP. THEY'VE TAKEN ALL MY MONEY. I'VE GOT TWO CARS GONE NOW.
- 21 CITY PICKED UP MY OTHER CAR LAST MONTH. NOW I DON'T HAVE
- 22 ANYTHING. I DON'T EVEN HAVE NOTHING TO WASH MY CLOTHES,
- 23 HYGIENE, OR NOTHING. I DON'T KNOW WHERE MY MOTHER IS. I'M NOT
- 24 A CRIMINAL. WHY IS THIS HAPPENING TO ME? WHY? MY AVOCATION, I
- 25 WAS SELLING THESE RIGHT HERE. THIS IS \$50,000 PROJECT THAT I



- 1 HAD GOING IN MY HOUSE WHEN THE SOCIAL WORKER GOT INVOLVED.
- 2 THIS IS ALL GONE. YOU UNDERSTAND? I'M OUT HERE ON THE STREET
- 3 TRYING TO SELL IT FOR LUNCH MONEY, YOU KNOW, JUST TO EAT.
- 4 THAT'S NOT RIGHT. THE CITY IS NOT BROKE AND THE COUNTY IS NOT
- 5 BROKE. THEY SHOULD BE ABLE TO ADDRESS THIS MATTER IN A CIVIL
- 6 MATTER. I SHOULDN'T HAVE TO GO THROUGH A LEGAL SYSTEM OF FIGHT
- 7 IN COURT FOR MY CIVIL RIGHTS. THAT'S GUARANTEED TO EVERY HUMAN
- 8 BEING, ISN'T IT? I'M NOT HORRIBLE. I'M NOT OUT HERE TO SEND
- 9 NOBODY TO JAIL. ALL THEY HAVE TO DO IS TALK TO ME IN PRIVATE,
- 10 LET'S RESOLVE THIS MATTER. I DON'T WANT TO BE IN COURT. I'M
- 11 TOO OLD FOR THIS, I'M 66. THEY'RE ALL UP INTO MY SOCIAL
- 12 SECURITY, MY SCHOOL RECORDS. EVERYTHING IS WIPED OUT, AND MY
- 13 BANK ACCOUNT BECAUSE OF THE COUNTY SOCIAL WORKER'S SLANDEROUS
- 14 ALLEGATIONS. I'D APPRECIATE IT IF YOU'D GIVE ME SOME HELP. I'D
- 15 APPRECIATE IT IF YOU'D TRY TO HAVE SOMEBODY GIVE ME SOME TYPE
- 16 OF GRANT. I GUARANTEE YOU, I'D PAY YOU BACK, YOU KNOW, BECAUSE
- 17 I AM NOT LAZY.

18

19 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. THANK YOU.

- 21 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON. ARNOLD SACHS. HAPPY
- 22 HOLIDAYS AGAIN TO ALL OF THE SUPERVISORS. IT IS MY METRO THREE
- 23 MINUTES. YOU KNOW WHAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN. IN THIS LATEST
- 24 UPDATE FROM THE "L.A. TIMES," THEY SEEM TO HAVE THEIR SIGHTS
- 25 SET ON THE DOWNTOWN CONNECTOR OR, AS I LIKE TO CALL IT, THE



- 1 FINAL FRONTIER, THE BLUE LINE. IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN BUILT OVER
- 2 20 YEARS AGO. AND I'M READING IN THIS ARTICLE THAT IN THE
- 3 PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS, THE ROUTE WAS ESTIMATED TO COST 700
- 4 MILLION, IN 2008 DOLLARS. SO I WAS JUST WONDERING IF WE COULD
- 5 GET AN ESTIMATE ON WHAT IT WOULD HAVE COST IN 1980 DOLLARS TO
- 6 HAVE IT BUILT WHEN IT WAS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED TO GO FROM -- TO
- 7 BE PART OF THE ROUTE THAT WENT FROM LONG BEACH TO UNION
- 8 STATION. INSTEAD, WE HAVE THIS MASSIVE SPACE BETWEEN 7TH AND
- 9 METRO AND UNION STATION, ACTUALLY, AND A CHANGE OF THE LINE
- 10 COLOR TO THE GOLD -- TO COLOR GOLD SO THAT IT CAN BECOME A
- 11 TOTALLY SEPARATE PROJECT FROM THE ORIGINAL BLUE LINE. THEN I'M
- 12 ALSO LOOKING IN THE ARTICLE, AND IT STATES METRO'S LATEST
- 13 UNDERGROUND PLAN HAS BEEN ONE OF THE AGENCY'S FINAL EIGHT
- 14 PROPOSED DESIGNS. WE HAVE EIGHT DESIGNS, WE HAVE FOUR ALSO IN
- 15 THE SAME ARTICLE. TWO OF THE FOUR ALTERNATIVES INVOLVE
- 16 BUILDING A CONNECTOR THROUGH DOWNTOWN. YOU HAVE FOUR
- 17 ALTERNATIVES, EIGHT DESIGNS, \$700 MILLION IN 2008 DOLLARS. YOU
- 18 HAVE 17 PLANS FOR THE WEST SIDE EXTENSION THAT WERE WHITTLED
- 19 DOWN TO PROBABLY TWO. YOU HAVE THE HARBOR SUBDIVISION WHERE
- 20 THEY WERE CONSIDERING RUNNING METROLINK STYLE TRAINS. THE
- 21 POINT BEING, SOMEBODY FROM METRO NEEDS TO GET SOME REALITY --
- 22 A SHOT OF REALITY OVER THANKSGIVING. BECAUSE IT WOULD BE NICE
- 23 OTHERWISE TO FIND OUT HOW MUCH METRO HAS SPENT ON STUDIES, ON
- 24 STUDY AFTER STUDY AFTER STUDY. IT'S MIND BOGGLING.
- 25 ITEMS ARE PROPOSED. BRADLEY PROPOSES BUILDING A SUBWAY DOWN



- 1 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 25 YEARS AGO, 30 YEARS AGO, AND WE GET
- 2 STUDIES. WHERE'S SOME LEADERSHIP? NOW WE'RE GETTING MONEY, SO
- 3 YOU CAN BE SURE THAT IT'S GOING TO BE WELL SPENT. THAT'S A
- 4 JOKE. BUT WHERE'S SOME LEADERSHIP TO GET SOME PROGRAMS, TO
- 5 FOLLOW THROUGH, AND GET SOME SYSTEMS COMPLETED. AGAIN, HAVE A
- 6 NICE HOLIDAY AND A HAPPY THANKSGIVING.

7

- 8 SUP. KNABE, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, ARNOLD. AGAIN, I THINK THAT'S
- 9 THE END OF PUBLIC COMMENTS. YOU'LL READ US INTO CLOSED
- 10 SESSION, BUT AGAIN, TO EVERYONE, TO THE COUNTY FAMILY AND TO
- 11 ALL OF THOSE OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY, WE WISH YOU ALL A MOST
- 12 JOYOUS AND HEALTHY AND SAFE THANKSGIVING.

13

- 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS,
- 15 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL
- 16 CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM NO. CS-1, CONFERENCE
- 17 WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS, WILLIAM T FUJIOKA, CHIEF EXECUTIVE
- 18 OFFICER AND DESIGNATED STAFF, AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED
- 19 AGENDA, AND ITEM NO. CS-2, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL
- 20 REGARDING SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION, ONE CASE, AS
- 21 INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. THANK YOU.

22

23

24



- 1 I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter
- 2 Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of
- 3 California, do hereby certify:
- 4 That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the Los
- 5 Angeles County Board of Supervisors November 24, 2009,
- 6 were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
- 7 direction and supervision;
- 8 That the transcript of recorded proceedings as archived
- 9 in the office of the reporter and which have been provided to
- 10 the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors as certified by
- 11 me.
- 12 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
- 13 related to any party to the said action; nor
- 14 in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
- 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st
- 16 day of December 2009, for the County records to be used only
- 17 for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
- 18 as on file of the office of the reporter.

- 20 JENNIFER A. HINES
- 21 CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR